

**AECOM**

400 West 15th Street, Suite 500, Austin, Texas 78701  
T 512.472.4519 F 512.472.7519 www.aecom.com

## Memorandum

---

Date May 5, 2009  
To All Interested persons  
Subject Public Comments on the Adopted Region K Population and Water Demand Projections for the 2011 Region K Plan

---

Region K will accept written public comments on the attached population and water demand projections until **May 19, 2009**.

Please send any comments to James Kowis at:

[James.kowis@lcra.org](mailto:James.kowis@lcra.org)

or

James Kowis  
Region K Population and Water Demand Committee Chair  
Lower Colorado River Authority  
P.O. Box 220, Mail Stop R325  
Austin, Texas 78767

These projections have been revised from the projections presented at the public meetings held on March 19, 2009, and April 2, 2009, due to comments received from the public, water users and the Texas Water Development Board.

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>BASTROP COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>AQUA WSC</b>	<b>37,503</b>	<b>54,835</b>	<b>66,989</b>	<b>88,380</b>	<b>105,849</b>	<b>127,246</b>
2006 Plan	36,138	44,618	54,593	65,914	80,250	98,194
Difference	1,365	10,217	12,396	22,466	25,599	29,052
% change	3.8%	22.9%	22.7%	34.1%	31.9%	29.6%
<b>BASTROP</b>	<b>8,890</b>	<b>12,475</b>	<b>15,920</b>	<b>21,003</b>	<b>25,155</b>	<b>30,240</b>
2006 Plan	6,515	7,994	9,734	11,708	14,208	17,337
Difference	2,375	4,481	6,186	9,295	10,947	12,903
% change	36.5%	56.0%	63.5%	79.4%	77.0%	74.4%
<b>BASTROP COUNTY WCID #2</b>	<b>2,269</b>	<b>3,202</b>	<b>4,300</b>	<b>5,546</b>	<b>7,124</b>	<b>9,099</b>
2006 Plan	2,269	3,202	4,300	5,546	7,124	9,099
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>18,441</b>	<b>25,812</b>	<b>32,940</b>	<b>43,459</b>	<b>52,049</b>	<b>62,570</b>
2006 Plan	17,770	26,787	37,395	49,433	64,677	83,760
Difference	671	-975	-4,455	-5,974	-12,628	-21,190
% change	3.8%	-3.6%	-11.9%	-12.1%	-19.5%	-25.3%
<b>CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>443</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>637</b>
2006 Plan	181	232	292	361	448	557
Difference	0	31	44	82	82	80
% change	0.0%	13.3%	14.9%	22.6%	18.3%	14.4%
<b>ELGIN</b>	<b>9,997</b>	<b>14,028</b>	<b>17,902</b>	<b>23,619</b>	<b>28,287</b>	<b>34,005</b>
2006 Plan	6,411	7,348	8,450	9,701	11,285	13,267
Difference	3,586	6,680	9,452	13,918	17,002	20,738
% change	55.9%	90.9%	111.9%	143.5%	150.7%	156.3%
<b>LEE COUNTY WSC</b>	<b>860</b>	<b>1,249</b>	<b>1,594</b>	<b>2,103</b>	<b>2,519</b>	<b>3,028</b>
2006 Plan	860	1,096	1,374	1,689	2,088	2,587
Difference	0	153	220	414	431	441
% change	0.0%	14.0%	16.0%	24.5%	20.6%	17.1%
<b>MANVILLE WSC</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>717</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>1,259</b>	<b>1,624</b>	<b>2,080</b>
2006 Plan	501	717	971	1,259	1,624	2,080
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>POLONIA WSC</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>292</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>589</b>	<b>708</b>
2006 Plan	201	263	335	417	521	651
Difference	0	29	38	75	68	57
% change	0.0%	11.0%	11.2%	17.9%	13.0%	8.7%
<b>SMITHVILLE</b>	<b>5,606</b>	<b>7,866</b>	<b>10,039</b>	<b>13,245</b>	<b>15,863</b>	<b>19,069</b>
2006 Plan	4,540	5,344	6,290	7,364	8,724	10,426
Difference	1,066	2,522	3,749	5,881	7,139	8,643
% change	23.5%	47.2%	59.6%	79.9%	81.8%	82.9%
<b>BASTROP COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>84,449</b>	<b>120,739</b>	<b>151,364</b>	<b>199,548</b>	<b>239,589</b>	<b>288,683</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>75,386</b>	<b>97,601</b>	<b>123,734</b>	<b>153,392</b>	<b>190,949</b>	<b>237,958</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>BLANCO COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>BLANCO</b>	<b>2,430</b>	<b>2,872</b>	<b>3,295</b>	<b>3,665</b>	<b>3,990</b>	<b>4,372</b>
2006 Plan	1,672	1,870	2,059	2,224	2,403	2,611
Difference	758	1,002	1,236	1,441	1,587	1,761
% change	45.3%	53.6%	60.0%	64.8%	66.0%	67.4%
<b>CANYON LAKE WSC</b>	<b>1,254</b>	<b>1,766</b>	<b>2,256</b>	<b>2,685</b>	<b>3,149</b>	<b>3,687</b>
2006 Plan	1,254	1,766	2,256	2,685	3,149	3,687
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>4,646</b>	<b>5,208</b>	<b>5,745</b>	<b>6,214</b>	<b>6,849</b>	<b>7,578</b>
2006 Plan	5,667	6,575	7,444	8,205	9,027	9,982
Difference	-1,021	-1,367	-1,699	-1,991	-2,178	-2,404
% change	-18.0%	-20.8%	-22.8%	-24.3%	-24.1%	-24.1%
<b>JOHNSON CITY</b>	<b>1,616</b>	<b>1,910</b>	<b>2,191</b>	<b>2,437</b>	<b>2,653</b>	<b>2,907</b>
2006 Plan	1,353	1,545	1,728	1,888	2,062	2,264
Difference	263	365	463	549	591	643
% change	19.4%	23.6%	26.8%	29.1%	28.7%	28.4%
<b>BLANCO COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>9,946</b>	<b>11,756</b>	<b>13,487</b>	<b>15,002</b>	<b>16,641</b>	<b>18,544</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>9,946</b>	<b>11,756</b>	<b>13,487</b>	<b>15,002</b>	<b>16,641</b>	<b>18,544</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>BURNET COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>BERTRAM</b>	<b>1,430</b>	<b>1,859</b>	<b>2,327</b>	<b>2,781</b>	<b>3,048</b>	<b>3,342</b>
2006 Plan	1,307	1,524	1,746	1,958	2,189	2,458
Difference	123	335	581	823	859	884
% change	9.4%	22.0%	33.3%	42.0%	39.3%	36.0%
<b>BURNET</b>	<b>6,358</b>	<b>8,263</b>	<b>10,341</b>	<b>12,360</b>	<b>13,549</b>	<b>14,856</b>
2006 Plan	5,625	6,668	7,736	8,753	9,864	11,154
Difference	733	1,595	2,605	3,607	3,685	3,702
% change	13.0%	23.9%	33.7%	41.2%	37.4%	33.2%
<b>CHISOLM TRAIL SUD</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>465</b>	<b>553</b>
2006 Plan	178	249	321	390	465	553
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COTTONWOOD SHORES</b>	<b>1,229</b>	<b>2,585</b>	<b>4,105</b>	<b>5,830</b>	<b>7,812</b>	<b>10,114</b>
2006 Plan	1,100	1,362	1,630	1,885	2,164	2,488
Difference	129	1,223	2,475	3,945	5,648	7,626
% change	11.7%	89.8%	151.8%	209.3%	261.0%	306.5%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>23,032</b>	<b>29,002</b>	<b>37,148</b>	<b>44,943</b>	<b>48,931</b>	<b>51,705</b>
2006 Plan	21,733	26,913	32,218	37,265	42,781	49,189
Difference	1,299	2,089	4,930	7,678	6,150	2,516
% change	6.0%	7.8%	15.3%	20.6%	14.4%	5.1%
<b>GRANITE SHOALS</b>	<b>2,738</b>	<b>3,559</b>	<b>4,454</b>	<b>5,324</b>	<b>5,836</b>	<b>6,399</b>
2006 Plan	2,489	3,015	3,554	4,067	4,627	5,278
Difference	249	544	900	1,257	1,209	1,121
% change	10.0%	18.0%	25.3%	30.9%	26.1%	21.2%
<b>KEMPNER WSC</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>1,140</b>	<b>1,402</b>	<b>1,652</b>	<b>1,925</b>	<b>2,242</b>
2006 Plan	884	1,140	1,402	1,652	1,925	2,242
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>KINGSLAND WSC</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>545</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>682</b>
2006 Plan	366	426	487	545	608	682
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>LAKE LBJ MUD</b>	<b>817</b>	<b>946</b>	<b>1,078</b>	<b>1,204</b>	<b>1,341</b>	<b>1,500</b>
2006 Plan	817	946	1,078	1,204	1,341	1,500
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>MARBLE FALLS</b>	<b>7,796</b>	<b>10,132</b>	<b>12,679</b>	<b>15,155</b>	<b>16,613</b>	<b>18,216</b>
2006 Plan	5,604	6,361	7,136	7,874	8,680	9,616
Difference	2,192	3,771	5,543	7,281	7,933	8,600
% change	39.1%	59.3%	77.7%	92.5%	91.4%	89.4%
<b>MEADOWLAKES</b>	<b>2,331</b>	<b>3,030</b>	<b>3,791</b>	<b>4,532</b>	<b>4,967</b>	<b>5,447</b>
2006 Plan	1,821	2,440	3,074	3,678	4,337	5,103
Difference	510	590	717	854	630	344
% change	28.0%	24.2%	23.3%	23.2%	14.5%	6.7%
<b>BURNET COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>47,160</b>	<b>61,191</b>	<b>78,133</b>	<b>94,716</b>	<b>105,095</b>	<b>115,056</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>41,924</b>	<b>51,044</b>	<b>60,382</b>	<b>69,271</b>	<b>78,981</b>	<b>90,263</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>COLORADO COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>COLUMBUS</b>	<b>4,053</b>	<b>4,399</b>	<b>4,578</b>	<b>4,580</b>	<b>4,763</b>	<b>4,986</b>
2006 Plan	4,053	4,231	4,331	4,371	4,379	4,333
Difference	0	168	247	209	384	653
% change	0.0%	4.0%	5.7%	4.8%	8.8%	15.1%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>11,206</b>	<b>11,702</b>	<b>11,977</b>	<b>12,087</b>	<b>12,110</b>	<b>11,982</b>
2006 Plan	11,206	11,702	11,977	12,087	12,110	11,982
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>EAGLE LAKE</b>	<b>3,792</b>	<b>4,116</b>	<b>4,284</b>	<b>4,285</b>	<b>4,456</b>	<b>4,665</b>
2006 Plan	3,792	3,959	4,052	4,090	4,097	4,054
Difference	0	157	232	195	359	611
% change	0.0%	4.0%	5.7%	4.8%	8.8%	15.1%
<b>WEIMAR</b>	<b>2,188</b>	<b>2,375</b>	<b>2,472</b>	<b>2,472</b>	<b>2,571</b>	<b>2,691</b>
2006 Plan	2,050	2,140	2,190	2,212	2,215	2,192
Difference	138	235	282	260	356	499
% change	6.7%	11.0%	12.9%	11.8%	16.1%	22.8%
<b>COLORADO COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>21,239</b>	<b>22,591</b>	<b>23,311</b>	<b>23,424</b>	<b>23,900</b>	<b>24,324</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>21,101</b>	<b>22,032</b>	<b>22,550</b>	<b>22,760</b>	<b>22,801</b>	<b>22,561</b>

<b>FAYETTE COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>AQUA WSC</b>	<b>602</b>	<b>787</b>	<b>939</b>	<b>1,057</b>	<b>1,193</b>	<b>1,372</b>
2006 Plan	602	787	939	1,057	1,193	1,372
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>5,064</b>	<b>3,358</b>	<b>2,232</b>	<b>1,487</b>	<b>992</b>	<b>663</b>
2006 Plan	5,064	3,358	2,232	1,487	992	663
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>FAYETTE WSC</b>	<b>7,147</b>	<b>10,252</b>	<b>12,807</b>	<b>14,795</b>	<b>17,070</b>	<b>20,081</b>
2006 Plan	7,147	10,252	12,807	14,795	17,070	20,081
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>FLATONIA</b>	<b>1,543</b>	<b>1,712</b>	<b>1,851</b>	<b>1,959</b>	<b>2,083</b>	<b>2,247</b>
2006 Plan	1,543	1,712	1,851	1,959	2,083	2,247
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>LA GRANGE</b>	<b>5,546</b>	<b>6,629</b>	<b>7,520</b>	<b>8,213</b>	<b>9,007</b>	<b>10,057</b>
2006 Plan	5,546	6,629	7,520	8,213	9,007	10,057
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>LEE COUNTY WSC</b>	<b>1,730</b>	<b>2,375</b>	<b>2,906</b>	<b>3,319</b>	<b>3,792</b>	<b>4,418</b>
2006 Plan	1,730	2,375	2,906	3,319	3,792	4,418
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>SCHULENBERG</b>	<b>3,194</b>	<b>3,695</b>	<b>4,108</b>	<b>4,429</b>	<b>4,796</b>	<b>5,282</b>
2006 Plan	3,194	3,695	4,108	4,429	4,796	5,282
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>24,826</b>	<b>28,808</b>	<b>32,363</b>	<b>35,259</b>	<b>38,933</b>	<b>44,120</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>24,826</b>	<b>28,808</b>	<b>32,363</b>	<b>35,259</b>	<b>38,933</b>	<b>44,120</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>13,776</b>	<b>15,732</b>	<b>16,496</b>	<b>16,496</b>	<b>16,496</b>	<b>16,496</b>
2006 Plan	13,776	15,732	16,496	16,496	16,496	16,496
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>FREDERICKSBURG</b>	<b>11,482</b>	<b>13,385</b>	<b>14,365</b>	<b>14,365</b>	<b>14,365</b>	<b>14,365</b>
2006 Plan	10,313	11,778	12,349	12,349	12,349	12,349
Difference	1,169	1,607	2,016	2,016	2,016	2,016
% change	11.3%	13.6%	16.3%	16.3%	16.3%	16.3%
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>25,258</b>	<b>29,117</b>	<b>30,861</b>	<b>30,861</b>	<b>30,861</b>	<b>30,861</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>24,089</b>	<b>27,510</b>	<b>28,845</b>	<b>28,845</b>	<b>28,845</b>	<b>28,845</b>

<b>HAYS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>BUDA</b>	<b>9,338</b>	<b>13,971</b>	<b>17,341</b>	<b>20,728</b>	<b>24,797</b>	<b>27,997</b>
2006 Plan	8,042	13,971	17,341	20,728	24,797	27,997
Difference	1,296	0	0	0	0	0
% change	16.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>CIMARRON PARK WATER COMPANY</b>	<b>2,417</b>	<b>3,013</b>	<b>3,631</b>	<b>4,252</b>	<b>4,998</b>	<b>5,584</b>
2006 Plan	2,417	3,013	3,631	4,252	4,998	5,584
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>22,722</b>	<b>33,658</b>	<b>43,641</b>	<b>53,675</b>	<b>65,729</b>	<b>75,207</b>
2006 Plan	24,018	33,658	43,641	53,675	65,729	75,207
Difference	-1,296	0	0	0	0	0
% change	-5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DRIPPING SPRINGS</b>	<b>5,325</b>	<b>9,308</b>	<b>11,651</b>	<b>14,005</b>	<b>16,834</b>	<b>19,058</b>
2006 Plan	5,325	9,308	11,651	14,005	16,834	19,058
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DRIPPING SPRINGS WSC</b>	<b>2,487</b>	<b>3,639</b>	<b>4,832</b>	<b>6,031</b>	<b>7,471</b>	<b>8,604</b>
2006 Plan	2,487	3,639	4,832	6,031	7,471	8,604
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>HILL COUNTRY WSC</b>	<b>3,117</b>	<b>5,051</b>	<b>7,054</b>	<b>9,067</b>	<b>11,485</b>	<b>13,387</b>
2006 Plan	3,117	5,051	7,054	9,067	11,485	13,387
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>MOUNTAIN CITY</b>	<b>737</b>	<b>737</b>	<b>737</b>	<b>737</b>	<b>737</b>	<b>737</b>
2006 Plan	737	737	737	737	737	737
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>HAYS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>46,143</b>	<b>69,377</b>	<b>88,887</b>	<b>108,495</b>	<b>132,051</b>	<b>150,574</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>46,143</b>	<b>69,377</b>	<b>88,887</b>	<b>108,495</b>	<b>132,051</b>	<b>150,574</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>LLANO COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>5,902</b>	<b>6,379</b>	<b>6,508</b>	<b>6,636</b>	<b>6,764</b>	<b>6,892</b>
2006 Plan	4,745	4,745	4,745	4,745	4,745	4,745
Difference	1,157	1,634	1,763	1,891	2,019	2,147
% change	24.4%	34.4%	37.2%	39.9%	42.5%	45.2%
<b>KINGSLAND WSC</b>	<b>4,592</b>	<b>4,964</b>	<b>5,064</b>	<b>5,163</b>	<b>5,263</b>	<b>5,363</b>
2006 Plan	3,692	3,692	3,692	3,692	3,692	3,692
Difference	900	1,272	1,372	1,471	1,571	1,671
% change	24.4%	34.4%	37.2%	39.9%	42.5%	45.2%
<b>LAKE LBJ MUD</b>	<b>5,994</b>	<b>6,479</b>	<b>6,610</b>	<b>6,740</b>	<b>6,869</b>	<b>7,000</b>
2006 Plan	4,819	4,819	4,819	4,819	4,819	4,819
Difference	1,175	1,660	1,791	1,921	2,050	2,181
% change	24.4%	34.4%	37.2%	39.9%	42.5%	45.2%
<b>LLANO</b>	<b>3,967</b>	<b>4,288</b>	<b>4,375</b>	<b>4,461</b>	<b>4,547</b>	<b>4,633</b>
2006 Plan	3,387	3,387	3,387	3,387	3,387	3,387
Difference	580	901	988	1,074	1,160	1,246
% change	17.1%	26.6%	29.2%	31.7%	34.2%	36.8%
<b>SUNRISE BEACH VILLAGE</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>896</b>	<b>914</b>	<b>932</b>	<b>950</b>	<b>968</b>
2006 Plan	717	717	717	717	717	717
Difference	112	179	197	215	233	251
% change	15.6%	25.0%	27.5%	30.0%	32.5%	35.0%
<b>LLANO COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>21,283</b>	<b>23,007</b>	<b>23,471</b>	<b>23,932</b>	<b>24,393</b>	<b>24,855</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>17,360</b>	<b>17,360</b>	<b>17,360</b>	<b>17,360</b>	<b>17,360</b>	<b>17,360</b>

<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>BAY CITY</b>	<b>19,921</b>	<b>21,292</b>	<b>22,126</b>	<b>22,586</b>	<b>22,586</b>	<b>22,586</b>
2006 Plan	19,921	21,292	22,126	22,586	22,521	22,316
Difference	0	0	0	0	65	270
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>14,340</b>	<b>15,328</b>	<b>15,929</b>	<b>16,258</b>	<b>16,258</b>	<b>16,258</b>
2006 Plan	14,340	15,328	15,929	16,258	16,212	16,065
Difference	0	0	0	0	46	193
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%
<b>ORBIT SYSTEMS INC.</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>
2006 Plan	26	27	28	29	29	29
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>PALACIOS</b>	<b>5,499</b>	<b>5,878</b>	<b>6,108</b>	<b>6,235</b>	<b>6,235</b>	<b>6,235</b>
2006 Plan	5,499	5,878	6,108	6,235	6,217	6,160
Difference	0	0	0	0	18	75
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%
<b>SOUTHWEST UTILITIES</b>	<b>720</b>	<b>770</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>817</b>	<b>817</b>	<b>817</b>
2006 Plan	720	770	800	817	814	807
Difference	0	0	0	0	3	10
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.2%
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>40,506</b>	<b>43,295</b>	<b>44,991</b>	<b>45,925</b>	<b>45,925</b>	<b>45,925</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>40,506</b>	<b>43,295</b>	<b>44,991</b>	<b>45,925</b>	<b>45,793</b>	<b>45,377</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>MILLS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>BROOKSMITH SUD</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>44</b>
2006 Plan	39	45	46	47	46	44
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>3,628</b>	<b>3,782</b>	<b>4,029</b>	<b>3,851</b>	<b>4,251</b>	<b>4,421</b>
2006 Plan	3,299	3,506	3,553	3,599	3,569	3,494
Difference	329	276	476	252	682	927
% change	10.0%	7.9%	13.4%	7.0%	19.1%	26.5%
<b>GOLDTHWAITE</b>	<b>1,799</b>	<b>1,988</b>	<b>2,032</b>	<b>2,032</b>	<b>2,032</b>	<b>2,032</b>
2006 Plan	1,799	1,863	1,877	1,891	1,882	1,859
Difference	0	125	155	141	150	173
% change	0.0%	6.7%	8.3%	7.5%	8.0%	9.3%
<b>MILLS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>5,466</b>	<b>5,815</b>	<b>6,107</b>	<b>5,930</b>	<b>6,329</b>	<b>6,497</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>5,137</b>	<b>5,414</b>	<b>5,476</b>	<b>5,537</b>	<b>5,497</b>	<b>5,397</b>

<b>SAN SABA COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>2,697</b>	<b>2,971</b>	<b>3,210</b>	<b>3,418</b>	<b>3,444</b>	<b>3,477</b>
2006 Plan	2,697	2,971	3,210	3,418	3,444	3,477
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>RICHLAND SUD</b>	<b>1,050</b>	<b>1,130</b>	<b>1,200</b>	<b>1,261</b>	<b>1,268</b>	<b>1,278</b>
2006 Plan	1,050	1,130	1,200	1,261	1,268	1,278
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>SAN SABA</b>	<b>2,640</b>	<b>2,645</b>	<b>2,649</b>	<b>2,653</b>	<b>2,653</b>	<b>2,654</b>
2006 Plan	2,640	2,645	2,649	2,653	2,653	2,654
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>6,387</b>	<b>6,746</b>	<b>7,059</b>	<b>7,332</b>	<b>7,365</b>	<b>7,409</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>6,387</b>	<b>6,746</b>	<b>7,059</b>	<b>7,332</b>	<b>7,365</b>	<b>7,409</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>TRAVIS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>ANDERSON MILL MUD*</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>AQUA WSC</b>	<b>9,470</b>	<b>11,131</b>	<b>12,666</b>	<b>13,625</b>	<b>14,639</b>	<b>15,683</b>
2006 Plan	7,251	8,523	9,698	10,432	11,208	12,007
Difference	2,219	2,608	2,968	3,193	3,431	3,676
% change	30.6%	30.6%	30.6%	30.6%	30.6%	30.6%
<b>AUSTIN</b>	<b>770,529</b>	<b>928,151</b>	<b>1,101,052</b>	<b>1,258,580</b>	<b>1,424,691</b>	<b>1,548,275</b>
2006 Plan	770,529	946,974	1,111,996	1,258,580	1,409,808	1,548,275
Difference	0	-18,823	-10,944	0	14,883	0
% change	0.0%	-2.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
<b>BARTON CREEK WEST WSC</b>	<b>1,456</b>	<b>1,456</b>	<b>1,456</b>	<b>1,456</b>	<b>1,456</b>	<b>1,456</b>
2006 Plan	1,456	1,456	1,456	1,456	1,456	1,456
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>BEE CAVE VILLAGE</b>	<b>2,264</b>	<b>2,727</b>	<b>3,181</b>	<b>3,592</b>	<b>3,891</b>	<b>4,191</b>
2006 Plan	948	1,339	1,700	1,926	2,165	2,411
Difference	1,316	1,388	1,481	1,666	1,726	1,780
% change	138.8%	103.7%	87.1%	86.5%	79.7%	73.8%
<b>BRIARCLIFF VILLAGE</b>	<b>1,289</b>	<b>1,553</b>	<b>1,811</b>	<b>2,045</b>	<b>2,215</b>	<b>2,386</b>
2006 Plan	1,289	1,817	2,305	2,609	2,931	3,263
Difference	0	-264	-494	-564	-716	-877
% change	0.0%	-14.5%	-21.4%	-21.6%	-24.4%	-26.9%
<b>CEDAR PARK</b>	<b>922</b>	<b>1,432</b>	<b>1,903</b>	<b>2,197</b>	<b>2,508</b>	<b>2,828</b>
2006 Plan	922	1,432	1,903	2,197	2,508	2,828
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>27,000</b>	<b>25,500</b>	<b>18,400</b>	<b>13,813</b>	<b>12,127</b>	<b>12,636</b>
2006 Plan	33,665	27,853	23,127	17,213	12,127	12,636
Difference	-6,665	-2,353	-4,727	-3,400	0	0
% change	-19.8%	-8.4%	-20.4%	-19.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC</b>	<b>5,962</b>	<b>7,301</b>	<b>8,537</b>	<b>9,309</b>	<b>10,126</b>	<b>10,967</b>
2006 Plan	5,962	7,301	8,537	9,309	10,126	10,967
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>ELGIN</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>162</b>
2006 Plan	56	87	116	134	153	173
Difference	31	18	7	5	-3	-11
% change	55.9%	20.9%	5.7%	3.4%	-1.9%	-6.6%
<b>GOFORTH WSC</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>526</b>	<b>584</b>	<b>644</b>
2006 Plan	288	383	471	526	584	644
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>TRAVIS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>HILL COUNTRY WSC</b>	<b>1,689</b>	<b>2,623</b>	<b>3,486</b>	<b>4,025</b>	<b>4,595</b>	<b>5,182</b>
2006 Plan	1,689	2,623	3,486	4,025	4,595	5,182
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>JONESTOWN</b>	<b>3,309</b>	<b>3,985</b>	<b>4,648</b>	<b>5,249</b>	<b>5,686</b>	<b>6,123</b>
2006 Plan	1,985	2,391	2,766	3,000	3,248	3,503
Difference	1,324	1,594	1,882	2,249	2,438	2,620
% change	66.7%	66.7%	68.0%	75.0%	75.1%	74.8%
<b>JONESTOWN WSC</b>	<b>926</b>	<b>1,123</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>1,419</b>	<b>1,539</b>	<b>1,663</b>
2006 Plan	926	1,123	1,305	1,419	1,539	1,663
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>LAGO VISTA</b>	<b>6,907</b>	<b>8,320</b>	<b>9,703</b>	<b>10,959</b>	<b>11,871</b>	<b>12,784</b>
2006 Plan	6,132	8,307	10,316	11,571	12,898	14,265
Difference	775	13	-613	-612	-1,027	-1,481
% change	12.6%	0.2%	-5.9%	-5.3%	-8.0%	-10.4%
<b>LAKEWAY</b>	<b>14,522</b>	<b>17,493</b>	<b>20,400</b>	<b>23,040</b>	<b>24,957</b>	<b>26,877</b>
2006 Plan	10,789	14,519	17,965	20,117	22,394	24,738
Difference	3,733	2,974	2,435	2,923	2,563	2,139
% change	34.6%	20.5%	13.6%	14.5%	11.4%	8.6%
<b>LOOP 360 WSC</b>	<b>2,803</b>	<b>2,803</b>	<b>2,803</b>	<b>2,803</b>	<b>2,803</b>	<b>2,803</b>
2006 Plan	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803	2,803
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>LOST CREEK MUD</b>	<b>4,372</b>	<b>4,372</b>	<b>4,372</b>	<b>4,372</b>	<b>4,372</b>	<b>4,372</b>
2006 Plan	4,372	4,372	4,372	4,372	4,372	4,372
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>MANOR</b>	<b>6,275</b>	<b>7,558</b>	<b>8,815</b>	<b>9,955</b>	<b>10,784</b>	<b>11,613</b>
2006 Plan	1,319	1,473	1,615	1,704	1,798	1,895
Difference	4,956	6,085	7,200	8,251	8,986	9,718
% change	375.7%	413.1%	445.8%	484.2%	499.8%	512.8%
<b>MANVILLE WSC</b>	<b>12,987</b>	<b>17,931</b>	<b>22,498</b>	<b>25,350</b>	<b>28,367</b>	<b>31,474</b>
2006 Plan	12,987	17,931	22,498	25,350	28,367	31,474
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>MUSTANG RIDGE</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>590</b>	<b>686</b>	<b>746</b>	<b>809</b>	<b>874</b>
2006 Plan	486	590	686	746	809	874
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>TRAVIS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>NORTH AUSTIN MUD #1</b>	<b>780</b>	<b>780</b>	<b>780</b>	<b>780</b>	<b>780</b>	<b>780</b>
2006 Plan	780	780	780	780	780	780
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>NORTH TRAVIS COUNTY MUD #5</b>	<b>3,615</b>	<b>5,614</b>	<b>7,460</b>	<b>8,613</b>	<b>9,833</b>	<b>11,089</b>
2006 Plan	3,615	5,614	7,460	8,613	9,833	11,089
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>PFLUGERVILLE</b>	<b>39,480</b>	<b>47,557</b>	<b>55,460</b>	<b>62,638</b>	<b>67,850</b>	<b>73,069</b>
2006 Plan	24,709	35,916	46,268	52,733	59,572	66,614
Difference	14,771	11,641	9,192	9,905	8,278	6,455
% change	59.8%	32.4%	19.9%	18.8%	13.9%	9.7%
<b>RIVER PLACE ON LAKE AUSTIN</b>	<b>4,449</b>	<b>5,250</b>	<b>5,250</b>	<b>5,250</b>	<b>5,250</b>	<b>5,250</b>
2006 Plan	4,449	5,250	5,250	5,250	5,250	5,250
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>ROLLINGWOOD</b>	<b>1,414</b>	<b>1,428</b>	<b>1,441</b>	<b>1,449</b>	<b>1,458</b>	<b>1,467</b>
2006 Plan	1,414	1,428	1,441	1,449	1,458	1,467
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>ROUND ROCK</b>	<b>1,806</b>	<b>2,782</b>	<b>3,684</b>	<b>4,247</b>	<b>4,843</b>	<b>5,456</b>
2006 Plan	1,806	2,782	3,684	4,247	4,843	5,456
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>SAN LEANNA**</b>	<b>546</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>768</b>	<b>867</b>	<b>939</b>	<b>1,011</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	546	658	767	867	939	1,011
% change	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>SHADY HOLLOW MUD</b>	<b>4,732</b>	<b>4,732</b>	<b>4,732</b>	<b>4,732</b>	<b>4,732</b>	<b>4,732</b>
2006 Plan	4,732	4,732	4,732	4,732	4,732	4,732
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>THE HILLS</b>	<b>2,301</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>3,000</b>
2006 Plan	2,301	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY WCID #17</b>	<b>26,130</b>	<b>32,500</b>	<b>36,000</b>	<b>40,000</b>	<b>42,000</b>	<b>44,500</b>
2006 Plan	15,838	22,283	28,236	31,954	35,887	39,936
Difference	10,292	10,217	7,764	8,046	6,113	4,564
% change	65.0%	45.9%	27.5%	25.2%	17.0%	11.4%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY WICD #18</b>	<b>6,291</b>	<b>8,133</b>	<b>9,834</b>	<b>10,896</b>	<b>12,020</b>	<b>13,177</b>
2006 Plan	6,291	8,133	9,834	10,896	12,020	13,177
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>TRAVIS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY WICD #19</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>716</b>
2006 Plan	716	716	716	716	716	716
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY WICD #20</b>	<b>1,140</b>	<b>1,140</b>	<b>1,140</b>	<b>1,140</b>	<b>1,140</b>	<b>1,140</b>
2006 Plan	1,140	1,140	1,140	1,140	1,140	1,140
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WELLS BRANCH MUD</b>	<b>8,211</b>	<b>8,211</b>	<b>8,211</b>	<b>8,211</b>	<b>8,211</b>	<b>8,211</b>
2006 Plan	8,211	8,211	8,211	8,211	8,211	8,211
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WEST LAKE HILLS</b>	<b>3,520</b>	<b>4,061</b>	<b>4,561</b>	<b>4,873</b>	<b>5,203</b>	<b>5,543</b>
2006 Plan	3,520	4,061	4,561	4,873	5,203	5,543
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WEST TRAVIS COUNTY REGIONAL WS</b>	<b>4,881</b>	<b>7,051</b>	<b>9,055</b>	<b>10,307</b>	<b>11,631</b>	<b>12,994</b>
2006 Plan	4,881	7,051	9,055	10,307	11,631	12,994
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WILLIAMSON-TRAVIS COUNTY MUD #1</b>	<b>1,699</b>	<b>2,395</b>	<b>3,037</b>	<b>3,438</b>	<b>3,862</b>	<b>4,299</b>
2006 Plan	1,699	2,395	3,037	3,438	3,862	4,299
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WINDEMERE UTILITY COMPANY</b>	<b>17,999</b>	<b>18,710</b>	<b>18,710</b>	<b>18,710</b>	<b>18,710</b>	<b>18,710</b>
2006 Plan	17,999	18,710	18,710	18,710	18,710	18,710
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>1,003,254</b>	<b>1,201,256</b>	<b>1,402,153</b>	<b>1,583,068</b>	<b>1,770,348</b>	<b>1,918,137</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>969,955</b>	<b>1,185,499</b>	<b>1,385,236</b>	<b>1,550,538</b>	<b>1,722,737</b>	<b>1,888,543</b>

\*No longer a WUG - annexed by City of Austin

\*\* New WUG for 2011 Plan

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K POPULATION PROJECTIONS BASED ON  
COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED POPULATION USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>WHARTON COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>16,063</b>	<b>16,978</b>	<b>17,570</b>	<b>17,909</b>	<b>17,918</b>	<b>17,726</b>
2006 Plan	18,491	19,545	20,226	20,616	20,626	20,406
Difference	-2,428	-2,567	-2,656	-2,707	-2,708	-2,680
% change	-13.1%	-13.1%	-13.1%	-13.1%	-13.1%	-13.1%
<b>EAST BERNARD*</b>	<b>2,428</b>	<b>2,567</b>	<b>2,656</b>	<b>2,707</b>	<b>2,708</b>	<b>2,680</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	2,428	2,566	2,656	2,707	2,708	2,680
% change	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>WHARTON</b>	<b>9,769</b>	<b>10,327</b>	<b>10,685</b>	<b>10,892</b>	<b>10,897</b>	<b>10,782</b>
2006 Plan	9,769	10,327	10,685	10,892	10,897	10,782
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WHARTON COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>28,260</b>	<b>29,872</b>	<b>30,911</b>	<b>31,508</b>	<b>31,523</b>	<b>31,188</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>28,260</b>	<b>29,872</b>	<b>30,911</b>	<b>31,508</b>	<b>31,523</b>	<b>31,188</b>

\* New WUG for 2011 Plan

<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>ANDERSON MILL MUD*</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	8,831	8,831	8,831	8,831	8,831	8,831
Difference	-8,831	-8,831	-8,831	-8,831	-8,831	-8,831
% change	-100.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%
<b>AUSTIN</b>	<b>29,317</b>	<b>39,606</b>	<b>51,839</b>	<b>65,141</b>	<b>79,613</b>	<b>95,134</b>
2006 Plan	20,486	30,775	43,008	56,310	70,782	86,303
Difference	8,831	8,831	8,831	8,831	8,831	8,831
% change	43.1%	28.7%	20.5%	15.7%	12.5%	10.2%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER (COA)</b>	<b>12,317</b>	<b>14,082</b>	<b>16,181</b>	<b>18,463</b>	<b>20,946</b>	<b>23,609</b>
2006 Plan	12,317	14,082	16,181	18,463	20,946	23,609
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>NORTH AUSTIN MUD #1</b>	<b>7,023</b>	<b>7,023</b>	<b>7,023</b>	<b>7,023</b>	<b>7,023</b>	<b>7,023</b>
2006 Plan	7,023	7,023	7,023	7,023	7,023	7,023
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>48,657</b>	<b>60,711</b>	<b>75,043</b>	<b>90,627</b>	<b>107,582</b>	<b>125,766</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>48,657</b>	<b>60,711</b>	<b>75,043</b>	<b>90,627</b>	<b>107,582</b>	<b>125,766</b>

\*No longer a WUG - annexed by City of Austin

<b>TOTAL POPULATION</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>REVISED REGION K TOTAL</b>	<b>1,412,833</b>	<b>1,714,281</b>	<b>2,008,141</b>	<b>2,295,626</b>	<b>2,580,534</b>	<b>2,831,937</b>
2006 Plan	1,359,677	1,657,025	1,936,324	2,181,851	2,447,058	2,713,905
Difference	53,156	57,256	71,817	113,775	133,476	118,032
% change	3.91%	3.46%	3.71%	5.21%	5.45%	4.35%
<b>TWDB RECOMMENDED TOTAL</b>	<b>1,399,256</b>	<b>1,709,437</b>	<b>2,007,980</b>	<b>2,295,236</b>	<b>2,580,534</b>	<b>2,831,937</b>
2006 Plan	1,359,677	1,657,025	1,936,324	2,181,851	2,447,058	2,713,905
Difference	39,579	52,412	71,656	113,385	133,476	118,032
% change	2.91%	3.16%	3.70%	5.20%	5.45%	4.35%

% allowed according to email      4.00%      3.50%

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>BASTROP COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>AQUA WSC</b>	<b>5,629</b>	<b>8,046</b>	<b>9,604</b>	<b>12,573</b>	<b>14,939</b>	<b>17,959</b>
2006 Plan	5,424	6,547	7,827	9,377	11,326	13,859
Difference	205	1,499	1,777	3,196	3,613	4,100
% change	3.8%	22.9%	22.7%	34.1%	31.9%	29.6%
<b>BASTROP</b>	<b>1,992</b>	<b>2,739</b>	<b>3,459</b>	<b>4,517</b>	<b>5,382</b>	<b>6,469</b>
2006 Plan	1,460	1,755	2,115	2,518	3,040	3,709
Difference	532	984	1,344	1,999	2,342	2,760
% change	36.5%	56.0%	63.5%	79.4%	77.0%	74.4%
<b>BASTROP COUNTY WCID #2</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>801</b>	<b>1,029</b>	<b>1,315</b>
2006 Plan	341	473	626	801	1,029	1,315
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>2,521</b>	<b>3,527</b>	<b>4,464</b>	<b>5,890</b>	<b>7,054</b>	<b>8,481</b>
2006 Plan	2,429	3,660	5,068	6,700	8,766	11,353
Difference	92	-133	-604	-810	-1,712	-2,872
% change	3.8%	-3.6%	-11.9%	-12.1%	-19.5%	-25.3%
<b>CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>62</b>
2006 Plan	19	23	29	35	43	54
Difference	0	3	4	8	8	8
% change	0.0%	13.3%	14.9%	22.6%	18.3%	14.4%
<b>ELGIN</b>	<b>1,658</b>	<b>2,278</b>	<b>2,847</b>	<b>3,703</b>	<b>4,404</b>	<b>5,295</b>
2006 Plan	1,063	1,193	1,344	1,521	1,757	2,066
Difference	595	1,085	1,503	2,182	2,647	3,229
% change	55.9%	90.9%	111.9%	143.5%	150.7%	156.3%
<b>LEE COUNTY WSC</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>417</b>
2006 Plan	126	156	192	235	287	356
Difference	0	22	31	58	59	61
% change	0.0%	14.0%	16.0%	24.5%	20.6%	17.1%
<b>MANVILLE WSC</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>266</b>
2006 Plan	67	94	125	161	207	266
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>POLONIA WSC</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>60</b>
2006 Plan	18	23	29	35	44	55
Difference	0	3	3	6	6	5
% change	0.0%	11.0%	11.2%	17.9%	13.0%	8.7%
<b>SMITHVILLE</b>	<b>904</b>	<b>1,234</b>	<b>1,551</b>	<b>2,018</b>	<b>2,398</b>	<b>2,884</b>
2006 Plan	732	838	972	1,122	1,319	1,577
Difference	172	396	579	896	1,079	1,307
% change	23.5%	47.2%	59.6%	79.9%	81.8%	82.9%
<b>BASTROP COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>13,274</b>	<b>18,619</b>	<b>22,966</b>	<b>30,040</b>	<b>35,861</b>	<b>43,209</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>11,679</b>	<b>14,762</b>	<b>18,327</b>	<b>22,505</b>	<b>27,818</b>	<b>34,610</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED  
ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>BLANCO COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>BLANCO</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>576</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>679</b>	<b>745</b>
2006 Plan	303	331	360	381	409	445
Difference	137	177	216	247	270	300
% change	45.3%	53.6%	60.0%	64.8%	66.0%	67.4%
<b>CANYON LAKE WSC</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>545</b>
2006 Plan	188	263	334	397	466	545
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>496</b>	<b>534</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>679</b>
2006 Plan	558	626	692	744	809	894
Difference	-101	-130	-158	-181	-195	-215
% change	-18.0%	-20.8%	-22.8%	-24.3%	-24.1%	-24.1%
<b>JOHNSON CITY</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>601</b>	<b>657</b>
2006 Plan	320	360	397	429	467	512
Difference	62	85	106	125	134	145
% change	19.4%	23.6%	26.8%	29.1%	28.7%	28.4%
<b>BLANCO COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>1,468</b>	<b>1,712</b>	<b>1,948</b>	<b>2,142</b>	<b>2,360</b>	<b>2,626</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>1,369</b>	<b>1,580</b>	<b>1,783</b>	<b>1,951</b>	<b>2,151</b>	<b>2,396</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED  
ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>BURNET COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>BERTRAM</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>527</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>630</b>
2006 Plan	258	295	334	371	412	463
Difference	24	65	111	156	162	167
% change	9.4%	22.0%	33.3%	42.0%	39.3%	36.0%
<b>BURNET</b>	<b>1,111</b>	<b>1,416</b>	<b>1,738</b>	<b>2,063</b>	<b>2,246</b>	<b>2,463</b>
2006 Plan	983	1,143	1,300	1,461	1,635	1,849
Difference	128	273	438	602	611	614
% change	13.0%	23.9%	33.7%	41.2%	37.4%	33.2%
<b>CHISOLM TRAIL SUD</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>94</b>
2006 Plan	28	40	53	66	79	94
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COTTONWOOD SHORES</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>739</b>	<b>978</b>	<b>1,268</b>
2006 Plan	147	177	208	239	271	312
Difference	17	159	316	500	707	956
% change	11.7%	89.8%	151.8%	209.3%	261.0%	306.5%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>2,063</b>	<b>2,535</b>	<b>3,163</b>	<b>3,776</b>	<b>4,056</b>	<b>4,287</b>
2006 Plan	1,947	2,352	2,743	3,131	3,546	4,078
Difference	116	183	420	645	510	209
% change	6.0%	7.8%	15.3%	20.6%	14.4%	5.1%
<b>GRANITE SHOALS</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>535</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>775</b>	<b>844</b>	<b>925</b>
2006 Plan	385	453	525	592	669	763
Difference	39	82	133	183	175	162
% change	10.0%	18.0%	25.3%	30.9%	26.1%	21.2%
<b>KEMPNER WSC</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>548</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>741</b>
2006 Plan	298	381	466	548	636	741
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>KINGSLAND WSC</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>95</b>
2006 Plan	55	63	70	77	85	95
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>LAKE LBJ MUD</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>402</b>
2006 Plan	227	261	293	324	359	402
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>MARBLE FALLS</b>	<b>2,497</b>	<b>3,211</b>	<b>3,976</b>	<b>4,719</b>	<b>5,154</b>	<b>5,653</b>
2006 Plan	1,795	2,016	2,238	2,452	2,693	2,984
Difference	702	1,195	1,738	2,267	2,461	2,669
% change	39.1%	59.3%	77.7%	92.5%	91.4%	89.4%
<b>MEADOWLAKES</b>	<b>879</b>	<b>1,137</b>	<b>1,418</b>	<b>1,690</b>	<b>1,853</b>	<b>2,031</b>
2006 Plan	687	916	1,150	1,372	1,618	1,903
Difference	192	221	268	318	235	128
% change	28.0%	24.2%	23.3%	23.2%	14.5%	6.7%
<b>BURNET COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>8,029</b>	<b>10,275</b>	<b>12,804</b>	<b>15,305</b>	<b>16,864</b>	<b>18,588</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>6,810</b>	<b>8,097</b>	<b>9,380</b>	<b>10,633</b>	<b>12,003</b>	<b>13,684</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED  
ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>COLORADO COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>COLUMBUS</b>	<b>1,026</b>	<b>1,099</b>	<b>1,128</b>	<b>1,113</b>	<b>1,153</b>	<b>1,206</b>
2006 Plan	1,026	1,057	1,067	1,062	1,060	1,048
Difference	0	42	61	51	93	158
% change	0.0%	4.0%	5.7%	4.8%	8.8%	15.1%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>1,193</b>	<b>1,206</b>	<b>1,195</b>	<b>1,165</b>	<b>1,153</b>	<b>1,141</b>
2006 Plan	1,193	1,206	1,195	1,165	1,153	1,141
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>EAGLE LAKE</b>	<b>573</b>	<b>604</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>648</b>
2006 Plan	573	581	581	572	569	563
Difference	0	23	33	27	50	85
% change	0.0%	4.0%	5.7%	4.8%	8.8%	15.1%
<b>WEIMAR</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>395</b>	<b>414</b>
2006 Plan	340	345	346	342	340	337
Difference	23	38	44	40	55	77
% change	6.7%	11.0%	12.9%	11.8%	16.1%	22.8%
<b>COLORADO COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>3,155</b>	<b>3,292</b>	<b>3,328</b>	<b>3,259</b>	<b>3,319</b>	<b>3,408</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>3,132</b>	<b>3,189</b>	<b>3,189</b>	<b>3,141</b>	<b>3,122</b>	<b>3,089</b>

<b>FAYETTE COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>AQUA WSC</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>194</b>
2006 Plan	90	115	135	150	168	194
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>680</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>82</b>
2006 Plan	680	436	285	184	122	82
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>FAYETTE WSC</b>	<b>920</b>	<b>1,298</b>	<b>1,593</b>	<b>1,823</b>	<b>2,103</b>	<b>2,474</b>
2006 Plan	920	1,298	1,593	1,823	2,103	2,474
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>FLATONIA</b>	<b>339</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>411</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>468</b>
2006 Plan	339	368	394	411	434	468
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>LA GRANGE</b>	<b>963</b>	<b>1,129</b>	<b>1,264</b>	<b>1,362</b>	<b>1,483</b>	<b>1,656</b>
2006 Plan	963	1,129	1,264	1,362	1,483	1,656
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>LEE COUNTY WSC</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>609</b>
2006 Plan	254	338	407	461	522	609
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>SCHULENBERG</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>733</b>	<b>801</b>	<b>853</b>	<b>919</b>	<b>1,012</b>
2006 Plan	644	733	801	853	919	1,012
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>3,890</b>	<b>4,417</b>	<b>4,879</b>	<b>5,244</b>	<b>5,751</b>	<b>6,495</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>3,890</b>	<b>4,417</b>	<b>4,879</b>	<b>5,244</b>	<b>5,751</b>	<b>6,495</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED  
ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>1,636</b>	<b>1,815</b>	<b>1,848</b>	<b>1,811</b>	<b>1,792</b>	<b>1,792</b>
2006 Plan	1,636	1,815	1,848	1,811	1,792	1,792
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>FREDERICKSBURG</b>	<b>3,113</b>	<b>3,583</b>	<b>3,798</b>	<b>3,765</b>	<b>3,749</b>	<b>3,749</b>
2006 Plan	2,796	3,153	3,265	3,237	3,223	3,223
Difference	317	430	533	528	526	526
% change	11.3%	13.6%	16.3%	16.3%	16.3%	16.3%
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>4,749</b>	<b>5,398</b>	<b>5,646</b>	<b>5,576</b>	<b>5,541</b>	<b>5,541</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>4,432</b>	<b>4,968</b>	<b>5,113</b>	<b>5,048</b>	<b>5,015</b>	<b>5,015</b>

<b>HAYS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>BUDA</b>	<b>1,454</b>	<b>2,128</b>	<b>2,603</b>	<b>3,088</b>	<b>3,666</b>	<b>4,140</b>
2006 Plan	1,252	2,128	2,603	3,088	3,666	4,140
Difference	202	0	0	0	0	0
% change	16.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>CIMARRON PARK WATER COMPANY</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>489</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>676</b>	<b>789</b>	<b>882</b>
2006 Plan	403	489	582	676	789	882
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>3,359</b>	<b>4,864</b>	<b>6,208</b>	<b>7,576</b>	<b>9,277</b>	<b>10,615</b>
2006 Plan	3,551	4,864	6,208	7,576	9,277	10,615
Difference	-192	0	0	0	0	0
% change	-5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DRIPPING SPRINGS</b>	<b>1,080</b>	<b>1,856</b>	<b>2,297</b>	<b>2,745</b>	<b>3,300</b>	<b>3,736</b>
2006 Plan	1,080	1,856	2,297	2,745	3,300	3,736
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DRIPPING SPRINGS WSC</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>817</b>	<b>1,013</b>	<b>1,166</b>
2006 Plan	348	501	660	817	1,013	1,166
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>HILL COUNTRY WSC</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>980</b>	<b>1,249</b>	<b>1,582</b>	<b>1,844</b>
2006 Plan	440	702	980	1,249	1,582	1,844
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>MOUNTAIN CITY</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>115</b>
2006 Plan	118	116	116	115	115	115
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>HAYS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>7,202</b>	<b>10,656</b>	<b>13,446</b>	<b>16,266</b>	<b>19,742</b>	<b>22,498</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>7,192</b>	<b>10,656</b>	<b>13,446</b>	<b>16,266</b>	<b>19,742</b>	<b>22,498</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>LLANO COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>1,223</b>	<b>1,315</b>	<b>1,341</b>	<b>1,361</b>	<b>1,378</b>	<b>1,405</b>
2006 Plan	983	978	978	973	967	967
Difference	240	337	363	388	411	438
% change	24.4%	34.4%	37.2%	39.9%	42.5%	45.2%
<b>KINGSLAND WSC</b>	<b>689</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>731</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>737</b>	<b>751</b>
2006 Plan	554	546	533	521	517	517
Difference	135	188	198	208	220	234
% change	24.4%	34.4%	37.2%	39.9%	42.5%	45.2%
<b>LAKE LBJ MUD</b>	<b>1,665</b>	<b>1,785</b>	<b>1,800</b>	<b>1,813</b>	<b>1,839</b>	<b>1,874</b>
2006 Plan	1,339	1,328	1,312	1,296	1,290	1,290
Difference	326	457	488	517	549	584
% change	24.4%	34.4%	37.2%	39.9%	42.5%	45.2%
<b>LLANO</b>	<b>1,177</b>	<b>1,259</b>	<b>1,270</b>	<b>1,279</b>	<b>1,294</b>	<b>1,319</b>
2006 Plan	1,005	994	983	971	964	964
Difference	172	265	287	308	330	355
% change	17.1%	26.6%	29.2%	31.7%	34.2%	36.8%
<b>SUNRISE BEACH VILLAGE</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>225</b>
2006 Plan	173	172	170	168	167	167
Difference	27	43	47	50	54	58
% change	15.6%	25.0%	27.5%	30.0%	32.5%	35.0%
<b>LLANO COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>4,954</b>	<b>5,308</b>	<b>5,359</b>	<b>5,399</b>	<b>5,470</b>	<b>5,573</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>4,054</b>	<b>4,018</b>	<b>3,976</b>	<b>3,929</b>	<b>3,905</b>	<b>3,905</b>

<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>BAY CITY</b>	<b>3,236</b>	<b>3,387</b>	<b>3,445</b>	<b>3,441</b>	<b>3,416</b>	<b>3,416</b>
2006 Plan	3,236	3,387	3,445	3,441	3,406	3,375
Difference	0	0	0	0	10	41
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>1,526</b>	<b>1,580</b>	<b>1,587</b>	<b>1,566</b>	<b>1,547</b>	<b>1,547</b>
2006 Plan	1,526	1,580	1,587	1,566	1,543	1,529
Difference	0	0	0	0	4	18
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%
<b>ORBIT SYSTEMS INC.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
2006 Plan	2	2	2	2	2	2
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>PALACIOS</b>	<b>745</b>	<b>777</b>	<b>787</b>	<b>789</b>	<b>782</b>	<b>782</b>
2006 Plan	745	777	787	789	780	773
Difference	0	0	0	0	2	9
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%
<b>SOUTHWEST UTILITIES</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>84</b>
2006 Plan	81	84	85	85	84	83
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	1
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.2%
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>5,590</b>	<b>5,830</b>	<b>5,906</b>	<b>5,883</b>	<b>5,832</b>	<b>5,832</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>5,590</b>	<b>5,830</b>	<b>5,906</b>	<b>5,883</b>	<b>5,815</b>	<b>5,762</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>MILLS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>BROOKSMITH SUD</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>
2006 Plan	7	8	8	8	8	7
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>441</b>	<b>456</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>481</b>
2006 Plan	395	409	402	395	387	380
Difference	39	32	54	28	74	101
% change	10.0%	7.9%	13.4%	7.0%	19.1%	26.5%
<b>GOLDTHWAITE</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>629</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>617</b>	<b>616</b>
2006 Plan	569	582	581	579	571	564
Difference	0	39	48	43	46	52
% change	0.0%	6.7%	8.3%	7.5%	8.0%	9.3%
<b>MILLS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>1,010</b>	<b>1,070</b>	<b>1,093</b>	<b>1,053</b>	<b>1,085</b>	<b>1,104</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>999</b>	<b>991</b>	<b>982</b>	<b>966</b>	<b>951</b>

<b>SAN SABA COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>265</b>
2006 Plan	227	240	252	264	262	265
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>RICHLAND SUD</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>215</b>
2006 Plan	188	199	207	213	213	215
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>SAN SABA</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>877</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>862</b>	<b>856</b>	<b>856</b>
2006 Plan	884	877	869	862	856	856
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>1,299</b>	<b>1,316</b>	<b>1,328</b>	<b>1,339</b>	<b>1,331</b>	<b>1,336</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>1,299</b>	<b>1,316</b>	<b>1,328</b>	<b>1,339</b>	<b>1,331</b>	<b>1,336</b>

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>TRAVIS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>ANDERSON MILL MUD*</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>AQUA WSC</b>	<b>1,421</b>	<b>1,634</b>	<b>1,815</b>	<b>1,938</b>	<b>2,066</b>	<b>2,214</b>
2006 Plan	1,088	1,251	1,390	1,484	1,582	1,695
Difference	333	383	425	454	484	519
% change	30.6%	30.6%	30.6%	30.6%	30.6%	30.6%
<b>AUSTIN</b>	<b>150,180</b>	<b>179,861</b>	<b>212,133</b>	<b>241,074</b>	<b>271,296</b>	<b>293,095</b>
2006 Plan	150,180	183,509	214,242	241,074	268,462	293,095
Difference	0	-3,648	-2,109	0	2,834	0
% change	0.0%	-2.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
<b>BARTON CREEK WEST WSC</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>395</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>391</b>
2006 Plan	401	398	395	393	391	391
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>BEE CAVE VILLAGE</b>	<b>1,178</b>	<b>1,414</b>	<b>1,647</b>	<b>1,856</b>	<b>2,009</b>	<b>2,164</b>
2006 Plan	493	694	880	995	1,118	1,245
Difference	685	720	767	861	891	919
% change	138.8%	103.7%	87.1%	86.5%	79.7%	73.8%
<b>BRIARCLIFF VILLAGE</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>449</b>
2006 Plan	254	350	439	494	552	614
Difference	0	-51	-94	-107	-135	-165
% change	0.0%	-14.5%	-21.4%	-21.6%	-24.4%	-26.9%
<b>CEDAR PARK</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>443</b>	<b>506</b>	<b>570</b>
2006 Plan	188	290	384	443	506	570
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>5,262</b>	<b>4,941</b>	<b>3,544</b>	<b>2,646</b>	<b>2,309</b>	<b>2,392</b>
2006 Plan	6,561	5,397	4,455	3,297	2,309	2,392
Difference	-1,299	-456	-911	-651	0	0
% change	-19.8%	-8.4%	-20.4%	-19.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>736</b>	<b>841</b>	<b>907</b>	<b>976</b>	<b>1,057</b>
2006 Plan	628	736	841	907	976	1,057
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>ELGIN</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>25</b>
2006 Plan	9	14	18	21	24	27
Difference	5	3	1	1	0	-2
% change	55.9%	20.9%	5.7%	3.4%	-1.9%	-6.6%
<b>GOFORTH WSC</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>63</b>
2006 Plan	30	39	47	52	58	63
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED  
ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>TRAVIS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>HILL COUNTRY WSC</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>484</b>	<b>555</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>714</b>
2006 Plan	238	364	484	555	633	714
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>JONESTOWN</b>	<b>467</b>	<b>548</b>	<b>625</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>751</b>	<b>809</b>
2006 Plan	280	329	372	400	429	463
Difference	187	219	253	300	322	346
% change	66.7%	66.7%	68.0%	75.0%	75.1%	74.8%
<b>JONESTOWN WSC</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>205</b>
2006 Plan	122	145	164	176	190	205
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>LAGO VISTA</b>	<b>2,260</b>	<b>2,702</b>	<b>3,142</b>	<b>3,536</b>	<b>3,830</b>	<b>4,124</b>
2006 Plan	2,006	2,698	3,340	3,733	4,161	4,602
Difference	254	4	-198	-197	-331	-478
% change	12.6%	0.2%	-5.9%	-5.3%	-8.0%	-10.4%
<b>LAKEWAY</b>	<b>4,750</b>	<b>5,682</b>	<b>6,582</b>	<b>7,407</b>	<b>8,023</b>	<b>8,641</b>
2006 Plan	3,529	4,716	5,796	6,467	7,199	7,953
Difference	1,221	966	786	940	824	688
% change	34.6%	20.5%	13.6%	14.5%	11.4%	8.6%
<b>LOOP 360 WSC</b>	<b>1,228</b>	<b>1,225</b>	<b>1,221</b>	<b>1,218</b>	<b>1,218</b>	<b>1,218</b>
2006 Plan	1,228	1,225	1,221	1,218	1,218	1,218
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>LOST CREEK MUD</b>	<b>935</b>	<b>921</b>	<b>906</b>	<b>891</b>	<b>882</b>	<b>882</b>
2006 Plan	935	921	906	891	882	882
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>MANOR</b>	<b>1,356</b>	<b>1,601</b>	<b>1,834</b>	<b>2,051</b>	<b>2,213</b>	<b>2,378</b>
2006 Plan	285	312	336	351	369	388
Difference	1,071	1,289	1,498	1,700	1,844	1,990
% change	375.7%	413.1%	445.8%	484.2%	499.8%	512.8%
<b>MANVILLE WSC</b>	<b>1,731</b>	<b>2,350</b>	<b>2,898</b>	<b>3,237</b>	<b>3,622</b>	<b>4,019</b>
2006 Plan	1,731	2,350	2,898	3,237	3,622	4,019
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>MUSTANG RIDGE</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>205</b>
2006 Plan	118	141	162	176	190	205
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>TRAVIS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>NORTH AUSTIN MUD #1</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>102</b>
2006 Plan	109	107	106	103	102	102
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>NORTH TRAVIS COUNTY MUD #5</b>	<b>514</b>	<b>792</b>	<b>1,045</b>	<b>1,196</b>	<b>1,366</b>	<b>1,540</b>
2006 Plan	514	792	1,045	1,196	1,366	1,540
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>PFLUGERVILLE</b>	<b>6,899</b>	<b>8,204</b>	<b>9,505</b>	<b>10,664</b>	<b>11,552</b>	<b>12,441</b>
2006 Plan	4,318	6,196	7,930	8,978	10,143	11,342
Difference	2,581	2,008	1,575	1,686	1,409	1,099
% change	59.8%	32.4%	19.9%	18.8%	13.9%	9.7%
<b>RIVER PLACE ON LAKE AUSTIN</b>	<b>1,470</b>	<b>1,723</b>	<b>1,723</b>	<b>1,717</b>	<b>1,717</b>	<b>1,717</b>
2006 Plan	1,470	1,723	1,723	1,717	1,717	1,717
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>ROLLINGWOOD</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>373</b>
2006 Plan	377	376	374	372	371	373
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>ROUND ROCK</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>792</b>	<b>909</b>	<b>1,036</b>	<b>1,167</b>
2006 Plan	399	605	792	909	1,036	1,167
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>SAN LEANNA**</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>185</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	100	120	140	158	171	185
% change	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>SHADY HOLLOW MUD</b>	<b>747</b>	<b>731</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>694</b>	<b>694</b>
2006 Plan	747	731	716	700	694	694
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>THE HILLS</b>	<b>567</b>	<b>733</b>	<b>733</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>729</b>
2006 Plan	567	733	733	729	729	729
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY WCID #17</b>	<b>4,712</b>	<b>5,752</b>	<b>6,331</b>	<b>6,990</b>	<b>7,339</b>	<b>7,777</b>
2006 Plan	2,856	3,944	4,966	5,584	6,271	6,979
Difference	1,856	1,808	1,365	1,406	1,068	798
% change	65.0%	45.9%	27.5%	25.2%	17.0%	11.4%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY WICD #18</b>	<b>853</b>	<b>1,075</b>	<b>1,278</b>	<b>1,404</b>	<b>1,535</b>	<b>1,683</b>
2006 Plan	853	1,075	1,278	1,404	1,535	1,683
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>TRAVIS COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY WICD #19</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>371</b>
2006 Plan	376	374	372	371	371	371
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY WICD #20</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>456</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>455</b>
2006 Plan	462	460	457	456	455	455
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WELLS BRANCH MUD</b>	<b>1,508</b>	<b>1,490</b>	<b>1,472</b>	<b>1,444</b>	<b>1,435</b>	<b>1,435</b>
2006 Plan	1,508	1,490	1,472	1,444	1,435	1,435
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WEST LAKE HILLS</b>	<b>1,605</b>	<b>1,833</b>	<b>2,049</b>	<b>2,178</b>	<b>2,320</b>	<b>2,471</b>
2006 Plan	1,605	1,833	2,049	2,178	2,320	2,471
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WEST TRAVIS COUNTY REGIONAL WS</b>	<b>782</b>	<b>1,114</b>	<b>1,420</b>	<b>1,605</b>	<b>1,811</b>	<b>2,023</b>
2006 Plan	782	1,114	1,420	1,605	1,811	2,023
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WILLIAMSON-TRAVIS COUNTY MUD #1</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>482</b>
2006 Plan	198	274	344	385	433	482
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WINDEMERE UTILITY COMPANY</b>	<b>2,157</b>	<b>2,222</b>	<b>2,201</b>	<b>2,180</b>	<b>2,180</b>	<b>2,180</b>
2006 Plan	2,157	2,222	2,201	2,180	2,180	2,180
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>196,595</b>	<b>233,294</b>	<b>270,247</b>	<b>303,225</b>	<b>337,222</b>	<b>363,439</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>189,602</b>	<b>229,928</b>	<b>266,748</b>	<b>296,675</b>	<b>327,840</b>	<b>357,541</b>

\*No longer a WUG - annexed by City of Austin

\*\* New WUG for 2011 Plan

**REVISION TO INITIALLY PREPARED REGION K MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BASED ON COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM TWDB AND VARIOUS WATER USER GROUPS**

**REVISED MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR) USING TWDB RECOMMENDATION AS GUIDANCE**

<b>WHARTON COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>1,836</b>	<b>1,883</b>	<b>1,889</b>	<b>1,865</b>	<b>1,847</b>	<b>1,827</b>
2006 Plan	2,113	2,168	2,175	2,147	2,126	2,103
Difference	-277	-285	-286	-282	-279	-276
% change	-13.1%	-13.1%	-13.1%	-13.1%	-13.1%	-13.1%
<b>EAST BERNARD*</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>276</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	277	285	285	282	279	276
% change	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>WHARTON</b>	<b>1,663</b>	<b>1,712</b>	<b>1,735</b>	<b>1,733</b>	<b>1,721</b>	<b>1,703</b>
2006 Plan	1,663	1,712	1,735	1,733	1,721	1,703
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WHARTON COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>3,776</b>	<b>3,880</b>	<b>3,910</b>	<b>3,880</b>	<b>3,847</b>	<b>3,806</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>3,776</b>	<b>3,880</b>	<b>3,910</b>	<b>3,880</b>	<b>3,847</b>	<b>3,806</b>

\* New WUG for 2011 Plan

<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>ANDERSON MILL MUD*</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	1,464	1,434	1,405	1,375	1,355	1,355
Difference	-1,464	-1,434	-1,405	-1,375	-1,355	-1,355
% change	-100.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%
<b>AUSTIN</b>	<b>5,457</b>	<b>7,398</b>	<b>9,691</b>	<b>12,161</b>	<b>14,834</b>	<b>17,693</b>
2006 Plan	3,993	5,964	8,286	10,786	13,479	16,338
Difference	1,464	1,434	1,405	1,375	1,355	1,355
% change	36.7%	24.0%	17.0%	12.7%	10.1%	8.3%
<b>COUNTY-OTHER (COA)</b>	<b>2,401</b>	<b>2,729</b>	<b>3,118</b>	<b>3,536</b>	<b>3,989</b>	<b>4,469</b>
2006 Plan	2,401	2,729	3,118	3,536	3,989	4,469
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>NORTH AUSTIN MUD #1</b>	<b>983</b>	<b>968</b>	<b>952</b>	<b>928</b>	<b>920</b>	<b>920</b>
2006 Plan	983	968	952	928	920	920
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>8,841</b>	<b>11,095</b>	<b>13,761</b>	<b>16,625</b>	<b>19,743</b>	<b>23,082</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>8,841</b>	<b>11,095</b>	<b>13,761</b>	<b>16,625</b>	<b>19,743</b>	<b>23,082</b>

\*No longer a WUG - annexed by City of Austin

<b>TOTAL MUNICIPAL DEMAND</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>
<b>REVISED REGION K TOTAL</b>	<b>262,514</b>	<b>315,265</b>	<b>365,820</b>	<b>414,652</b>	<b>462,997</b>	<b>505,227</b>
2006 Plan	252,637	304,735	352,737	394,101	439,049	484,170
Difference	9,877	10,530	13,083	20,551	23,948	21,057
% change	3.91%	3.46%	3.71%	5.21%	5.45%	4.35%

**ADOPTED REGION K PROJECTED STEAM-ELECTRIC WATER DEMANDS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT**

COUNTY	STEAM-ELECTRIC WATER DEMAND (AC-FT/YR)					
	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
<b>BASTROP COUNTY</b>	<b>12,000</b>	<b>14,000</b>	<b>16,000</b>	<b>18,000</b>	<b>19,500</b>	<b>19,500</b>
2006 Plan	12,000	14,000	16,000	18,000	19,500	19,500
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>BLANCO COUNTY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>BURNET COUNTY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>COLORADO COUNTY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY</b>	<b>29,622</b>	<b>29,702</b>	<b>33,002</b>	<b>63,843</b>	<b>63,843</b>	<b>69,753</b>
2006 Plan	42,720	43,200	52,500	63,840	63,840	69,750
Difference	-13,098	-13,498	-19,498	3	3	3
% change	-30.7%	-31.2%	-37.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>HAYS COUNTY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>LLANO COUNTY</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>15,000</b>
2006 Plan	1,057	843	985	1,159	1,371	1,629
Difference	443	657	515	13,841	13,629	13,371
% change	41.9%	77.9%	52.3%	1194.2%	994.1%	820.8%
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY</b>	<b>83,000</b>	<b>135,000</b>	<b>135,000</b>	<b>135,000</b>	<b>135,000</b>	<b>135,000</b>
2006 Plan	80,000	80,000	102,000	102,000	102,000	102,000
Difference	3,000	55,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000
% change	3.8%	68.8%	32.4%	32.4%	32.4%	32.4%
<b>MILLS COUNTY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY</b>	<b>17,500</b>	<b>18,500</b>	<b>22,500</b>	<b>23,500</b>	<b>27,500</b>	<b>28,500</b>
2006 Plan	17,500	18,500	22,500	23,500	27,500	28,500
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>WHARTON COUNTY</b>	<b>2,545</b>	<b>2,651</b>	<b>2,711</b>	<b>2,783</b>	<b>2,872</b>	<b>2,979</b>
2006 Plan	245	351	411	483	572	679
Difference	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
% change	938.8%	655.3%	559.6%	476.2%	402.1%	338.7%
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2006 Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>REGION K TOTAL</b>	<b>146,167</b>	<b>201,353</b>	<b>210,713</b>	<b>258,126</b>	<b>263,715</b>	<b>270,732</b>
<b>2006 PLAN TOTAL</b>	<b>153,522</b>	<b>156,894</b>	<b>194,396</b>	<b>208,982</b>	<b>214,783</b>	<b>222,058</b>