


# Region K Technical Memorandum for 2021 Regional Water Plan

Prepared for:  
Texas Water Development Board

Prepared By:  
AECOM Technical Services, Inc.  
TBPE Reg. No. F-3580



September 2018

## Region K Technical Memorandum for 2021 Regional Water Plan

To	Texas Water Development Board Staff	Page	1
CC	Lower Colorado Regional Water Planning Group		
Subject	<b>Technical Memorandum</b>		
<hr/>			
From	Jaime Burke, P.E.		
Date	September 7, 2018		

This Technical Memorandum is a compilation of the task work performed to date as part of the regional water planning process to develop the 2021 Region K Water Plan for the Lower Colorado Regional Water Planning Area. It is prepared for the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) as a deliverable associated with Task 4C.

*Attachment A* of this Technical Memorandum includes the TWDB DB22 Database Reports that provide data on the following areas:

- Population Projections
- Water Demand Projections for all water use categories
- Summary of demands, supplies, and needs by water use category
- Water Sources and their availability volumes
- Existing Water Supplies for all Water User Groups
- Analysis of Water Needs and Surpluses
- Water Source Balance (Availability – Water User Group Supply)
- Comparison of Water User Group and Water Source data between the 2016 Regional Water Plan and the 2021 Regional Water Plan

The data provided in this Technical Memorandum is draft, and may be subject to change prior to final approval of the 2021 Region K Water Plan.

This Technical Memorandum also includes a description of the methodologies used in the development of the water availability data, information regarding model versions and dates, the documented process used by the Lower Colorado Regional Water Planning Group (Region K RWPG) to identify potentially feasible water management strategies, a list of potentially feasible water management strategies identified to date, and a statement regarding simplified planning.

### 1. Methodologies

#### a. Groundwater Source Availability

For the majority of the groundwater source availability volumes in Region K, the Modeled Available Groundwater (MAG) volumes are used. However, there are several groundwater sources that do not have MAG volumes because the sources were identified by their respective Groundwater Management Areas (GMAs) as non-relevant for joint planning purposes as part of the MAG process. Districts in a GMA may classify

all or portions of a relevant aquifer as non-relevant if the districts determine that aquifer characteristics, groundwater demands, and current groundwater uses do not warrant adoption of a desired future condition. Below is a list of the methodologies used to develop regional planning availabilities for groundwater sources identified as non-relevant in Region K.

1. Ellenburger-San Saba Aquifer (Blanco County, Colorado and Guadalupe Basins)
  - a. The availability was determined based on the effective recharge listed in the GTA Aquifer Assessment 10-01 MAG for GMA-9 (TWDB, June 22, 2011).
2. Ellenburger-San Saba Aquifer (Llano County, Colorado Basin)
  - a. The availability was determined based on the 5-foot drawdown total pumping volume listed in the GTA Aquifer Assessment 08-08 for GMA-7 (TWDB, August 31, 2010).
3. Hickory Aquifer (Blanco County, Colorado Basin)
  - a. The availability was determined based on the estimated recharge listed in the GAM Run 18-003 Blanco-Pedernales Groundwater Conservation District Groundwater Management Plan (TWDB, April 3, 2018).
4. Marble Falls Aquifer (Blanco County, Colorado Basin)
  - a. The availability was determined based on the estimated recharge listed in the GAM Run 18-003 Blanco-Pedernales Groundwater Conservation District Groundwater Management Plan (TWDB, April 3, 2018).
5. Other Aquifer (Bastrop County, Colorado Basin)
  - a. The availability was determined based on TCEQ Drinking Water Watch (DWW) database listed total production for City of Bastrop, along with published TWDB historical groundwater pumpage data for Bastrop County WCID 2 and Mining in Bastrop County, Colorado Basin. Same methodology used for 2016 Plan.
6. Other Aquifer (Burnet County, Brazos Basin)
  - a. The availability was determined based on mining groundwater usage listed in the TWDB historical groundwater pumpage data. Same methodology used for 2016 Plan.
7. Other Aquifer (Burnet County, Colorado Basin)
  - a. The availability was determined based on discussion with Central Texas Groundwater Conservation District regarding alluvial permits and Granite/Granite Gravel Aquifer permits, as well as published TWDB historical groundwater pumpage data for other/unknown aquifers for exempt uses. Same methodology used for 2016 Plan.
8. Other Aquifer (Fayette County, Colorado Basin)
  - a. The availability was determined based on discussion with Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District regarding alluvial supplies during the 2016 planning cycle. No changes to the methodology for this cycle.

9. Other Aquifer (Llano County, Colorado Basin)
  - a. The availability was determined based on review of published TWDB historical groundwater pumpage data for County-Other, Kingsland WSC, and Livestock in Llano County. Same methodology used for 2016 Plan.
10. Other Aquifer (Travis County, Colorado Basin)
  - a. The availability was determined based on review of published TWDB historical groundwater pumpage data for water uses in Travis County. In addition, the TCEQ DWW database lists the source of the City of Manor's groundwater wells as alluvial. Same methodology used for 2016 Plan.
11. Other Aquifer (Travis County, Guadalupe Basin)
  - a. The availability was determined based on review of published TWDB historical groundwater pumpage data for water uses in Travis County. Same methodology used for 2016 Plan.

During the posting period of the Draft Technical Memorandum, the GMA-7 MAG volume updates were released. With the update, the Marble Falls Aquifer in San Saba County changed from a MAG to a non-MAG. The Region K RWPG will be reviewing the methodology needed to develop an availability volume for this aquifer prior to the Initially Prepared Plan being completed.

**b. Surface Water Source Availability**

For surface water availability, two surface water models are used for Region K.

1. The unmodified TCEQ Guadalupe-San Antonio River Basin WAM Run 3 model (version date 10/17/2014) was used to determine the firm yield of the Blanco Reservoir.
  - a. AECOM ran this model on July 6, 2018. No projected sedimentation was required due to the small size of the reservoir.
2. The model used to determine surface water availability volumes in the Colorado River Basin and Brazos-Colorado Coastal Basin, including the firm yield of the Highland Lakes reservoir system, is a modified version of the TCEQ Colorado WAM Run 3 model known as the Region K Cutoff Model (version date 6/4/2018).
  - a. The modified model was approved for use in determining current water supply availability and for evaluation of water management strategies in the development of the 2021 Region K Water Plan by the TWDB Executive Administrator on March 28, 2018.
  - b. Projected sedimentation was incorporated into the model runs for 2020-2070.
  - c. The most current model runs were performed by Trungale Engineering and Science (TES) on August 21, 2018.

The modifications to the TCEQ Colorado WAM Run 3 model include the following:

1. All water rights at and above Lakes O.H. Ivie and Brownwood are senior to downstream water rights (while maintaining relative date priority in rights upstream). This assumption reflects historical and current water management operational practices between the upper and lower Colorado Basin, and allows

for increased water availability upstream of Lakes O.H. Ivie and Brownwood in Region F and decreased availability downstream in Region K.

2. Expand the period of naturalized flows to include 1940-2016. Extending the hydrology period to 2016 allows for better analysis of the recent drought and may identify a new “drought of record.”
3. Calculate the firm yield for the Buchanan-Travis Reservoir System. These two reservoirs are operated as a system, and their firm yield is determined as such.
4. Include provisions of LCRA-STP 2006 Settlement Agreement. This is an agreement that is not included in the TCEQ WAM Run 3, but is representative of current water management operations in the basin.
5. The 2015 LCRA Water Management Plan environmental flow criteria are not used for water supply analysis. An amount of firm water (33,440 AFY) is allocated per year as a commitment from the firm yield of the Highland Lakes.
6. 2015 LCRA Water Management Plan Interruptible Water is turned off for water supply analysis.

In order to show the resulting differences in the Region K reservoir and reservoir system firm yield numbers between the unmodified TCEQ WAM Run 3 model (version date 2/1/2018) and the Region K Cutoff Model, a table is provided below.

Reservoir(s)	Firm Yield (AFY)	
	TCEQ WAM Run 3	Region K Cutoff Model (2020)
Highland Lakes	480,291	384,877
Llano	0	0
Goldthwaite	0	0

## 2. Documented Process for Identifying Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies

The Region K RWPG presented its process for identifying potentially feasible water management strategies for public comment at the April 11, 2018 Region K meeting.

The approved documented process is as follows:

1. Define groupings or common areas with supply deficiencies.
2. Develop a comprehensive list of potentially feasible strategies for each area.
3. Meet with potential suppliers/WUGs for each area to determine current strategies under consideration.
4. Prepare qualitative rating based on cost, reliability, environmental impact, and political acceptability for the various strategies.
5. Select one or more additional strategies for each area, if appropriate.

6. Present proposed shortlist at Public Meeting during Region K Planning Group meeting for modification and/or approval.

### **3. Tabular List of all Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies Identified by Region K to Date**

The Region K RWPG has identified potentially feasible water management strategies for the 2021 Regional Water Plan by reviewing the water management strategies considered and recommended in the 2016 Regional Water Plan and by reaching out to Water User Groups and Wholesale Water Providers to ask for feedback on their anticipated strategy plans. Based on the work-to-date, a list has been tabulated in matrix form using a template provided by TWDB staff and is included as *Attachment B* to this Technical Memorandum. Strategies are listed for Water User Groups regardless of water need. This list is subject to change as the planning process progresses.

### **4. Statement on Simplified Planning**

The Region K RWPG does not plan to pursue simplified planning for this planning cycle.

### **5. Public Comments**

No public comments had been received at the time of this document's submittal. Public comments received after the submittal of this Technical Memorandum, but on or before September 12, 2018, will be submitted separately by September 14, 2018.

# **ATTACHMENT A**





### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Population

	WUG POPULATION					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
COUNTY-OTHER	14,244	16,213	16,436	18,190	19,801	21,189
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>42,184</b>	<b>51,682</b>	<b>60,492</b>	<b>68,051</b>	<b>74,650</b>	<b>80,371</b>
<b>BURNET COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>53,114</b>	<b>64,268</b>	<b>73,673</b>	<b>82,668</b>	<b>90,571</b>	<b>97,426</b>
EAGLE LAKE	1,160	1,210	1,248	1,302	1,349	1,393
COUNTY-OTHER	1,253	1,308	1,348	1,408	1,457	1,505
<b>BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>2,413</b>	<b>2,518</b>	<b>2,596</b>	<b>2,710</b>	<b>2,806</b>	<b>2,898</b>
COLUMBUS	3,832	3,999	4,123	4,305	4,457	4,605
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	275	287	296	309	320	331
EAGLE LAKE	2,643	2,758	2,843	2,968	3,072	3,175
WEIMAR	710	741	764	798	825	853
COUNTY-OTHER	7,871	8,214	8,467	8,842	9,154	9,457
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>15,331</b>	<b>15,999</b>	<b>16,493</b>	<b>17,222</b>	<b>17,828</b>	<b>18,421</b>
WEIMAR	1,454	1,516	1,565	1,633	1,691	1,747
COUNTY-OTHER	2,686	2,803	2,890	3,017	3,124	3,227
<b>LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>4,140</b>	<b>4,319</b>	<b>4,455</b>	<b>4,650</b>	<b>4,815</b>	<b>4,974</b>
<b>COLORADO COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>21,884</b>	<b>22,836</b>	<b>23,544</b>	<b>24,582</b>	<b>25,449</b>	<b>26,293</b>
AQUA WSC	24	27	30	31	33	34
FAYETTE COUNTY WCID MONUMENT HILL	760	803	870	926	970	1,003
FAYETTE WSC	4,350	4,965	5,383	5,728	5,997	6,206
LA GRANGE	5,478	6,253	6,778	7,212	7,552	7,816
LEE COUNTY WSC	1,435	1,638	1,775	1,889	1,979	2,047
WEST END WSC	1,197	1,366	1,521	1,686	1,855	2,032
COUNTY-OTHER	6,241	7,166	7,743	8,192	8,522	8,744
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>19,485</b>	<b>22,218</b>	<b>24,100</b>	<b>25,664</b>	<b>26,908</b>	<b>27,882</b>
FAYETTE WSC	282	322	349	371	389	402
FLATONIA	313	357	387	412	432	446
COUNTY-OTHER	375	430	465	492	512	525
<b>GUADALUPE BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>1,109</b>	<b>1,201</b>	<b>1,275</b>	<b>1,333</b>	<b>1,373</b>
FAYETTE WSC	510	582	631	671	703	728
FLATONIA	1,345	1,536	1,665	1,771	1,855	1,919
SCHULENBURG	3,147	3,592	3,894	4,143	4,339	4,490
COUNTY-OTHER	2,916	3,347	3,617	3,827	3,981	4,084
<b>LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>7,918</b>	<b>9,057</b>	<b>9,807</b>	<b>10,412</b>	<b>10,878</b>	<b>11,221</b>
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>28,373</b>	<b>32,384</b>	<b>35,108</b>	<b>37,351</b>	<b>39,119</b>	<b>40,476</b>
FREDERICKSBURG	12,056	12,938	13,666	14,519	15,304	16,067
COUNTY-OTHER	14,172	15,302	16,233	17,324	18,328	19,303
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>26,228</b>	<b>28,240</b>	<b>29,899</b>	<b>31,843</b>	<b>33,632</b>	<b>35,370</b>
COUNTY-OTHER	567	612	649	693	733	772
<b>GUADALUPE BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>567</b>	<b>612</b>	<b>649</b>	<b>693</b>	<b>733</b>	<b>772</b>
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>26,795</b>	<b>28,852</b>	<b>30,548</b>	<b>32,536</b>	<b>34,365</b>	<b>36,142</b>

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Population

	WUG POPULATION					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
AUSTIN	1,074	4,796	7,560	11,957	17,535	25,255
BUDA	9,831	14,132	19,369	25,916	33,315	41,735
CIMARRON PARK WATER	2,115	2,115	2,115	2,115	2,115	2,115
DEER CREEK RANCH WATER	331	392	451	494	529	569
DRIPPING SPRINGS WSC	11,000	18,500	24,000	31,000	39,500	44,000
GOFORTH SUD	1,366	1,801	2,329	2,985	3,724	4,564
HAYS	1,222	1,606	2,038	2,429	3,036	3,727
HAYS COUNTY WCID 1	3,647	3,647	3,647	3,647	3,647	3,647
HAYS COUNTY WCID 2	1,224	1,608	2,041	2,433	3,041	3,732
WEST TRAVIS COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY AGENCY	12,788	15,985	17,981	22,131	26,281	30,431
COUNTY-OTHER	10,986	8,661	13,216	16,522	19,284	26,804
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>55,584</b>	<b>73,243</b>	<b>94,747</b>	<b>121,629</b>	<b>152,007</b>	<b>186,579</b>
<b>HAYS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>55,584</b>	<b>73,243</b>	<b>94,747</b>	<b>121,629</b>	<b>152,007</b>	<b>186,579</b>
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	1,199	1,211	1,223	1,235	1,248	1,260
HORSESHOE BAY	4,933	5,117	4,989	5,058	4,984	4,872
KINGSLAND WSC	8,419	9,716	9,680	9,247	10,078	10,938
LLANO	3,565	3,759	3,754	3,689	3,814	3,943
SUNRISE BEACH VILLAGE	720	724	723	721	723	726
COUNTY-OTHER	2,455	1,926	2,053	2,085	1,932	1,810
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>21,291</b>	<b>22,453</b>	<b>22,422</b>	<b>22,035</b>	<b>22,779</b>	<b>23,549</b>
<b>LLANO COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>21,291</b>	<b>22,453</b>	<b>22,422</b>	<b>22,035</b>	<b>22,779</b>	<b>23,549</b>
BAY CITY	19,246	20,259	20,908	21,410	21,766	22,021
CANEY CREEK MUD OF MATAGORDA COUNTY	2,088	2,198	2,270	2,324	2,362	2,390
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	36	39	39	40	41	42
MATAGORDA COUNTY WCID 6	1,099	1,158	1,194	1,223	1,244	1,258
MATAGORDA WASTE DISPOSAL & WSC	276	291	300	308	312	317
COUNTY-OTHER	4,304	4,529	4,674	4,787	4,867	4,924
<b>BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>27,049</b>	<b>28,474</b>	<b>29,385</b>	<b>30,092</b>	<b>30,592</b>	<b>30,952</b>
BAY CITY	39	41	42	43	44	45
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	7	7	8	8	8	8
MATAGORDA WASTE DISPOSAL & WSC	415	437	451	461	469	475
COUNTY-OTHER	914	962	993	1,017	1,034	1,046
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>1,375</b>	<b>1,447</b>	<b>1,494</b>	<b>1,529</b>	<b>1,555</b>	<b>1,574</b>
MARKHAM MUD	1,013	1,066	1,101	1,127	1,146	1,159
PALACIOS	5,019	5,283	5,453	5,584	5,677	5,743
COUNTY-OTHER	4,710	4,956	5,115	5,238	5,326	5,387
<b>COLORADO-LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>10,742</b>	<b>11,305</b>	<b>11,669</b>	<b>11,949</b>	<b>12,149</b>	<b>12,289</b>
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>39,166</b>	<b>41,226</b>	<b>42,548</b>	<b>43,570</b>	<b>44,296</b>	<b>44,815</b>
GOLDTHWAITE	54	56	57	60	62	64
COUNTY-OTHER	1,108	1,145	1,175	1,222	1,269	1,322

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Population

	WUG POPULATION					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
<b>BRAZOS BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>1,162</b>	<b>1,201</b>	<b>1,232</b>	<b>1,282</b>	<b>1,331</b>	<b>1,386</b>
BROOKESMITH SUD	48	50	51	53	55	57
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	74	76	78	81	84	87
GOLDTHWAITE	2,021	2,088	2,146	2,229	2,315	2,411
ZEPHYR WSC	39	40	42	43	45	47
COUNTY-OTHER	1,568	1,621	1,664	1,729	1,795	1,871
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>3,750</b>	<b>3,875</b>	<b>3,981</b>	<b>4,135</b>	<b>4,294</b>	<b>4,473</b>
<b>MILLS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>4,912</b>	<b>5,076</b>	<b>5,213</b>	<b>5,417</b>	<b>5,625</b>	<b>5,859</b>
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	94	99	100	98	100	103
NORTH SAN SABA WSC	647	678	681	671	686	702
RICHLAND SUD	956	1,002	1,007	991	1,015	1,038
SAN SABA	3,384	3,546	3,565	3,507	3,591	3,673
COUNTY-OTHER	1,403	1,468	1,480	1,455	1,487	1,523
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>6,793</b>	<b>6,833</b>	<b>6,722</b>	<b>6,879</b>	<b>7,039</b>
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>6,793</b>	<b>6,833</b>	<b>6,722</b>	<b>6,879</b>	<b>7,039</b>
AQUA WSC	6,627	7,652	8,618	9,700	10,656	11,544
AUSTIN	976,785	1,153,560	1,337,673	1,464,157	1,564,930	1,701,504
BARTON CREEK WEST WSC	1,337	1,337	1,337	1,337	1,337	1,337
BARTON CREEK WSC	702	832	956	1,047	1,121	1,206
BRIARCLIFF	2,009	2,320	2,613	2,942	3,231	3,500
CEDAR PARK	10,913	11,641	12,521	12,521	12,521	12,521
COTTONWOOD CREEK MUD 1	1,447	1,715	1,970	2,158	2,312	2,485
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	5,429	6,241	7,007	7,864	8,625	9,336
CYPRESS RANCH WCID 1	1,233	1,416	1,551	1,661	1,786	1,786
DEER CREEK RANCH WATER	556	659	757	829	888	954
ELGIN	1,814	2,615	3,371	4,217	4,963	5,658
GARFIELD WSC	1,772	2,100	2,412	2,641	2,830	3,042
HORNSBY BEND UTILITY	7,066	8,372	9,616	10,531	11,282	12,130
HURST CREEK MUD	3,095	3,095	3,095	3,095	3,095	3,095
JONESTOWN WSC	3,948	4,222	4,481	4,768	5,022	5,259
KELLY LANE WCID 1	1,693	1,693	1,693	1,693	1,693	1,693
LAGO VISTA	7,580	8,964	10,269	11,730	13,020	14,220
LAKEWAY MUD	10,906	11,546	12,186	12,826	13,025	13,025
LEANDER	11,246	26,735	28,349	29,963	30,689	32,033
LOOP 360 WSC	2,086	2,169	2,262	2,344	2,420	2,556
MANOR	8,650	12,017	15,193	18,750	21,889	24,808
MANVILLE WSC	15,661	19,292	22,716	26,550	29,934	33,081
NORTH AUSTIN MUD 1	780	780	780	780	780	780
NORTHTOWN MUD	10,834	12,509	14,091	15,859	17,421	18,874
OAK SHORES WATER SYSTEM	546	632	632	632	632	632
PFLUGERVILLE	62,745	78,245	95,599	112,807	130,167	130,167

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Population

	WUG POPULATION					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
ROLLINGWOOD	1,421	1,429	1,436	1,444	1,451	1,458
ROUGH HOLLOW IN TRAVIS COUNTY	2,767	5,698	5,698	5,698	5,698	5,698
ROUND ROCK	1,732	2,003	2,258	2,544	2,796	3,030
SENNA HILLS MUD	1,219	1,445	1,660	1,818	1,947	2,093
SHADY HOLLOW MUD	4,366	4,366	4,366	4,366	4,366	4,366
SUNSET VALLEY	930	1,063	1,234	1,432	1,662	1,929
SWEETWATER COMMUNITY	2,760	5,832	5,832	5,832	5,832	5,832
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 10	348	412	474	519	556	597
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 14	2,015	2,388	2,742	3,003	3,218	3,459
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 2	2,527	2,994	3,439	3,767	4,036	4,338
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 4	2,446	2,825	3,182	3,581	3,934	4,263
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 10	7,628	8,364	9,058	9,835	10,521	11,160
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 17	36,720	39,741	43,715	44,473	45,671	47,125
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 18	6,344	7,324	8,250	9,287	10,201	11,051
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 19	682	682	682	682	682	682
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 20	1,130	1,130	1,130	1,130	1,130	1,130
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID POINT VENTURE	1,036	1,325	1,568	1,900	2,273	2,601
WELLS BRANCH MUD	18,750	18,750	18,750	18,750	18,750	18,750
WEST TRAVIS COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY AGENCY	19,039	21,037	22,715	25,324	26,990	28,480
WILLIAMSON COUNTY WSID 3	910	1,143	1,143	1,143	1,143	1,143
WILLIAMSON TRAVIS COUNTIES MUD 1	1,113	1,113	1,113	1,113	1,113	1,113
WINDERMERE UTILITY	17,866	17,866	17,866	17,866	17,866	17,866
COUNTY-OTHER   AQUA TEXAS - RIVERCREST	774	774	774	774	774	774
COUNTY-OTHER	6,130	6,130	6,130	6,130	6,130	6,130
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>1,298,113</b>	<b>1,538,193</b>	<b>1,766,963</b>	<b>1,935,813</b>	<b>2,075,009</b>	<b>2,232,294</b>
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	348	400	449	504	553	598
GOFORTH SUD	87	115	148	190	237	291
COUNTY-OTHER	76	76	76	76	76	76
<b>GUADALUPE BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>591</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>770</b>	<b>866</b>	<b>965</b>
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>1,298,624</b>	<b>1,538,784</b>	<b>1,767,636</b>	<b>1,936,583</b>	<b>2,075,875</b>	<b>2,233,259</b>
BOLING MWD	855	910	954	992	1,027	1,058
WHARTON	5,185	5,518	5,784	6,014	6,226	6,414
WHARTON COUNTY WCID 2	2,235	2,379	2,493	2,593	2,684	2,765
COUNTY-OTHER	8,614	9,165	9,608	9,991	10,344	10,656
<b>BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>16,889</b>	<b>17,972</b>	<b>18,839</b>	<b>19,590</b>	<b>20,281</b>	<b>20,893</b>
EL CAMPO	27	29	30	31	32	33
WHARTON	4,242	4,515	4,732	4,920	5,094	5,248
COUNTY-OTHER	4,452	4,737	4,966	5,163	5,346	5,508
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>8,721</b>	<b>9,281</b>	<b>9,728</b>	<b>10,114</b>	<b>10,472</b>	<b>10,789</b>
COUNTY-OTHER	1,434	1,526	1,599	1,663	1,722	1,774
<b>COLORADO-LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>1,434</b>	<b>1,526</b>	<b>1,599</b>	<b>1,663</b>	<b>1,722</b>	<b>1,774</b>

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Population

	WUG POPULATION					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
COUNTY-OTHER	140	149	156	162	168	173
<b>LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>173</b>
<b>WHARTON COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>27,184</b>	<b>28,928</b>	<b>30,322</b>	<b>31,529</b>	<b>32,643</b>	<b>33,629</b>
AUSTIN	61,729	79,661	93,459	108,319	125,171	143,660
NORTH AUSTIN MUD 1	7,442	7,442	7,442	7,442	7,442	7,442
WELLS BRANCH MUD	1,073	1,073	1,073	1,073	1,073	1,073
COUNTY-OTHER	434	611	592	570	546	520
<b>BRAZOS BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>70,678</b>	<b>88,787</b>	<b>102,566</b>	<b>117,404</b>	<b>134,232</b>	<b>152,695</b>
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>70,678</b>	<b>88,787</b>	<b>102,566</b>	<b>117,404</b>	<b>134,232</b>	<b>152,695</b>
<b>REGION K TOTAL POPULATION</b>	<b>1,762,591</b>	<b>2,094,664</b>	<b>2,416,725</b>	<b>2,697,306</b>	<b>2,971,155</b>	<b>3,290,477</b>

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Demand

	WUG DEMAND (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
AQUA WSC	90	116	150	197	262	347
LEE COUNTY WSC	54	68	88	115	153	203
COUNTY-OTHER	9	10	11	14	17	21
MINING	173	409	450	360	24	29
LIVESTOCK	70	70	70	70	70	70
IRRIGATION	257	257	257	257	257	257
<b>BRAZOS BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>653</b>	<b>930</b>	<b>1,026</b>	<b>1,013</b>	<b>783</b>	<b>927</b>
AQUA WSC	9,072	11,636	15,054	19,775	26,231	34,832
BASTROP	2,046	2,709	3,590	4,803	6,458	8,660
BASTROP COUNTY WCID 2	479	690	971	1,357	1,882	2,580
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	2	3	3	3	4	4
ELGIN	1,317	1,674	2,155	2,822	3,734	4,950
LEE COUNTY WSC	73	93	120	157	208	276
POLONIA WSC	29	36	45	58	76	100
SMITHVILLE	821	1,048	1,351	1,774	2,353	3,125
COUNTY-OTHER	1,375	1,567	1,828	2,187	2,677	3,333
MANUFACTURING	188	215	215	215	215	215
MINING	2,567	6,064	6,674	5,339	355	423
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	10,288	10,288	10,288	10,288	10,288	10,288
LIVESTOCK	1,011	1,011	1,011	1,011	1,011	1,011
IRRIGATION	3,808	3,808	3,808	3,808	3,808	3,808
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>33,076</b>	<b>40,842</b>	<b>47,113</b>	<b>53,597</b>	<b>59,300</b>	<b>73,605</b>
AQUA WSC	64	82	106	140	185	246
COUNTY-OTHER	34	39	45	54	67	83
MINING	144	340	374	299	20	24
LIVESTOCK	54	54	54	54	54	54
IRRIGATION	215	215	215	215	215	215
<b>GUADALUPE BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>730</b>	<b>794</b>	<b>762</b>	<b>541</b>	<b>622</b>
<b>BASTROP COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>34,240</b>	<b>42,502</b>	<b>48,933</b>	<b>55,372</b>	<b>60,624</b>	<b>75,154</b>
JOHNSON CITY	353	411	443	460	473	480
COUNTY-OTHER	576	653	688	698	701	696
MINING	5	5	5	5	5	5
LIVESTOCK	255	255	255	255	255	255
IRRIGATION	934	934	934	934	934	934
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>2,123</b>	<b>2,258</b>	<b>2,325</b>	<b>2,352</b>	<b>2,368</b>	<b>2,370</b>
BLANCO	316	365	393	407	418	425
CANYON LAKE WATER SERVICE	83	115	147	180	213	245
COUNTY-OTHER	432	490	517	524	526	523
LIVESTOCK	76	76	76	76	76	76
IRRIGATION	393	393	393	393	393	393
<b>GUADALUPE BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>1,300</b>	<b>1,439</b>	<b>1,526</b>	<b>1,580</b>	<b>1,626</b>	<b>1,662</b>
<b>BLANCO COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>3,423</b>	<b>3,697</b>	<b>3,851</b>	<b>3,932</b>	<b>3,994</b>	<b>4,032</b>
BERTRAM	430	511	581	649	710	764
BURNET	7	8	9	10	11	12
GEORGETOWN	84	100	114	128	140	150
KEMPNER WSC	132	146	158	171	184	196
COUNTY-OTHER	1,228	1,366	1,364	1,499	1,627	1,740

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Demand

	WUG DEMAND (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
MINING	1,123	1,354	1,595	1,815	2,067	2,354
LIVESTOCK	630	630	630	630	630	630
IRRIGATION	160	160	160	160	160	160
<b>BRAZOS BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>3,794</b>	<b>4,275</b>	<b>4,611</b>	<b>5,062</b>	<b>5,529</b>	<b>6,006</b>
BURNET	1,654	1,968	2,235	2,496	2,731	2,937
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	126	149	168	187	204	220
COTTONWOOD SHORES	245	291	330	368	402	433
GRANITE SHOALS	578	646	701	765	877	1,052
HORSESHOE BAY	548	767	952	1,128	1,285	1,421
KINGSLAND WSC	46	55	62	69	75	81
MARBLE FALLS	2,354	3,400	4,884	5,661	6,184	6,446
MEADOWLAKES	852	843	838	836	835	835
COUNTY-OTHER	2,186	2,432	2,428	2,668	2,897	3,098
MANUFACTURING	251	299	299	299	299	299
MINING	3,367	4,058	4,784	5,440	6,196	7,058
LIVESTOCK	1,061	1,061	1,061	1,061	1,061	1,061
IRRIGATION	1,338	1,338	1,338	1,338	1,338	1,338
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>14,606</b>	<b>17,307</b>	<b>20,080</b>	<b>22,316</b>	<b>24,384</b>	<b>26,279</b>
<b>BURNET COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>18,400</b>	<b>21,582</b>	<b>24,691</b>	<b>27,378</b>	<b>29,913</b>	<b>32,285</b>
EAGLE LAKE	159	160	160	165	170	176
COUNTY-OTHER	154	155	156	160	165	170
MANUFACTURING	13	15	15	15	15	15
MINING	160	162	163	165	167	168
LIVESTOCK	163	163	163	163	163	163
IRRIGATION	50,709	49,345	48,017	46,726	45,469	44,246
<b>BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>51,358</b>	<b>50,000</b>	<b>48,674</b>	<b>47,394</b>	<b>46,149</b>	<b>44,938</b>
COLUMBUS	1,134	1,164	1,185	1,229	1,271	1,313
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	43	44	44	46	47	49
EAGLE LAKE	362	365	366	375	388	400
WEIMAR	163	166	169	175	181	187
COUNTY-OTHER	969	975	977	1,005	1,038	1,072
MANUFACTURING	50	59	59	59	59	59
MINING	4,899	4,947	4,999	5,048	5,098	5,149
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	228	228	228	228	228	228
LIVESTOCK	740	740	740	740	740	740
IRRIGATION	34,346	33,422	32,523	31,648	30,797	29,969
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>42,934</b>	<b>42,110</b>	<b>41,290</b>	<b>40,553</b>	<b>39,847</b>	<b>39,166</b>
WEIMAR	333	341	346	358	370	382
COUNTY-OTHER	330	333	334	343	354	365
MANUFACTURING	897	1,058	1,058	1,058	1,058	1,058
MINING	266	269	271	274	277	280
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	4,743	4,743	4,743	4,743	4,743	4,743
LIVESTOCK	373	373	373	373	373	373
IRRIGATION	88,057	85,688	83,384	81,140	78,957	76,833
<b>LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>94,999</b>	<b>92,805</b>	<b>90,509</b>	<b>88,289</b>	<b>86,132</b>	<b>84,034</b>
<b>COLORADO COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>189,291</b>	<b>184,915</b>	<b>180,473</b>	<b>176,236</b>	<b>172,128</b>	<b>168,138</b>
AQUA WSC	4	4	5	5	5	5

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Demand

	WUG DEMAND (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
FAYETTE COUNTY WCID MONUMENT HILL	184	192	205	217	227	235
FAYETTE WSC	610	679	725	765	799	827
LA GRANGE	957	1,063	1,132	1,194	1,248	1,292
LEE COUNTY WSC	182	202	215	226	236	244
WEST END WSC	130	142	153	167	183	201
COUNTY-OTHER	810	897	945	988	1,025	1,052
MANUFACTURING	2	3	3	3	3	3
MINING	2,046	1,646	1,187	743	291	284
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	49,211	49,211	49,211	49,211	49,211	49,211
LIVESTOCK	1,370	1,370	1,370	1,370	1,370	1,370
IRRIGATION	521	521	521	521	521	521
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>56,027</b>	<b>55,930</b>	<b>55,672</b>	<b>55,410</b>	<b>55,119</b>	<b>55,245</b>
FAYETTE WSC	40	44	47	50	52	54
FLATONIA	65	73	78	82	86	89
COUNTY-OTHER	49	54	57	59	62	63
MINING	126	101	73	46	18	17
LIVESTOCK	78	78	78	78	78	78
IRRIGATION	83	83	83	83	83	83
<b>GUADALUPE BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>441</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>384</b>
FAYETTE WSC	72	80	85	90	94	97
FLATONIA	281	313	334	353	369	381
SCHULENBURG	701	783	838	885	926	958
COUNTY-OTHER	379	419	442	462	479	491
MANUFACTURING	394	439	439	439	439	439
MINING	354	285	205	129	50	49
LIVESTOCK	278	278	278	278	278	278
IRRIGATION	224	224	224	224	224	224
<b>LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>2,683</b>	<b>2,821</b>	<b>2,845</b>	<b>2,860</b>	<b>2,859</b>	<b>2,917</b>
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>59,151</b>	<b>59,184</b>	<b>58,933</b>	<b>58,668</b>	<b>58,357</b>	<b>58,546</b>
FREDERICKSBURG	3,351	3,543	3,703	3,911	4,118	4,322
COUNTY-OTHER	1,668	1,738	1,797	1,891	1,995	2,100
MANUFACTURING	77	93	93	93	93	93
MINING	4	4	4	4	4	4
LIVESTOCK	1,175	1,175	1,175	1,175	1,175	1,175
IRRIGATION	2,383	2,383	2,383	2,383	2,383	2,383
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>8,658</b>	<b>8,936</b>	<b>9,155</b>	<b>9,457</b>	<b>9,768</b>	<b>10,077</b>
COUNTY-OTHER	67	70	72	76	80	84
LIVESTOCK	37	37	37	37	37	37
<b>GUADALUPE BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>8,762</b>	<b>9,043</b>	<b>9,264</b>	<b>9,570</b>	<b>9,885</b>	<b>10,198</b>
AUSTIN	188	827	1,304	2,063	3,025	4,357
BUDA	1,768	2,508	3,419	4,563	5,860	7,338
CIMARRON PARK WATER	244	236	230	226	225	225
DEER CREEK RANCH WATER	26	29	33	35	38	41
DRIPPING SPRINGS WSC	1,930	3,190	4,103	5,278	6,716	7,476
GOFORTH SUD	153	196	249	317	395	484
HAYS	183	235	294	348	435	533



### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Demand

	WUG DEMAND (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
HAYS COUNTY WCID 1	821	808	801	798	797	797
HAYS COUNTY WCID 2	285	369	464	551	688	844
WEST TRAVIS COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY AGENCY	4,499	5,590	6,273	7,711	9,151	10,593
COUNTY-OTHER	1,351	1,038	1,553	1,929	2,245	3,118
MANUFACTURING	277	324	324	324	324	324
MINING	845	1,075	1,361	1,445	1,654	1,893
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	1,187	1,187	1,187	1,187	1,187	1,187
LIVESTOCK	17	17	17	17	17	17
IRRIGATION	525	525	525	525	525	525
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>14,299</b>	<b>18,154</b>	<b>22,137</b>	<b>27,317</b>	<b>33,282</b>	<b>39,752</b>
<b>HAYS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>14,299</b>	<b>18,154</b>	<b>22,137</b>	<b>27,317</b>	<b>33,282</b>	<b>39,752</b>
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	187	184	183	184	185	187
HORSESHOE BAY	2,268	2,333	2,264	2,289	2,255	2,203
KINGSLAND WSC	918	1,032	1,015	962	1,045	1,133
LLANO	862	891	877	855	883	913
SUNRISE BEACH VILLAGE	74	71	69	68	68	68
COUNTY-OTHER	260	202	215	217	200	187
MANUFACTURING	3	4	4	4	4	4
MINING	3	3	3	3	3	3
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	1,748	1,748	1,748	1,748	1,748	1,748
LIVESTOCK	580	580	580	580	580	580
IRRIGATION	998	998	998	998	998	998
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>7,901</b>	<b>8,046</b>	<b>7,956</b>	<b>7,908</b>	<b>7,969</b>	<b>8,024</b>
<b>LLANO COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>7,901</b>	<b>8,046</b>	<b>7,956</b>	<b>7,908</b>	<b>7,969</b>	<b>8,024</b>
BAY CITY	2,910	2,963	2,979	3,025	3,068	3,104
CANEY CREEK MUD OF MATAGORDA COUNTY	252	255	255	258	261	264
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	6	6	6	6	6	6
MATAGORDA COUNTY WCID 6	113	113	112	113	115	116
MATAGORDA WASTE DISPOSAL & WSC	51	52	52	53	54	55
COUNTY-OTHER	449	451	448	450	456	461
MINING	53	56	42	30	19	12
LIVESTOCK	475	475	475	475	475	475
IRRIGATION	92,589	90,098	87,675	85,316	83,021	80,788
<b>BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>96,898</b>	<b>94,469</b>	<b>92,044</b>	<b>89,726</b>	<b>87,475</b>	<b>85,281</b>
BAY CITY	6	6	6	6	6	6
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	1	1	1	1	1	1
MATAGORDA WASTE DISPOSAL & WSC	76	78	79	80	81	82
COUNTY-OTHER	95	96	95	96	97	98
MANUFACTURING	4,199	4,916	4,916	4,916	4,916	4,916
MINING	8	8	6	5	3	2
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	80,536	80,536	80,536	80,536	80,536	80,536
LIVESTOCK	94	94	94	94	94	94
IRRIGATION	1,719	1,672	1,627	1,584	1,541	1,500
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>86,734</b>	<b>87,407</b>	<b>87,360</b>	<b>87,318</b>	<b>87,275</b>	<b>87,235</b>
MARKHAM MUD	97	96	96	96	98	99
PALACIOS	615	623	624	629	638	645

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Demand

	WUG DEMAND (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
COUNTY-OTHER	492	493	491	492	499	505
MINING	35	36	27	20	13	8
LIVESTOCK	506	506	506	506	506	506
IRRIGATION	97,280	94,664	92,117	89,639	87,228	84,881
<b>COLORADO-LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>99,025</b>	<b>96,418</b>	<b>93,861</b>	<b>91,382</b>	<b>88,982</b>	<b>86,644</b>
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>282,657</b>	<b>278,294</b>	<b>273,265</b>	<b>268,426</b>	<b>263,732</b>	<b>259,160</b>
GOLDTHWAITE	10	10	11	11	11	12
COUNTY-OTHER	142	141	140	144	149	155
MINING	2	2	2	2	2	2
LIVESTOCK	293	293	293	293	293	293
IRRIGATION	2,988	2,988	2,988	2,988	2,988	2,988
<b>BRAZOS BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>3,435</b>	<b>3,434</b>	<b>3,434</b>	<b>3,438</b>	<b>3,443</b>	<b>3,450</b>
BROOKESMITH SUD	7	7	7	7	8	8
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	12	12	12	12	12	13
GOLDTHWAITE	390	393	395	407	422	439
ZEPHYR WSC	3	3	3	3	3	4
COUNTY-OTHER	201	200	198	204	211	220
MANUFACTURING	2	2	2	2	2	2
MINING	2	2	2	2	2	2
LIVESTOCK	570	570	570	570	570	570
IRRIGATION	1,755	1,755	1,755	1,755	1,755	1,755
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>2,942</b>	<b>2,944</b>	<b>2,944</b>	<b>2,962</b>	<b>2,985</b>	<b>3,013</b>
<b>MILLS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>6,377</b>	<b>6,378</b>	<b>6,378</b>	<b>6,400</b>	<b>6,428</b>	<b>6,463</b>
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	15	15	15	15	15	15
NORTH SAN SABA WSC	185	191	190	187	191	195
RICHLAND SUD	224	231	229	224	229	235
SAN SABA	1,175	1,216	1,212	1,186	1,213	1,241
COUNTY-OTHER	218	220	217	213	217	222
MANUFACTURING	10	12	12	12	12	12
MINING	1,088	1,093	944	900	864	838
LIVESTOCK	779	779	779	779	779	779
IRRIGATION	7,199	7,199	7,199	7,199	7,199	7,199
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>10,893</b>	<b>10,956</b>	<b>10,797</b>	<b>10,715</b>	<b>10,719</b>	<b>10,736</b>
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>10,893</b>	<b>10,956</b>	<b>10,797</b>	<b>10,715</b>	<b>10,719</b>	<b>10,736</b>
AQUA WSC	1,088	1,226	1,362	1,524	1,671	1,809
AUSTIN	170,686	198,992	230,751	252,570	269,954	293,513
BARTON CREEK WEST WSC	436	433	430	428	427	427
BARTON CREEK WSC	524	619	709	776	830	893
BRIARCLIFF	300	340	380	425	466	504
CEDAR PARK	2,251	2,387	2,554	2,550	2,547	2,546
COTTONWOOD CREEK MUD 1	95	107	120	129	138	148
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	602	662	721	797	872	944
CYPRESS RANCH WCID 1	121	134	144	153	164	163
DEER CREEK RANCH WATER	43	49	55	59	63	68
ELGIN	255	357	453	563	662	754
GARFIELD WSC	199	230	259	281	301	323

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Demand

	WUG DEMAND (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
HORNSBY BEND UTILITY	594	678	761	823	879	944
HURST CREEK MUD	1,718	1,709	1,703	1,700	1,699	1,699
JONESTOWN WSC	675	709	744	787	828	866
KELLY LANE WCID 1	322	317	313	312	311	311
LAGO VISTA	1,868	2,184	2,487	2,832	3,140	3,428
LAKEWAY MUD	2,757	2,882	3,019	3,166	3,212	3,211
LEANDER	1,519	3,550	3,747	3,953	4,046	4,222
LOOP 360 WSC	1,225	1,268	1,318	1,363	1,407	1,486
MANOR	1,110	1,517	1,907	2,346	2,736	3,099
MANVILLE WSC	2,439	2,946	3,435	3,994	4,496	4,966
NORTH AUSTIN MUD 1	81	78	76	75	75	75
NORTHTOWN MUD	728	841	947	1,066	1,171	1,268
OAK SHORES WATER SYSTEM	150	171	170	169	169	169
PFLUGERVILLE	10,403	12,819	15,598	18,364	21,167	21,156
ROLLINGWOOD	383	379	375	374	375	377
ROUGH HOLLOW IN TRAVIS COUNTY	589	1,213	1,213	1,213	1,213	1,213
ROUND ROCK	278	315	352	395	434	470
SENNA HILLS MUD	420	493	564	616	659	708
SHADY HOLLOW MUD	793	775	759	750	749	749
SUNSET VALLEY	368	417	483	559	649	753
SWEETWATER COMMUNITY	408	862	862	862	862	862
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 10	74	87	99	108	115	124
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 14	172	196	220	238	254	273
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 2	322	372	421	457	489	525
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 4	1,500	1,728	1,945	2,188	2,402	2,603
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 10	3,499	3,802	4,094	4,433	4,739	5,026
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 17	9,370	10,053	11,016	11,186	11,479	11,841
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 18	1,070	1,207	1,341	1,499	1,643	1,779
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 19	449	447	445	444	444	444
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 20	584	581	579	577	577	577
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID POINT VENTURE	255	322	378	456	545	624
WELLS BRANCH MUD	1,397	1,352	1,321	1,303	1,298	1,297
WEST TRAVIS COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY AGENCY	6,698	7,357	7,925	8,824	9,398	9,914
WILLIAMSON COUNTY WSID 3	120	147	145	144	144	144
WILLIAMSON TRAVIS COUNTIES MUD 1	145	141	139	139	138	138
WINDERMERE UTILITY	2,920	2,864	2,831	2,815	2,810	2,809
COUNTY-OTHER   AQUA TEXAS - RIVERCREST	317	315	313	312	312	312
COUNTY-OTHER	859	852	850	847	841	839
MANUFACTURING	13,164	14,853	14,853	14,853	14,853	14,853
MINING	3,467	4,067	4,714	5,320	5,986	6,749
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	10,253	10,253	10,253	10,253	10,253	10,253
LIVESTOCK	509	509	509	509	509	509
IRRIGATION	4,816	4,816	4,816	4,816	4,816	4,816
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>267,388</b>	<b>307,980</b>	<b>347,978</b>	<b>377,695</b>	<b>402,417</b>	<b>430,573</b>
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	39	42	46	51	56	60
GOFORTH SUD	10	12	16	20	25	31
COUNTY-OTHER	11	11	10	10	10	10

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Demand

	WUG DEMAND (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
MINING	35	41	48	54	60	68
LIVESTOCK	18	18	18	18	18	18
<b>GUADALUPE BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>267,501</b>	<b>308,104</b>	<b>348,116</b>	<b>377,848</b>	<b>402,586</b>	<b>430,760</b>
BOLING MWD	105	107	109	112	115	119
WHARTON	924	956	980	1,010	1,044	1,075
WHARTON COUNTY WCID 2	456	474	488	503	520	535
COUNTY-OTHER	1,136	1,160	1,181	1,225	1,264	1,303
MANUFACTURING	63	69	69	69	69	69
MINING	39	41	30	23	14	10
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	1	1	1	1	1	1
LIVESTOCK	404	404	404	404	404	404
IRRIGATION	106,320	103,461	100,678	97,969	95,334	92,770
<b>BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>109,448</b>	<b>106,673</b>	<b>103,940</b>	<b>101,316</b>	<b>98,765</b>	<b>96,286</b>
EL CAMPO	5	5	5	6	6	6
WHARTON	756	782	802	827	854	880
COUNTY-OTHER	587	599	611	633	654	673
MANUFACTURING	93	102	102	102	102	102
MINING	26	27	20	15	10	6
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	7,900	7,900	7,900	7,900	7,900	7,900
LIVESTOCK	301	301	301	301	301	301
IRRIGATION	65,853	64,081	62,357	60,680	59,048	57,460
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>75,521</b>	<b>73,797</b>	<b>72,098</b>	<b>70,464</b>	<b>68,875</b>	<b>67,328</b>
COUNTY-OTHER	189	193	197	204	211	217
MINING	6	6	5	3	2	1
LIVESTOCK	87	87	87	87	87	87
IRRIGATION	16,937	16,481	16,038	15,607	15,187	14,778
<b>COLORADO-LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>17,219</b>	<b>16,767</b>	<b>16,327</b>	<b>15,901</b>	<b>15,487</b>	<b>15,083</b>
COUNTY-OTHER	18	19	19	20	21	21
<b>LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>WHARTON COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>202,206</b>	<b>197,256</b>	<b>192,384</b>	<b>187,701</b>	<b>183,148</b>	<b>178,718</b>
AUSTIN	10,787	13,742	16,122	18,685	21,592	24,782
NORTH AUSTIN MUD 1	774	747	726	714	711	711
WELLS BRANCH MUD	80	77	76	75	74	74
COUNTY-OTHER	67	93	89	85	81	77
MANUFACTURING	25	30	30	30	30	30
MINING	5	3	3	3	3	3
<b>BRAZOS BASIN TOTAL</b>	<b>11,738</b>	<b>14,692</b>	<b>17,046</b>	<b>19,592</b>	<b>22,491</b>	<b>25,677</b>
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>11,738</b>	<b>14,692</b>	<b>17,046</b>	<b>19,592</b>	<b>22,491</b>	<b>25,677</b>
<b>REGION K TOTAL DEMAND</b>	<b>1,116,839</b>	<b>1,162,803</b>	<b>1,204,224</b>	<b>1,237,063</b>	<b>1,265,256</b>	<b>1,307,643</b>

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Category Summary\*

<b>MUNICIPAL</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>	<b>2070</b>
POPULATION	1,638,831	1,963,185	2,274,558	2,543,336	2,806,190	3,109,576
DEMAND (acre-feet per year)	299,119	351,317	404,340	450,364	495,100	546,479
EXISTING SUPPLIES (acre-feet per year)	449,803	449,313	448,863	450,383	449,140	447,645
NEEDS (acre-feet per year)	5,907	15,236	35,967	52,374	75,292	109,444

<b>COUNTY-OTHER</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>	<b>2070</b>
POPULATION	123,760	131,479	142,167	153,970	164,965	180,901
DEMAND (acre-feet per year)	16,658	17,281	18,288	19,709	21,178	23,309
EXISTING SUPPLIES (acre-feet per year)	36,867	37,088	37,303	37,651	38,135	38,794
NEEDS (acre-feet per year)	562	685	810	952	1,133	2,174

<b>MANUFACTURING</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>	<b>2070</b>
DEMAND (acre-feet per year)	19,708	22,493	22,493	22,493	22,493	22,493
EXISTING SUPPLIES (acre-feet per year)	25,437	27,159	29,591	30,053	30,058	30,062
NEEDS (acre-feet per year)	0	40	40	40	40	40

<b>MINING</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>	<b>2070</b>
DEMAND (acre-feet per year)	20,848	26,104	27,991	27,492	23,207	25,441
EXISTING SUPPLIES (acre-feet per year)	18,505	18,996	19,539	20,132	20,802	21,557
NEEDS (acre-feet per year)	3,216	7,941	9,550	9,008	6,441	7,829

<b>STEAM ELECTRIC POWER</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>	<b>2070</b>
DEMAND (acre-feet per year)	166,095	166,095	166,095	166,095	166,095	166,095
EXISTING SUPPLIES (acre-feet per year)	175,138	175,133	175,128	175,123	175,118	175,114
NEEDS (acre-feet per year)	8,669	8,669	8,669	8,669	8,669	8,669

<b>LIVESTOCK</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>	<b>2070</b>
DEMAND (acre-feet per year)	12,004	12,004	12,004	12,004	12,004	12,004
EXISTING SUPPLIES (acre-feet per year)	14,648	14,648	14,648	14,648	14,648	14,648
NEEDS (acre-feet per year)	0	0	0	0	0	0

<b>IRRIGATION</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2040</b>	<b>2050</b>	<b>2060</b>	<b>2070</b>
DEMAND (acre-feet per year)	582,407	567,509	553,013	538,906	525,179	511,822
EXISTING SUPPLIES (acre-feet per year)	331,525	331,523	331,505	331,487	331,468	331,468
NEEDS (acre-feet per year)	255,217	240,775	226,722	213,046	199,739	186,791

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG's region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Category Summary report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split's projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Before aggregating the difference between supplies and demands to the WUG category level, calculated surpluses are updated to zero so that only the WUGs with needs in the decade are included with the Needs totals.

### Region K Source Availability

GROUNDWATER SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE AVAILABILITY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY *	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	BASTROP	BRAZOS	FRESH	752	847	960	1,233	1,113	1,113
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	20,696	23,206	25,169	28,570	27,823	27,823
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	BASTROP	GUADALUPE	FRESH	212	172	147	248	167	167
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	4,565	4,565	4,565	4,565	4,565	4,565
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	FAYETTE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	909	909	909	909	909	909
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	2,292	2,292	2,292	2,292	2,292	2,292
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	HAYS	COLORADO	SALINE	66	66	66	66	66	66
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	TRAVIS	BRAZOS	FRESH	275	275	275	275	275	275
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH/ BRACKISH	4,962	4,962	4,962	4,962	4,962	4,962
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	1,166	1,166	1,166	1,166	1,166	1,166
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	SALINE	5,073	5,073	5,073	5,073	5,073	5,073
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	TRAVIS	GUADALUPE	SALINE	280	280	280	280	280	280
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	WILLIAMSON	BRAZOS	FRESH	6	6	6	6	6	6
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	WILLIAMSON	COLORADO	FRESH	4	4	4	4	4	4
EDWARDS-TRINITY-PLATEAU AQUIFER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDWARDS-TRINITY-PLATEAU AQUIFER	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDWARDS-TRINITY-PLATEAU AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	2,378	2,378	2,378	2,378	2,378	2,378
EDWARDS-TRINITY-PLATEAU AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	136	136	136	136	136	136
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	2,580	2,580	2,580	2,580	2,580	2,580
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	6	6	6	6	6	6
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	3,833	3,822	3,833	3,822	3,833	3,822
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	7,024	7,005	7,024	7,005	7,024	7,005
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	6,270	6,270	6,270	6,270	6,270	6,270
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	1	1	1	1	1	1
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	2,057	2,057	2,057	2,057	2,057	2,057
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	MILLS	BRAZOS	FRESH	93	93	93	93	93	93
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	407	406	407	406	407	406
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	SAN SABA	COLORADO	FRESH	10,893	10,893	10,893	10,893	10,893	10,893
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	COLORADO	BRAZOS- COLORADO	FRESH	15,391	15,391	15,391	15,391	15,391	15,391
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	COLORADO	COLORADO	FRESH	20,779	20,779	20,383	20,383	20,383	20,383
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	COLORADO	LAVACA	FRESH	39,712	39,712	38,129	38,129	37,429	37,429
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	FAYETTE	BRAZOS	FRESH	2	2	2	2	2	2
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	989	989	989	989	989	989
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	862	862	862	862	862	862
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	MATAGORDA	BRAZOS- COLORADO	FRESH	15,282	15,282	15,282	15,282	15,282	15,282
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	MATAGORDA	COLORADO	FRESH/ BRACKISH	3,217	3,217	3,217	3,217	3,217	3,217
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	MATAGORDA	COLORADO- LAVACA	FRESH	20,329	20,329	20,329	20,329	20,329	20,329
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	WHARTON	BRAZOS- COLORADO	FRESH	50,527	50,527	50,527	50,527	50,527	50,527
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	WHARTON	COLORADO	FRESH	35,910	35,910	35,910	35,910	35,910	35,910

\*Salinity field indicates whether the source availability is considered 'fresh' (less than 1,000 mg/L), 'brackish' (1,000 to 10,000 mg/L), 'saline' (10,001 mg/L to 34,999 mg/L), or 'seawater' (35,000 mg/L or greater). Sources can also be labeled as 'fresh/brackish' or 'brackish/saline', if a combination of the salinity types is appropriate.

### Region K Source Availability

GROUNDWATER SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE AVAILABILITY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY *	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	WHARTON	COLORADO-LAVACA	FRESH	16,196	16,196	16,196	16,196	16,196	16,196
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	WHARTON	LAVACA	FRESH	579	579	579	579	579	579
HICKORY AQUIFER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	2,089	2,089	2,089	2,089	2,089	2,089
HICKORY AQUIFER	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
HICKORY AQUIFER	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	1,240	1,236	1,240	1,236	1,240	1,236
HICKORY AQUIFER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	2,183	2,177	2,183	2,177	2,183	2,177
HICKORY AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	1,659	1,659	1,659	1,659	1,659	1,659
HICKORY AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
HICKORY AQUIFER	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
HICKORY AQUIFER	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	2,018	2,018	2,018	2,018	2,018	2,018
HICKORY AQUIFER	MILLS	BRAZOS	FRESH	7	7	7	7	7	7
HICKORY AQUIFER	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	29	29	29	29	29	29
HICKORY AQUIFER	SAN SABA	COLORADO	FRESH	1,479	1,479	1,479	1,479	1,479	1,479
HICKORY AQUIFER	TRAVIS	BRAZOS	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
HICKORY AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	199	199	199	199	199	199
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	1,387	1,383	1,387	1,383	1,387	1,383
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	1,357	1,353	1,357	1,353	1,357	1,353
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	MILLS	BRAZOS	FRESH	1	1	1	1	1	1
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	24	24	24	24	24	24
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	SAN SABA	COLORADO	FRESH	11,063	11,063	11,063	11,063	11,063	11,063
OTHER AQUIFER	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	5,340	5,340	5,340	5,340	5,340	5,340
OTHER AQUIFER	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	433	433	433	433	433	433
OTHER AQUIFER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	3,672	3,672	3,672	3,672	3,672	3,672
OTHER AQUIFER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	834	834	834	834	834	834
OTHER AQUIFER	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	629	629	629	629	629	629
OTHER AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	3,770	3,770	3,770	3,770	3,770	3,770
OTHER AQUIFER	TRAVIS	GUADALUPE	FRESH	112	112	112	112	112	112
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	BASTROP	BRAZOS	FRESH	49	47	46	44	42	42
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	353	333	311	288	264	264
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	BASTROP	GUADALUPE	FRESH	156	161	166	173	180	180
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	2,278	2,278	2,278	2,278	2,278	2,278
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	FAYETTE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	430	430	430	430	430	430
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPARTA AQUIFER	BASTROP	BRAZOS	FRESH	89	87	85	84	82	82
SPARTA AQUIFER	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	785	784	783	782	781	781
SPARTA AQUIFER	BASTROP	GUADALUPE	FRESH	33	33	33	33	33	33
SPARTA AQUIFER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	1,659	1,649	1,626	1,612	1,619	1,619
SPARTA AQUIFER	FAYETTE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	1,172	1,176	1,177	1,182	1,183	1,183
SPARTA AQUIFER	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
TRINITY AQUIFER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	1,322	1,322	1,322	1,322	1,322	1,322
TRINITY AQUIFER	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	1,251	1,251	1,251	1,251	1,251	1,251
TRINITY AQUIFER	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	3,138	3,131	3,138	3,131	3,138	3,131
TRINITY AQUIFER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	759	756	759	756	759	756

\*Salinity field indicates whether the source availability is considered 'fresh' (less than 1,000 mg/L), 'brackish' (1,000 to 10,000 mg/L), 'saline' (10,001 mg/L to 34,999 mg/L), or 'seawater' (35,000 mg/L or greater). Sources can also be labeled as 'fresh/brackish' or 'brackish/saline', if a combination of the salinity types is appropriate.

### Region K Source Availability

GROUNDWATER SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE AVAILABILITY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY *	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
TRINITY AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	2,482	2,482	2,482	2,482	2,482	2,482
TRINITY AQUIFER	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	5,690	5,687	5,686	5,686	5,686	5,686
TRINITY AQUIFER	HAYS	GUADALUPE	FRESH	9	9	9	9	9	9
TRINITY AQUIFER	MILLS	BRAZOS	FRESH	808	805	808	805	808	805
TRINITY AQUIFER	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	1,669	1,665	1,669	1,665	1,669	1,665
TRINITY AQUIFER	TRAVIS	BRAZOS	FRESH	1	1	1	1	1	1
TRINITY AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	14,439	14,407	14,410	14,379	14,365	14,350
TRINITY AQUIFER	TRAVIS	GUADALUPE	FRESH	2	2	2	2	2	2
TRINITY AQUIFER	WILLIAMSON	BRAZOS	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
TRINITY AQUIFER	WILLIAMSON	COLORADO	FRESH	67	67	67	67	67	67
YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	7,075	7,075	7,075	7,075	7,074	7,074
YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER	FAYETTE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	694	694	694	694	694	694
YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	1,493	1,493	1,493	1,493	1,493	1,493
<b>GROUNDWATER TOTAL SOURCE AVAILABILITY</b>				<b>384,139</b>	<b>386,577</b>	<b>386,674</b>	<b>390,323</b>	<b>388,712</b>	<b>388,631</b>

REUSE SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE AVAILABILITY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY *	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
DIRECT REUSE	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200
DIRECT REUSE	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
DIRECT REUSE	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	516	516	516	516	516	516
DIRECT REUSE	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	19,500	33,457	45,648	55,598	60,848	60,848
<b>REUSE TOTAL SOURCE AVAILABILITY</b>				<b>24,456</b>	<b>38,413</b>	<b>50,604</b>	<b>60,554</b>	<b>65,804</b>	<b>65,804</b>

SURFACE WATER SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE AVAILABILITY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY *	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
BLANCO LAKE/RESERVOIR	RESERVOIR	GUADALUPE	FRESH	596	596	596	596	596	596
BRAZOS LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BASTROP	BRAZOS	FRESH	94	94	94	94	94	94
BRAZOS LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	630	630	630	630	630	630
BRAZOS LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	MILLS	BRAZOS	FRESH	321	321	321	321	321	321
BRAZOS LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	WILLIAMSON	BRAZOS	FRESH	1	1	1	1	1	1
BRAZOS-COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	COLORADO	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	203	203	203	203	203	203
BRAZOS-COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	MATAGORDA	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	664	664	664	664	664	664
BRAZOS-COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	WHARTON	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	371	371	371	371	371	371
BRAZOS-COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	WHARTON	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
BRAZOS-COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	MATAGORDA	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
BRAZOS-COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	WHARTON	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	4,332	4,332	4,332	4,332	4,332	4,332
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	1,356	1,356	1,356	1,356	1,356	1,356
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	435	435	435	435	435	435
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	1,061	1,061	1,061	1,061	1,061	1,061
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	COLORADO	COLORADO	FRESH	922	922	922	922	922	922

\*Salinity field indicates whether the source availability is considered 'fresh' (less than 1,000 mg/L), 'brackish' (1,000 to 10,000 mg/L), 'saline' (10,001 mg/L to 34,999 mg/L), or 'seawater' (35,000 mg/L or greater). Sources can also be labeled as 'fresh/brackish' or 'brackish/saline', if a combination of the salinity types is appropriate.



### Region K Source Availability

SURFACE WATER SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE AVAILABILITY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY *	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	1,904	1,904	1,904	1,904	1,904	1,904
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	1,030	1,030	1,030	1,030	1,030	1,030
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	220	220	220	220	220	220
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	751	751	751	751	751	751
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	MATAGORDA	COLORADO	FRESH	131	131	131	131	131	131
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	623	623	623	623	623	623
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	SAN SABA	COLORADO	FRESH	1,191	1,191	1,191	1,191	1,191	1,191
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	680	680	680	680	680	680
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	WHARTON	COLORADO	FRESH	277	277	277	277	277	277
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	58	58	58	58	58	58
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	57	57	57	57	57	57
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	COLORADO	COLORADO	FRESH	16,883	16,883	16,883	16,883	16,883	16,883
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	158	158	158	158	158	158
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	MATAGORDA	COLORADO	FRESH	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	7,070	7,070	7,070	7,070	7,070	7,070
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	786	786	786	786	786	786
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	67	67	67	67	67	67
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	3,521	3,521	3,521	3,521	3,521	3,521
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	COLORADO	COLORADO	FRESH	132,514	132,514	132,514	132,514	132,514	132,514
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	534	534	534	534	534	534
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	880	880	880	880	880	880
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	41	41	41	41	41	41
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	440	440	440	440	440	440
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	MATAGORDA	COLORADO	FRESH	159,845	159,845	159,845	159,845	159,845	159,845
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	2,378	2,378	2,378	2,378	2,378	2,378
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	SAN SABA	COLORADO	FRESH	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	211,785	211,785	211,785	211,785	211,785	211,785
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	WHARTON	COLORADO	FRESH	10,562	10,562	10,562	10,562	10,562	10,562
COLORADO-LAVACA LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	MATAGORDA	COLORADO-LAVACA	FRESH	708	708	708	708	708	708
COLORADO-LAVACA LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	WHARTON	COLORADO-LAVACA	FRESH	80	80	80	80	80	80
COLORADO-LAVACA RUN-OF-RIVER	MATAGORDA	COLORADO-LAVACA	FRESH	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
GOLDTHWAITE LAKE/RESERVOIR	RESERVOIR	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
GUADALUPE LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BASTROP	GUADALUPE	FRESH	72	72	72	72	72	72
GUADALUPE LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	129	129	129	129	129	129
GUADALUPE LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	FAYETTE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	142	142	142	142	142	142
GUADALUPE LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	GILLESPIE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	32	32	32	32	32	32
GUADALUPE LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	TRAVIS	GUADALUPE	FRESH	24	24	24	24	24	24
GUADALUPE RUN-OF-RIVER	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	9	9	9	9	9	9
HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	RESERVOIR	COLORADO	FRESH	351,437	350,734	349,980	349,328	348,585	347,811
LAKE LONG/RESERVOIR	RESERVOIR	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAVACA LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	COLORADO	LAVACA	FRESH	465	465	465	465	465	465
LAVACA LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	386	386	386	386	386	386
LAVACA RUN-OF-RIVER	COLORADO	LAVACA	FRESH	4,002	4,002	4,002	4,002	4,002	4,002

\*Salinity field indicates whether the source availability is considered 'fresh' (less than 1,000 mg/L), 'brackish' (1,000 to 10,000 mg/L), 'saline' (10,001 mg/L to 34,999 mg/L), or 'seawater' (35,000 mg/L or greater). Sources can also be labeled as 'fresh/brackish' or 'brackish/saline', if a combination of the salinity types is appropriate.

### Region K Source Availability

SURFACE WATER SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE AVAILABILITY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY *	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
LAVACA RUN-OF-RIVER	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	20	20	20	20	20	20
LLANO LAKE/RESERVOIR	RESERVOIR	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SURFACE WATER TOTAL SOURCE AVAILABILITY</b>				<b>946,578</b>	<b>945,875</b>	<b>945,121</b>	<b>944,469</b>	<b>943,726</b>	<b>942,952</b>
<b>REGION K TOTAL SOURCE AVAILABILITY</b>				<b>1,355,173</b>	<b>1,370,865</b>	<b>1,382,399</b>	<b>1,395,346</b>	<b>1,398,242</b>	<b>1,397,387</b>

\*Salinity field indicates whether the source availability is considered 'fresh' (less than 1,000 mg/L), 'brackish' (1,000 to 10,000 mg/L), 'saline' (10,001 mg/L to 34,999 mg/L), or 'seawater' (35,000 mg/L or greater). Sources can also be labeled as 'fresh/brackish' or 'brackish/saline', if a combination of the salinity types is appropriate.

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Existing Water Supply

WUG NAME	SOURCE REGION	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	EXISTING SUPPLY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
			2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
AQUA WSC	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	90	116	150	197	262	347
LEE COUNTY WSC	G	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   LEE COUNTY	168	190	228	282	351	432
LEE COUNTY WSC	G	QUEEN CITY AQUIFER   LEE COUNTY	6	6	8	10	12	15
LEE COUNTY WSC	G	SPARTA AQUIFER   LEE COUNTY	12	13	16	20	24	30
COUNTY-OTHER	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	21	21	21	21	21	21
MINING	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	29	29	29	29	29	29
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	94	94	94	94	94	94
IRRIGATION	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	215	215	215	215	215	215
IRRIGATION	K	QUEEN CITY AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	49	47	46	44	42	42
<b>BRAZOS BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>684</b>	<b>731</b>	<b>807</b>	<b>912</b>	<b>1,050</b>	<b>1,225</b>
AQUA WSC	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	8,848	8,848	9,356	10,547	9,528	8,745
BASTROP	K	OTHER AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	2,758	2,758	2,758	2,758	2,758	2,758
BASTROP COUNTY WCID 2	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	766	854	915	1,026	968	930
BASTROP COUNTY WCID 2	K	OTHER AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	472	472	472	472	472	472
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	145	145	145	145	145	145
ELGIN	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	1,317	1,674	2,155	2,288	2,189	2,097
LEE COUNTY WSC	G	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   LEE COUNTY	226	260	311	385	477	587
LEE COUNTY WSC	G	QUEEN CITY AQUIFER   LEE COUNTY	8	9	11	13	16	20
LEE COUNTY WSC	G	SPARTA AQUIFER   LEE COUNTY	16	18	22	27	33	41
POLONIA WSC	L	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   CALDWELL COUNTY	81	84	91	102	118	138
SMITHVILLE	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	1,464	1,632	1,749	1,961	1,850	1,777
COUNTY-OTHER	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	631	823	1,084	1,443	1,933	2,589
COUNTY-OTHER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	744	744	744	744	744	744
MANUFACTURING	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	215	215	215	215	215	215
MINING	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	8	7	7	9	9	9
MINING	K	OTHER AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	2,110	2,110	2,110	2,110	2,110	2,110
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	2,609	3,522	4,022	5,156	4,836	4,727
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	7,679	6,766	6,266	5,132	5,452	5,561
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	696	696	696	696	696	696
LIVESTOCK	K	QUEEN CITY AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	17	17	17	17	17	17
LIVESTOCK	K	SPARTA AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	298	298	298	298	298	298
IRRIGATION	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471
IRRIGATION	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	850	850	850	850	850	850
IRRIGATION	K	QUEEN CITY AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	321	316	294	271	247	247
IRRIGATION	K	SPARTA AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	240	240	240	240	240	240
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>34,990</b>	<b>35,829</b>	<b>37,299</b>	<b>39,376</b>	<b>38,672</b>	<b>38,484</b>
AQUA WSC	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	64	82	106	140	185	246
COUNTY-OTHER	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	34	39	45	54	67	83
MINING	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	142	97	66	66	64	48
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	72	72	72	72	72	72
IRRIGATION	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	36	36	36	36	36	36
IRRIGATION	K	QUEEN CITY AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	156	161	166	173	180	180
IRRIGATION	K	SPARTA AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	23	23	23	23	23	23
<b>GUADALUPE BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>527</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>514</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>627</b>	<b>688</b>
<b>BASTROP COUNTY TOTAL</b>			<b>36,201</b>	<b>37,070</b>	<b>38,620</b>	<b>40,852</b>	<b>40,349</b>	<b>40,397</b>
JOHNSON CITY	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   BLANCO COUNTY	118	118	118	118	118	118
JOHNSON CITY	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   BLANCO COUNTY	282	282	282	282	282	282



### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Existing Water Supply

WUG NAME	SOURCE	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	EXISTING SUPPLY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	REGION		2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
MARBLE FALLS	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
MEADOWLAKES	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	567	567	567	567	567	567
COUNTY-OTHER	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363
COUNTY-OTHER	K	HICKORY AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	184	184	184	184	184	184
COUNTY-OTHER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	2,495	2,495	2,495	2,495	2,495	2,495
COUNTY-OTHER	K	MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	134	134	134	134	134	134
COUNTY-OTHER	K	OTHER AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	958	958	958	958	958	958
COUNTY-OTHER	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	477	477	477	477	477	477
MANUFACTURING	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	500	500	500	500	500	500
MANUFACTURING	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	12	12	12	12	12	12
MINING	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	1	1	1	1	1	1
MINING	K	OTHER AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	2,351	2,351	2,351	2,351	2,351	2,351
MINING	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	80	80	80	80	80	80
LIVESTOCK	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	327	327	327	327	327	327
LIVESTOCK	K	HICKORY AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	10	10	10	10	10	10
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	582	582	582	582	582	582
LIVESTOCK	K	MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	20	20	20	20	20	20
LIVESTOCK	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	122	122	122	122	122	122
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	276	276	276	276	276	276
IRRIGATION	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	675	675	675	675	675	675
IRRIGATION	K	HICKORY AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	52	52	52	52	52	52
IRRIGATION	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	333	333	333	333	333	333
IRRIGATION	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   BURNET COUNTY	65	65	65	65	65	65
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>22,804</b>	<b>22,811</b>	<b>22,816</b>	<b>22,820</b>	<b>22,825</b>	<b>22,828</b>
<b>BURNET COUNTY TOTAL</b>			<b>26,857</b>	<b>26,856</b>	<b>26,850</b>	<b>26,848</b>	<b>26,844</b>	<b>26,839</b>
EAGLE LAKE	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	176	176	176	176	176	176
COUNTY-OTHER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	210	210	210	210	210	210
MANUFACTURING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	15	15	15	15	15	15
MINING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	170	170	170	170	170	170
LIVESTOCK	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	164	164	164	164	164	164
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	39	39	39	39	39	39
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	17,818	17,818	17,818	17,818	17,818	17,818
IRRIGATION	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	11,722	11,722	11,722	11,722	11,722	11,722
<b>BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>30,314</b>	<b>30,314</b>	<b>30,314</b>	<b>30,314</b>	<b>30,314</b>	<b>30,314</b>
COLUMBUS	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	1,720	1,720	1,720	1,720	1,720	1,720
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WASHINGTON COUNTY	4	4	4	4	4	4
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	5	6	6	6	7	7
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER   WASHINGTON COUNTY	3	3	2	2	2	2
EAGLE LAKE	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	400	400	400	400	400	400
WEIMAR	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	187	187	187	187	187	187
COUNTY-OTHER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	877	877	877	877	877	877
MANUFACTURING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	59	59	59	59	59	59
MINING	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	1,808	1,808	1,808	1,808	1,808	1,808
MINING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	3,398	3,398	3,398	3,398	3,398	3,398
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER		NO WATER SUPPLY ASSOCIATED WITH WUG	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIVESTOCK	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	265	265	265	265	265	265
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	860	860	860	860	860	860

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Existing Water Supply

WUG NAME	SOURCE REGION	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	EXISTING SUPPLY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
			2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	15,068	15,068	15,068	15,068	15,068	15,068
IRRIGATION	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	12,700	12,700	12,700	12,700	12,700	12,700
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>37,354</b>	<b>37,355</b>	<b>37,354</b>	<b>37,354</b>	<b>37,355</b>	<b>37,355</b>
WEIMAR	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	382	382	382	382	382	382
COUNTY-OTHER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	502	502	502	502	502	502
MANUFACTURING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	1,058	1,058	1,058	1,058	1,058	1,058
MINING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	280	280	280	280	280	280
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER		NO WATER SUPPLY ASSOCIATED WITH WUG	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIVESTOCK	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	174	174	174	174	174	174
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	199	199	199	199	199	199
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	30,941	30,941	30,941	30,941	30,941	30,941
IRRIGATION	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   COLORADO COUNTY	26,543	26,543	26,543	26,543	26,543	26,543
IRRIGATION	K	LAVACA RUN-OF-RIVER	4,002	4,002	4,002	4,002	4,002	4,002
<b>LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>64,081</b>	<b>64,081</b>	<b>64,081</b>	<b>64,081</b>	<b>64,081</b>	<b>64,081</b>
<b>COLORADO COUNTY TOTAL</b>			<b>131,749</b>	<b>131,750</b>	<b>131,749</b>	<b>131,749</b>	<b>131,750</b>	<b>131,750</b>
AQUA WSC	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	4	4	5	5	5	5
FAYETTE COUNTY WCID MONUMENT HILL	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   FAYETTE COUNTY	235	235	235	235	235	235
FAYETTE WSC	K	OTHER AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	675	675	675	675	675	675
FAYETTE WSC	K	SPARTA AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	225	225	225	225	225	225
LA GRANGE	K	YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	1,294	1,294	1,294	1,294	1,294	1,294
LEE COUNTY WSC	G	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   LEE COUNTY	565	564	558	554	541	519
LEE COUNTY WSC	G	QUEEN CITY AQUIFER   LEE COUNTY	19	19	19	19	19	18
LEE COUNTY WSC	G	SPARTA AQUIFER   LEE COUNTY	39	39	39	38	37	36
WEST END WSC	H	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   AUSTIN COUNTY	130	142	153	167	183	201
COUNTY-OTHER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   FAYETTE COUNTY	526	526	526	526	526	526
COUNTY-OTHER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	27	27	27	27	27	27
COUNTY-OTHER	K	OTHER AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	159	159	159	159	159	159
COUNTY-OTHER	K	SPARTA AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	29	29	29	29	29	29
MANUFACTURING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   FAYETTE COUNTY	3	3	3	3	3	3
MINING	K	SPARTA AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	367	367	367	367	367	367
MINING	K	YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	919	919	919	919	919	919
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	396	396	396	396	396	396
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	45,117	45,117	45,117	45,117	45,117	45,117
LIVESTOCK	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   FAYETTE COUNTY	185	185	185	185	185	185
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	1,370	1,370	1,370	1,370	1,370	1,370
IRRIGATION	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	534	534	534	534	534	534
IRRIGATION	K	SPARTA AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	77	77	77	77	77	77
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>52,895</b>	<b>52,906</b>	<b>52,912</b>	<b>52,921</b>	<b>52,923</b>	<b>52,917</b>
FAYETTE WSC	K	SPARTA AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	150	150	150	150	150	150
FLATONIA	K	YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	89	89	89	89	89	89
COUNTY-OTHER	K	YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	124	124	124	124	124	124
MINING	K	SPARTA AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	159	159	159	159	159	159
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	142	142	142	142	142	142
IRRIGATION	K	SPARTA AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	109	109	109	109	109	109
<b>GUADALUPE BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>773</b>	<b>773</b>	<b>773</b>	<b>773</b>	<b>773</b>	<b>773</b>
FAYETTE WSC	K	SPARTA AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	101	101	101	101	101	101
FLATONIA	K	YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER   FAYETTE COUNTY	386	386	386	386	386	386



### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Existing Water Supply

WUG NAME	SOURCE REGION	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	EXISTING SUPPLY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
			2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
GOFORTH SUD	L	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	6	7	8	10	10	10
GOFORTH SUD	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0
GOFORTH SUD	L	TRINITY AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	87	76	73	75	77	81
HAYS	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	183	180	180	180	180	180
HAYS COUNTY WCID 1	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	821	808	801	798	717	717
HAYS COUNTY WCID 2	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	580	593	600	603	684	684
WEST TRAVIS COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY AGENCY	K	DIRECT REUSE	300	300	300	300	300	300
WEST TRAVIS COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY AGENCY	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	4,349	4,349	4,349	4,349	4,349	4,349
COUNTY-OTHER	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	663	663	663	663	663	663
COUNTY-OTHER	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
MANUFACTURING	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	468	468	468	468	468	468
MINING	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	314	314	314	314	314	314
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	L	CANYON LAKE/RESERVOIR	1,389	1,389	1,389	1,389	1,389	1,389
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	L	DIRECT REUSE	309	309	309	309	309	309
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	192	192	192	192	192	192
LIVESTOCK	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	30	30	30	30	30	30
IRRIGATION	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	8	8	8	8	8	8
IRRIGATION	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	774	774	774	774	774	774
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>18,567</b>	<b>19,104</b>	<b>19,468</b>	<b>20,091</b>	<b>20,896</b>	<b>22,051</b>
<b>HAYS COUNTY TOTAL</b>			<b>18,567</b>	<b>19,104</b>	<b>19,468</b>	<b>20,091</b>	<b>20,896</b>	<b>22,051</b>
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WASHINGTON COUNTY	16	16	15	14	14	14
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	24	24	25	26	26	27
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER   WASHINGTON COUNTY	11	11	10	10	10	9
HORSESHOE BAY	K	DIRECT REUSE	448	448	448	448	448	448
HORSESHOE BAY	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,827	1,827	1,827	1,827	1,827	1,827
KINGSLAND WSC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,086	1,086	1,086	1,086	1,086	1,086
KINGSLAND WSC	K	OTHER AQUIFER   LLANO COUNTY	53	53	53	53	53	53
LLANO	K	LLANO LAKE/RESERVOIR	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUNRISE BEACH VILLAGE	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   LLANO COUNTY	60	60	60	60	60	60
SUNRISE BEACH VILLAGE	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	200	200	200	200	200	200
COUNTY-OTHER	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   LLANO COUNTY	115	115	115	115	115	115
COUNTY-OTHER	K	HICKORY AQUIFER   LLANO COUNTY	143	143	143	143	143	143
COUNTY-OTHER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	2,328	2,328	2,328	2,328	2,328	2,328
COUNTY-OTHER	K	OTHER AQUIFER   LLANO COUNTY	412	412	412	412	412	412
MANUFACTURING	K	HICKORY AQUIFER   LLANO COUNTY	4	4	4	4	4	4
MINING	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   LLANO COUNTY	3	3	3	3	3	3
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,748	1,748	1,748	1,748	1,748	1,748
LIVESTOCK	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   LLANO COUNTY	20	20	20	20	20	20
LIVESTOCK	K	HICKORY AQUIFER   LLANO COUNTY	179	179	179	179	179	179
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	414	414	414	414	414	414
LIVESTOCK	K	OTHER AQUIFER   LLANO COUNTY	138	138	138	138	138	138
IRRIGATION	K	HICKORY AQUIFER   LLANO COUNTY	400	400	400	400	400	400
IRRIGATION	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,514	1,514	1,514	1,514	1,514	1,514
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>11,143</b>	<b>11,143</b>	<b>11,142</b>	<b>11,142</b>	<b>11,142</b>	<b>11,142</b>
<b>LLANO COUNTY TOTAL</b>			<b>11,143</b>	<b>11,143</b>	<b>11,142</b>	<b>11,142</b>	<b>11,142</b>	<b>11,142</b>
BAY CITY	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	2,906	2,906	2,906	2,906	2,906	2,906



### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Existing Water Supply

WUG NAME	SOURCE REGION	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	EXISTING SUPPLY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
			2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
CANEY CREEK MUD OF MATAGORDA COUNTY	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	1,226	1,226	1,226	1,226	1,226	1,226
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WASHINGTON COUNTY	1	1	0	0	0	0
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1	1	1	1	1	1
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER   WASHINGTON COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0
MATAGORDA COUNTY WCID 6	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	116	116	116	116	116	116
MATAGORDA WASTE DISPOSAL & WSC	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	55	55	55	55	55	55
COUNTY-OTHER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	544	544	544	544	544	544
MINING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	56	56	56	56	56	56
LIVESTOCK	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	280	280	280	280	280	280
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	329	329	329	329	329	329
IRRIGATION	K	BRAZOS-COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	16,657	16,657	16,657	16,657	16,657	16,657
IRRIGATION	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
<b>BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>36,171</b>	<b>36,171</b>	<b>36,170</b>	<b>36,170</b>	<b>36,170</b>	<b>36,170</b>
BAY CITY	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	6	6	6	6	6	6
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WASHINGTON COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	0	0	0	0	0	0
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER   WASHINGTON COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0
MATAGORDA WASTE DISPOSAL & WSC	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	330	330	330	330	330	330
COUNTY-OTHER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	174	174	174	174	174	174
MANUFACTURING	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	3,803	3,803	3,803	3,803	3,803	3,803
MANUFACTURING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	1,576	1,576	1,576	1,576	1,576	1,576
MANUFACTURING	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	3,152	3,152	3,152	3,152	3,152	3,152
MINING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	8	8	8	8	8	8
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	71,030	71,030	71,030	71,030	71,030	71,030
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	19,567	19,562	19,557	19,552	19,547	19,543
LIVESTOCK	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	94	94	94	94	94	94
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	1,209	1,209	1,209	1,209	1,209	1,209
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>103,949</b>	<b>103,944</b>	<b>103,939</b>	<b>103,934</b>	<b>103,929</b>	<b>103,925</b>
MARKHAM MUD	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	116	116	116	116	116	116
PALACIOS	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064
COUNTY-OTHER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	574	574	574	574	574	574
MINING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	36	36	36	36	36	36
LIVESTOCK	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	299	299	299	299	299	299
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	215	215	215	215	215	215
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO-LAVACA RUN-OF-RIVER	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
IRRIGATION	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   MATAGORDA COUNTY	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
<b>COLORADO-LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>38,804</b>	<b>38,804</b>	<b>38,804</b>	<b>38,804</b>	<b>38,804</b>	<b>38,804</b>
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY TOTAL</b>			<b>178,924</b>	<b>178,919</b>	<b>178,913</b>	<b>178,908</b>	<b>178,903</b>	<b>178,899</b>
GOLDTHWAITE	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	12	12	12	12	12	12
COUNTY-OTHER	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	71	71	71	71	71	71
COUNTY-OTHER	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	84	84	84	84	84	84
MINING	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	2	2	2	2	2	2

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Existing Water Supply

WUG NAME	SOURCE REGION	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	EXISTING SUPPLY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
			2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	321	321	321	321	321	321
IRRIGATION	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	398	398	398	398	398	398
<b>BRAZOS BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>888</b>	<b>888</b>	<b>888</b>	<b>888</b>	<b>888</b>	<b>888</b>
BROOKESMITH SUD	F	BROWNWOOD LAKE/RESERVOIR	0	0	0	0	0	0
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WASHINGTON COUNTY	1	1	1	1	1	1
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	2	2	2	2	2	2
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER   WASHINGTON COUNTY	1	1	1	1	1	1
GOLDTHWAITE	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	245	245	245	245	245	245
GOLDTHWAITE	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	176	176	176	176	176	176
ZEPHYR WSC	F	BROWNWOOD LAKE/RESERVOIR	0	0	0	0	0	0
COUNTY-OTHER	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	331	331	331	331	331	331
MANUFACTURING	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	2	2	2	2	2	2
MINING	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	2	2	2	2	2	2
LIVESTOCK	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	89	89	89	89	89	89
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	360	360	360	360	360	360
LIVESTOCK	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	161	161	161	161	161	161
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	2,378	2,378	2,378	2,378	2,378	2,378
IRRIGATION	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   MILLS COUNTY	853	853	853	853	853	853
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>4,601</b>	<b>4,601</b>	<b>4,601</b>	<b>4,601</b>	<b>4,601</b>	<b>4,601</b>
<b>MILLS COUNTY TOTAL</b>			<b>5,489</b>	<b>5,489</b>	<b>5,489</b>	<b>5,489</b>	<b>5,489</b>	<b>5,489</b>
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WASHINGTON COUNTY	1	1	1	1	1	1
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	2	2	2	2	2	2
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	G	YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER   WASHINGTON COUNTY	1	1	1	1	1	1
NORTH SAN SABA WSC	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	195	195	195	195	195	195
RICHLAND SUD	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	150	150	150	148	150	151
RICHLAND SUD	K	MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	150	150	150	148	150	151
SAN SABA	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAN SABA	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	1,246	1,246	1,246	1,246	1,246	1,246
COUNTY-OTHER	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	120	120	120	120	120	120
COUNTY-OTHER	K	HICKORY AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	80	80	80	80	80	80
COUNTY-OTHER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	20	20	20	20	20	20
COUNTY-OTHER	K	MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	24	24	24	24	24	24
MANUFACTURING	K	MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	12	12	12	12	12	12
MINING	K	HICKORY AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	301	301	301	301	301	301
MINING	K	MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	1,238	1,238	1,238	1,238	1,238	1,238
LIVESTOCK	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	198	198	198	198	198	198
LIVESTOCK	K	HICKORY AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	111	111	111	111	111	111
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	900	900	900	900	900	900
LIVESTOCK	K	MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	9	9	9	9	9	9
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	3,300	3,300	3,300	3,300	3,300	3,300
IRRIGATION	K	ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	3,045	3,045	3,045	3,045	3,045	3,045
IRRIGATION	K	HICKORY AQUIFER   SAN SABA COUNTY	877	877	877	877	877	877
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>11,980</b>	<b>11,980</b>	<b>11,980</b>	<b>11,976</b>	<b>11,980</b>	<b>11,982</b>
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY TOTAL</b>			<b>11,980</b>	<b>11,980</b>	<b>11,980</b>	<b>11,976</b>	<b>11,980</b>	<b>11,982</b>
AQUA WSC	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	1,088	1,226	1,362	1,524	1,671	1,809
AUSTIN	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	165,963	160,942	168,724	164,955	161,087	156,565
AUSTIN	K	DIRECT REUSE	2,691	2,391	2,391	2,391	2,391	2,391

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Existing Water Supply

WUG NAME	SOURCE	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	EXISTING SUPPLY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	REGION		2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
AUSTIN	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	123,607	123,607	123,607	123,607	123,607	123,607
BARTON CREEK WEST WSC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	440	440	440	440	440	440
BARTON CREEK WSC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	307	307	307	307	307	307
BRIARCLIFF	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	400	400	400	400	400	400
CEDAR PARK	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,927	1,638	1,646	1,776	1,677	1,566
CEDAR PARK	G	TRINITY AQUIFER   WILLIAMSON COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0
COTTONWOOD CREEK MUD 1	G	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BURLESON COUNTY	95	107	120	129	138	148
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	839	839	0	0	0	0
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	467	467	467	467	467	467
CYPRESS RANCH WCID 1	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	0	0	0	0	0	0
CYPRESS RANCH WCID 1	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	222	222	222	222	222	222
DEER CREEK RANCH WATER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	125	125	125	125	125	125
ELGIN	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	255	357	453	563	662	754
GARFIELD WSC	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	260	260	260	260	260	260
HORNSBY BEND UTILITY	G	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BURLESON COUNTY	944	944	944	944	944	944
HURST CREEK MUD	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600
JONESTOWN WSC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	526	526	526	526	526	526
KELLY LANE WCID 1	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	388	388	388	388	388	388
LAGO VISTA	K	DIRECT REUSE	415	415	415	415	415	415
LAGO VISTA	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	3,451	3,451	3,451	3,451	3,451	3,451
LAKEWAY MUD	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	3,069	3,069	3,069	3,069	3,069	3,069
LEANDER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,202	1,684	1,738	1,269	1,079	941
LOOP 360 WSC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250
MANOR	G	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BURLESON COUNTY	404	504	996	1,329	1,810	1,873
MANOR	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	1,680	1,680	0	0	0	0
MANOR	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	10	10	10	10	10	10
MANOR	K	OTHER AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	679	679	679	679	679	679
MANOR	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	547	547	547	547	547	547
MANVILLE WSC	G	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BURLESON COUNTY	213	268	315	355	368	354
MANVILLE WSC	G	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   LEE COUNTY	2,417	2,443	2,425	2,399	1,857	1,147
MANVILLE WSC	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	202	201	197	194	190	185
MANVILLE WSC	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	258	261	259	256	249	239
MANVILLE WSC	G	OTHER AQUIFER   WILLIAMSON COUNTY	152	153	152	150	146	141
MANVILLE WSC	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	308	306	300	295	288	282
NORTH AUSTIN MUD 1	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	81	78	0	0	0	0
NORTHTOWN MUD	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	728	841	0	0	0	0
OAK SHORES WATER SYSTEM	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	82	82	82	82	82	82
PFLUGERVILLE	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	2,531	2,531	2,530	2,530	2,529	2,526
PFLUGERVILLE	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	9,513	9,498	9,479	9,458	9,435	9,410
ROLLINGWOOD	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	1,120	1,120	0	0	0	0
ROUGH HOLLOW IN TRAVIS COUNTY	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,795	1,795	1,795	1,795	1,795	1,795
ROUND ROCK	G	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY AQUILLA LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	0	0	0	0	0	0
ROUND ROCK	G	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY LITTLE RIVER LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	0	0	0	0	0	0
ROUND ROCK	G	DIRECT REUSE	0	0	0	0	0	0
ROUND ROCK	G	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   WILLIAMSON COUNTY	2	1	0	0	0	0

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Existing Water Supply

WUG NAME	SOURCE	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	EXISTING SUPPLY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	REGION		2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
ROUND ROCK	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	338	312	281	256	282	305
SENNA HILLS MUD	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	404	404	404	404	404	404
SHADY HOLLOW MUD	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	793	775	759	750	749	749
SUNSET VALLEY	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	716	716	0	0	0	0
SUNSET VALLEY	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	40	40	40	40	40	40
SWEETWATER COMMUNITY	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,514	1,514	1,514	1,514	1,514	1,514
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 10	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	96	96	96	96	96	96
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 14	K	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BASTROP COUNTY	224	224	224	224	224	224
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 2	G	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BURLESON COUNTY	322	322	322	322	322	322
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 2	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	218	218	218	218	218	218
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 4	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	3,560	3,562	3,564	3,565	3,565	3,565
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 10	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	3,360	3,360	0	0	0	0
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 17	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 18	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 19	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	449	447	445	444	444	444
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 20	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	1,135	1,135	1,135	1,135	1,135	1,135
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID POINT VENTURE	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	285	285	285	285	285	285
WELLS BRANCH MUD	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	1,397	1,352	0	0	0	0
WEST TRAVIS COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY AGENCY	K	DIRECT REUSE	173	173	173	173	173	173
WEST TRAVIS COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY AGENCY	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
WILLIAMSON COUNTY WSID 3	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	29	35	33	32	31	30
WILLIAMSON TRAVIS COUNTIES MUD 1	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	201	201	201	202	201	202
WINDERMERE UTILITY	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	2,240	2,240	0	0	0	0
WINDERMERE UTILITY	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	1,062	1,062	1,062	1,062	1,062	1,062
WINDERMERE UTILITY	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	307	307	307	307	307	307
COUNTY-OTHER   AQUA TEXAS - RIVERCREST	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	467	467	467	467	467	467
COUNTY-OTHER	G	CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER   BURLESON COUNTY	299	287	274	265	256	246
COUNTY-OTHER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	6,822	6,822	6,822	6,822	6,822	6,822
COUNTY-OTHER	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	4,451	4,451	4,451	4,451	4,451	4,451
MANUFACTURING	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	10,560	11,970	14,397	14,853	14,853	14,853
MANUFACTURING	K	DIRECT REUSE	1,880	2,180	2,180	2,180	2,180	2,180
MANUFACTURING	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	666	666	666	666	666	666
MANUFACTURING	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	76	76	76	76	76	76
MINING	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	2,230	2,830	3,477	4,083	4,749	5,512
MINING	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	1,237	1,237	1,237	1,237	1,237	1,237
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	9,240	9,240	9,240	9,240	9,240	9,240
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	5,153	5,153	5,153	5,153	5,153	5,153
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	463	463	463	463	463	463
LIVESTOCK	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	46	46	46	46	46	46
IRRIGATION	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	150	150	150	150	150	150
IRRIGATION	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	3,975	3,975	3,975	3,975	3,975	3,975
IRRIGATION	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	756	756	756	756	756	756
IRRIGATION	K	OTHER AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRRIGATION	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	800	800	800	800	800	800

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Existing Water Supply

WUG NAME	SOURCE REGION	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	EXISTING SUPPLY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
			2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>417,087</b>	<b>414,702</b>	<b>414,064</b>	<b>411,569</b>	<b>408,253</b>	<b>403,783</b>
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	60	60	60	60	60	60
GOFORTH SUD	L	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	1	1	1	0	0	0
GOFORTH SUD	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0
GOFORTH SUD	L	TRINITY AQUIFER   HAYS COUNTY	5	5	5	5	5	5
COUNTY-OTHER	K	OTHER AQUIFER   TRAVIS COUNTY	112	112	112	112	112	112
MINING	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	35	41	48	54	60	68
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	18	18	18	18	18	18
<b>GUADALUPE BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>231</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>263</b>
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY TOTAL</b>			<b>417,318</b>	<b>414,939</b>	<b>414,308</b>	<b>411,818</b>	<b>408,508</b>	<b>404,046</b>
BOLING MWD	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	156	156	156	156	156	156
WHARTON	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	1,112	1,086	1,066	1,041	1,014	988
WHARTON COUNTY WCID 2	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	1,218	1,218	1,218	1,218	1,218	1,218
COUNTY-OTHER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	1,164	1,164	1,164	1,164	1,164	1,164
MANUFACTURING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	69	69	69	69	69	69
MINING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	41	41	41	41	41	41
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	1	1	1	1	1	1
LIVESTOCK	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	302	302	302	302	302	302
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	149	149	149	149	149	149
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	14,751	14,751	14,751	14,751	14,751	14,751
IRRIGATION	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	38,091	38,091	38,091	38,091	38,091	38,091
IRRIGATION	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
<b>BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>58,954</b>	<b>58,928</b>	<b>58,908</b>	<b>58,883</b>	<b>58,856</b>	<b>58,830</b>
EL CAMPO	P	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	6	6	6	6	6	6
WHARTON	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	756	782	802	827	854	880
COUNTY-OTHER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	600	600	600	600	600	600
COUNTY-OTHER	P	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	57	57	57	57	57	57
MANUFACTURING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	102	102	102	102	102	102
MINING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	27	27	27	27	27	27
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	7,900	7,900	7,900	7,900	7,900	7,900
LIVESTOCK	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	206	206	206	206	206	206
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	115	115	115	115	115	115
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	16,786	16,786	16,786	16,786	16,786	16,786
IRRIGATION	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	25,558	25,558	25,558	25,558	25,558	25,558
<b>COLORADO BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>52,113</b>	<b>52,139</b>	<b>52,159</b>	<b>52,184</b>	<b>52,211</b>	<b>52,237</b>
COUNTY-OTHER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	231	231	231	231	231	231
MINING	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	6	6	6	6	6	6
LIVESTOCK	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	107	107	107	107	107	107
LIVESTOCK	K	LOCAL SURFACE WATER SUPPLY	74	74	74	74	74	74
IRRIGATION	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350
IRRIGATION	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	14,587	14,587	14,587	14,587	14,587	14,587
<b>COLORADO-LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>17,355</b>	<b>17,355</b>	<b>17,355</b>	<b>17,355</b>	<b>17,355</b>	<b>17,355</b>
COUNTY-OTHER	K	GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM   WHARTON COUNTY	231	231	231	231	231	231
<b>LAVACA BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>231</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>WHARTON COUNTY TOTAL</b>			<b>128,653</b>	<b>128,653</b>	<b>128,653</b>	<b>128,653</b>	<b>128,653</b>	<b>128,653</b>
AUSTIN	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	10,787	13,742	16,122	18,685	21,592	24,782
NORTH AUSTIN MUD 1	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	774	747	0	0	0	0

### Region K Water User Group (WUG) Existing Water Supply

WUG NAME	SOURCE	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	EXISTING SUPPLY (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	REGION		2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
WELLS BRANCH MUD	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	80	77	0	0	0	0
COUNTY-OTHER	G	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY LITTLE RIVER LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	0	0	0	0	0	0
COUNTY-OTHER	K	COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	1	2	1	1	0	0
COUNTY-OTHER	G	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   WILLIAMSON COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0
COUNTY-OTHER	K	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   WILLIAMSON COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0
COUNTY-OTHER	G	TRINITY AQUIFER   WILLIAMSON COUNTY	31	66	28	17	8	5
MANUFACTURING	G	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY LITTLE RIVER LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	11	13	15	17	19	20
MANUFACTURING	G	DIRECT REUSE	14	17	17	17	17	17
MANUFACTURING	G	EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER   WILLIAMSON COUNTY	16	19	19	19	19	19
MANUFACTURING	K	HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	24	28	31	35	38	41
MANUFACTURING	G	TRINITY AQUIFER   WILLIAMSON COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0
MANUFACTURING	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   WILLIAMSON COUNTY	1	1	1	1	1	1
MINING	G	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY AQUILLA LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	G	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY LITTLE RIVER LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	K	TRINITY AQUIFER   WILLIAMSON COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>BRAZOS BASIN TOTAL</b>			<b>11,739</b>	<b>14,712</b>	<b>16,234</b>	<b>18,792</b>	<b>21,694</b>	<b>24,885</b>
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY TOTAL</b>			<b>11,739</b>	<b>14,712</b>	<b>16,234</b>	<b>18,792</b>	<b>21,694</b>	<b>24,885</b>
<b>REGION K TOTAL EXISTING WATER SUPPLY</b>			<b>1,051,923</b>	<b>1,053,860</b>	<b>1,056,577</b>	<b>1,059,477</b>	<b>1,059,369</b>	<b>1,059,288</b>

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Needs/Surplus\***

	(NEEDS)/SURPLUS (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
<b>BASTROP COUNTY - BRAZOS BASIN</b>						
AQUA WSC	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEE COUNTY WSC	132	141	164	197	234	274
COUNTY-OTHER	12	11	10	7	4	0
MINING	(144)	(380)	(421)	(331)	5	0
LIVESTOCK	24	24	24	24	24	24
IRRIGATION	7	5	4	2	0	0
<b>BASTROP COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
AQUA WSC	(224)	(2,788)	(5,698)	(9,228)	(16,703)	(26,087)
BASTROP	712	49	(832)	(2,045)	(3,700)	(5,902)
BASTROP COUNTY WCID 2	759	636	416	141	(442)	(1,178)
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	143	142	142	142	141	141
ELGIN	0	0	0	(534)	(1,545)	(2,853)
LEE COUNTY WSC	177	194	224	268	318	372
POLONIA WSC	52	48	46	44	42	38
SMITHVILLE	643	584	398	187	(503)	(1,348)
COUNTY-OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0
MANUFACTURING	27	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	(449)	(3,947)	(4,557)	(3,220)	1,764	1,696
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIVESTOCK	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRRIGATION	74	69	47	24	0	0
<b>BASTROP COUNTY - GUADALUPE BASIN</b>						
AQUA WSC	0	0	0	0	0	0
COUNTY-OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	(2)	(243)	(308)	(233)	44	24
LIVESTOCK	18	18	18	18	18	18
IRRIGATION	0	5	10	17	24	24
<b>BLANCO COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
JOHNSON CITY	47	(11)	(43)	(60)	(73)	(80)
COUNTY-OTHER	263	186	151	141	138	143
MINING	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIVESTOCK	262	262	262	262	262	262
IRRIGATION	45	45	45	45	45	45
<b>BLANCO COUNTY - GUADALUPE BASIN</b>						
BLANCO	747	698	670	656	645	638
CANYON LAKE WATER SERVICE	10	(22)	(54)	(87)	(120)	(152)
COUNTY-OTHER	302	244	217	210	208	211
LIVESTOCK	73	73	73	73	73	73
IRRIGATION	26	26	26	26	26	26
<b>BURNET COUNTY - BRAZOS BASIN</b>						
BERTRAM	(60)	(141)	(211)	(279)	(340)	(394)
BURNET	7	6	5	4	3	2
GEORGETOWN	24	(3)	(29)	(52)	(75)	(95)
KEMPNER WSC	58	47	36	26	15	5

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG's region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Needs/Surplus report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split's projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Surplus volumes are shown as positive values, and needs are shown as negative values in parentheses.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Needs/Surplus\***

COUNTY-OTHER	350	212	214	79	(49)	(162)
MINING	(390)	(621)	(862)	(1,082)	(1,334)	(1,621)
LIVESTOCK	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRRIGATION	270	270	270	270	270	270
<b>BURNET COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
BURNET	2,979	2,665	2,398	2,137	1,902	1,696
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	(91)	(107)	(121)	(136)	(148)	(161)
COTTONWOOD SHORES	250	204	165	127	93	62
GRANITE SHOALS	252	184	129	65	(47)	(222)
HORSESHOE BAY	(82)	(301)	(486)	(662)	(819)	(955)
KINGSLAND WSC	35	26	19	12	6	0
MARBLE FALLS	2,326	1,280	(204)	(981)	(1,504)	(1,766)
MEADOWLAKES	(285)	(276)	(271)	(269)	(268)	(268)
COUNTY-OTHER	3,425	3,179	3,183	2,943	2,714	2,513
MANUFACTURING	261	213	213	213	213	213
MINING	(935)	(1,626)	(2,352)	(3,008)	(3,764)	(4,626)
LIVESTOCK	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRRIGATION	63	63	63	63	63	63
<b>COLORADO COUNTY - BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN</b>						
EAGLE LAKE	17	16	16	11	6	0
COUNTY-OTHER	56	55	54	50	45	40
MANUFACTURING	2	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	10	8	7	5	3	2
LIVESTOCK	40	40	40	40	40	40
IRRIGATION	(21,169)	(19,805)	(18,477)	(17,186)	(15,929)	(14,706)
<b>COLORADO COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
COLUMBUS	586	556	535	491	449	407
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	(31)	(31)	(32)	(34)	(34)	(36)
EAGLE LAKE	38	35	34	25	12	0
WEIMAR	24	21	18	12	6	0
COUNTY-OTHER	(92)	(98)	(100)	(128)	(161)	(195)
MANUFACTURING	9	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	307	259	207	158	108	57
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	(228)	(228)	(228)	(228)	(228)	(228)
LIVESTOCK	385	385	385	385	385	385
IRRIGATION	(6,578)	(5,654)	(4,755)	(3,880)	(3,029)	(2,201)
<b>COLORADO COUNTY - LAVACA BASIN</b>						
WEIMAR	49	41	36	24	12	0
COUNTY-OTHER	172	169	168	159	148	137
MANUFACTURING	161	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	14	11	9	6	3	0
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	(4,743)	(4,743)	(4,743)	(4,743)	(4,743)	(4,743)
LIVESTOCK	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRRIGATION	(26,571)	(24,202)	(21,898)	(19,654)	(17,471)	(15,347)
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
AQUA WSC	0	0	0	0	0	0
FAYETTE COUNTY WCID MONUMENT HILL	51	43	30	18	8	0

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG's region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Needs/Surplus report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split's projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Surplus volumes are shown as positive values, and needs are shown as negative values in parentheses.



**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Needs/Surplus\***

FAYETTE WSC	290	221	175	135	101	73
LA GRANGE	337	231	162	100	46	2
LEE COUNTY WSC	441	420	401	385	361	329
WEST END WSC	0	0	0	0	0	0
COUNTY-OTHER	(69)	(156)	(204)	(247)	(284)	(311)
MANUFACTURING	1	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	(760)	(360)	99	543	995	1,002
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	(3,698)	(3,698)	(3,698)	(3,698)	(3,698)	(3,698)
LIVESTOCK	185	185	185	185	185	185
IRRIGATION	90	90	90	90	90	90
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY - GUADALUPE BASIN</b>						
FAYETTE WSC	110	106	103	100	98	96
FLATONIA	24	16	11	7	3	0
COUNTY-OTHER	75	70	67	65	62	61
MINING	33	58	86	113	141	142
LIVESTOCK	64	64	64	64	64	64
IRRIGATION	26	26	26	26	26	26
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY - LAVACA BASIN</b>						
FAYETTE WSC	29	21	16	11	7	4
FLATONIA	105	73	52	33	17	5
SCHULENBURG	139	57	2	(45)	(86)	(118)
COUNTY-OTHER	(366)	(406)	(429)	(449)	(466)	(478)
MANUFACTURING	5	(40)	(40)	(40)	(40)	(40)
MINING	0	0	0	55	134	135
LIVESTOCK	7	7	7	7	7	7
IRRIGATION	78	78	78	78	78	78
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
FREDERICKSBURG	1,092	900	740	532	325	121
COUNTY-OTHER	647	577	518	424	320	215
MANUFACTURING	663	647	647	647	647	647
MINING	51	51	51	51	51	51
LIVESTOCK	383	383	383	383	383	383
IRRIGATION	119	119	119	119	119	119
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY - GUADALUPE BASIN</b>						
COUNTY-OTHER	23	20	18	14	10	6
LIVESTOCK	17	17	17	17	17	17
<b>HAYS COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
AUSTIN	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUDA	1,411	582	(440)	(1,724)	(3,180)	(4,839)
CIMARRON PARK WATER	47	55	61	65	66	66
DEER CREEK RANCH WATER	99	96	92	90	87	84
DRIPPING SPRINGS WSC	727	(533)	(1,446)	(2,621)	(4,059)	(4,819)
GOFORTH SUD	(60)	(113)	(168)	(232)	(308)	(393)
HAYS	0	(55)	(114)	(168)	(255)	(353)
HAYS COUNTY WCID 1	0	0	0	0	(80)	(80)
HAYS COUNTY WCID 2	295	224	136	52	(4)	(160)
WEST TRAVIS COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY AGENCY	150	(941)	(1,624)	(3,062)	(4,502)	(5,944)

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG's region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Needs/Surplus report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split's projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Surplus volumes are shown as positive values, and needs are shown as negative values in parentheses.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Needs/Surplus\***

COUNTY-OTHER	966	1,279	764	388	72	(801)
MANUFACTURING	191	144	144	144	144	144
MINING	(531)	(761)	(1,047)	(1,131)	(1,340)	(1,579)
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	511	511	511	511	511	511
LIVESTOCK	205	205	205	205	205	205
IRRIGATION	257	257	257	257	257	257
<b>LLANO COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	(136)	(133)	(133)	(134)	(135)	(137)
HORSESHOE BAY	7	(58)	11	(14)	20	72
KINGSLAND WSC	221	107	124	177	94	6
LLANO	(862)	(891)	(877)	(855)	(883)	(913)
SUNRISE BEACH VILLAGE	186	189	191	192	192	192
COUNTY-OTHER	2,738	2,796	2,783	2,781	2,798	2,811
MANUFACTURING	1	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	0	0	0	0	0	0
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIVESTOCK	171	171	171	171	171	171
IRRIGATION	916	916	916	916	916	916
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY - BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN</b>						
BAY CITY	(4)	(57)	(73)	(119)	(162)	(198)
CANEY CREEK MUD OF MATAGORDA COUNTY	974	971	971	968	965	962
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	(4)	(4)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)
MATAGORDA COUNTY WCID 6	3	3	4	3	1	0
MATAGORDA WASTE DISPOSAL & WSC	4	3	3	2	1	0
COUNTY-OTHER	95	93	96	94	88	83
MINING	3	0	14	26	37	44
LIVESTOCK	134	134	134	134	134	134
IRRIGATION	(61,932)	(59,441)	(57,018)	(54,659)	(52,364)	(50,131)
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
BAY CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
MATAGORDA WASTE DISPOSAL & WSC	254	252	251	250	249	248
COUNTY-OTHER	79	78	79	78	77	76
MANUFACTURING	4,332	3,615	3,615	3,615	3,615	3,615
MINING	0	0	2	3	5	6
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	13,061	13,056	13,051	13,046	13,041	13,037
LIVESTOCK	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRRIGATION	(510)	(463)	(418)	(375)	(332)	(291)
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY - COLORADO-LAVACA BASIN</b>						
MARKHAM MUD	19	20	20	20	18	17
PALACIOS	449	441	440	435	426	419
COUNTY-OTHER	82	81	83	82	75	69
MINING	1	0	9	16	23	28
LIVESTOCK	8	8	8	8	8	8
IRRIGATION	(60,780)	(58,164)	(55,617)	(53,139)	(50,728)	(48,381)
<b>MILLS COUNTY - BRAZOS BASIN</b>						
GOLDTHWAITE	2	2	1	1	1	0

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG's region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Needs/Surplus report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split's projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Surplus volumes are shown as positive values, and needs are shown as negative values in parentheses.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Needs/Surplus\***

COUNTY-OTHER	13	14	15	11	6	0
MINING	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIVESTOCK	28	28	28	28	28	28
IRRIGATION	(2,590)	(2,590)	(2,590)	(2,590)	(2,590)	(2,590)
<b>MILLS COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
BROOKESMITH SUD	(7)	(7)	(7)	(7)	(8)	(8)
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	(8)	(8)	(8)	(8)	(8)	(9)
GOLDTHWAITE	31	28	26	14	(1)	(18)
ZEPHYR WSC	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(4)
COUNTY-OTHER	130	131	133	127	120	111
MANUFACTURING	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIVESTOCK	40	40	40	40	40	40
IRRIGATION	1,476	1,476	1,476	1,476	1,476	1,476
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
CORIX UTILITIES TEXAS INC	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)
NORTH SAN SABA WSC	10	4	5	8	4	0
RICHLAND SUD	76	69	71	72	71	67
SAN SABA	71	30	34	60	33	5
COUNTY-OTHER	26	24	27	31	27	22
MANUFACTURING	2	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	451	446	595	639	675	701
LIVESTOCK	439	439	439	439	439	439
IRRIGATION	23	23	23	23	23	23
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
AQUA WSC	0	0	0	0	0	0
AUSTIN	121,575	87,948	63,971	38,383	17,131	(10,950)
BARTON CREEK WEST WSC	4	7	10	12	13	13
BARTON CREEK WSC	(217)	(312)	(402)	(469)	(523)	(586)
BRIARCLIFF	100	60	20	(25)	(66)	(104)
CEDAR PARK	(324)	(749)	(908)	(774)	(870)	(980)
COTTONWOOD CREEK MUD 1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	704	644	(254)	(330)	(405)	(477)
CYPRESS RANCH WCID 1	101	88	78	69	58	59
DEER CREEK RANCH WATER	82	76	70	66	62	57
ELGIN	0	0	0	0	0	0
GARFIELD WSC	61	30	1	(21)	(41)	(63)
HORNSBY BEND UTILITY	350	266	183	121	65	0
HURST CREEK MUD	(118)	(109)	(103)	(100)	(99)	(99)
JONESTOWN WSC	(149)	(183)	(218)	(261)	(302)	(340)
KELLY LANE WCID 1	66	71	75	76	77	77
LAGO VISTA	1,998	1,682	1,379	1,034	726	438
LAKEWAY MUD	312	187	50	(97)	(143)	(142)
LEANDER	(317)	(1,866)	(2,009)	(2,684)	(2,967)	(3,281)
LOOP 360 WSC	25	(18)	(68)	(113)	(157)	(236)
MANOR	2,210	1,903	325	219	310	10
MANVILLE WSC	1,111	686	213	(345)	(1,398)	(2,618)

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG's region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Needs/Surplus report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split's projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Surplus volumes are shown as positive values, and needs are shown as negative values in parentheses.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Needs/Surplus\***

NORTH AUSTIN MUD 1	0	0	(76)	(75)	(75)	(75)
NORTHTOWN MUD	0	0	(947)	(1,066)	(1,171)	(1,268)
OAK SHORES WATER SYSTEM	(68)	(89)	(88)	(87)	(87)	(87)
PFLUGERVILLE	1,641	(790)	(3,589)	(6,376)	(9,203)	(9,220)
ROLLINGWOOD	737	741	(375)	(374)	(375)	(377)
ROUGH HOLLOW IN TRAVIS COUNTY	1,206	582	582	582	582	582
ROUND ROCK	62	(2)	(71)	(139)	(152)	(165)
SENNA HILLS MUD	(16)	(89)	(160)	(212)	(255)	(304)
SHADY HOLLOW MUD	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUNSET VALLEY	388	339	(443)	(519)	(609)	(713)
SWEETWATER COMMUNITY	1,106	652	652	652	652	652
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 10	22	9	(3)	(12)	(19)	(28)
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 14	52	28	4	(14)	(30)	(49)
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 2	218	168	119	83	51	15
TRAVIS COUNTY MUD 4	2,060	1,834	1,619	1,377	1,163	962
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 10	(139)	(442)	(4,094)	(4,433)	(4,739)	(5,026)
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 17	(570)	(1,253)	(2,216)	(2,386)	(2,679)	(3,041)
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 18	330	193	59	(99)	(243)	(379)
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 19	0	0	0	0	0	0
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID 20	551	554	556	558	558	558
TRAVIS COUNTY WCID POINT VENTURE	30	(37)	(93)	(171)	(260)	(339)
WELLS BRANCH MUD	0	0	(1,321)	(1,303)	(1,298)	(1,297)
WEST TRAVIS COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY AGENCY	(2,025)	(2,684)	(3,252)	(4,151)	(4,725)	(5,241)
WILLIAMSON COUNTY WSID 3	(91)	(112)	(112)	(112)	(113)	(114)
WILLIAMSON TRAVIS COUNTIES MUD 1	56	60	62	63	63	64
WINDERMERE UTILITY	689	745	(1,462)	(1,446)	(1,441)	(1,440)
COUNTY-OTHER   AQUA TEXAS - RIVERCREST	150	152	154	155	155	155
COUNTY-OTHER	10,713	10,708	10,697	10,691	10,688	10,680
MANUFACTURING	18	39	2,466	2,922	2,922	2,922
MINING	0	0	0	0	0	0
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	4,140	4,140	4,140	4,140	4,140	4,140
LIVESTOCK	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRRIGATION	865	865	865	865	865	865
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY - GUADALUPE BASIN</b>						
CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	21	18	14	9	4	0
GOFORTH SUD	(4)	(6)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(26)
COUNTY-OTHER	101	101	102	102	102	102
MINING	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIVESTOCK	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>WHARTON COUNTY - BRAZOS-COLORADO BASIN</b>						
BOLING MWD	51	49	47	44	41	37
WHARTON	188	130	86	31	(30)	(87)
WHARTON COUNTY WCID 2	762	744	730	715	698	683
COUNTY-OTHER	28	4	(17)	(61)	(100)	(139)
MANUFACTURING	6	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	2	0	11	18	27	31
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	0	0	0	0	0	0

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG’s region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Needs/Surplus report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split’s projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Surplus volumes are shown as positive values, and needs are shown as negative values in parentheses.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Needs/Surplus\***

LIVESTOCK	47	47	47	47	47	47
IRRIGATION	(51,578)	(48,719)	(45,936)	(43,227)	(40,592)	(38,028)
<b>WHARTON COUNTY - COLORADO BASIN</b>						
EL CAMPO	1	1	1	0	0	0
WHARTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
COUNTY-OTHER	70	58	46	24	3	(16)
MANUFACTURING	9	0	0	0	0	0
MINING	1	0	7	12	17	21
STEAM ELECTRIC POWER	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIVESTOCK	20	20	20	20	20	20
IRRIGATION	(23,509)	(21,737)	(20,013)	(18,336)	(16,704)	(15,116)
<b>WHARTON COUNTY - COLORADO-LAVACA BASIN</b>						
COUNTY-OTHER	42	38	34	27	20	14
MINING	0	0	1	3	4	5
LIVESTOCK	94	94	94	94	94	94
IRRIGATION	0	456	899	1,330	1,750	2,159
<b>WHARTON COUNTY - LAVACA BASIN</b>						
COUNTY-OTHER	213	212	212	211	210	210
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY - BRAZOS BASIN</b>						
AUSTIN	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH AUSTIN MUD 1	0	0	(726)	(714)	(711)	(711)
WELLS BRANCH MUD	0	0	(76)	(75)	(74)	(74)
COUNTY-OTHER	(35)	(25)	(60)	(67)	(73)	(72)
MANUFACTURING	41	48	53	59	64	68
MINING	(5)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG's region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Needs/Surplus report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split's projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Surplus volumes are shown as positive values, and needs are shown as negative values in parentheses.

**Region K Source Water Balance (Availability - WUG Supply)**

GROUNDWATER SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE WATER BALANCE (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY*	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	BASTROP	BRAZOS	FRESH	487	582	695	968	848	848
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	0	463	182	82	89	148
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	BASTROP	GUADALUPE	FRESH	0	0	0	92	0	0
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	4,565	4,565	4,565	4,565	4,565	4,565
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	FAYETTE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	909	909	909	909	909	909
CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	HAYS	COLORADO	SALINE	66	66	66	66	66	66
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	1	4	4	4	4	4
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	TRAVIS	BRAZOS	FRESH	275	275	275	275	275	275
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	SALINE	5,073	5,073	5,073	5,073	5,073	5,073
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH/ BRACKISH	20	20	20	20	20	20
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	116	116	116	116	116	116
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	TRAVIS	GUADALUPE	SALINE	280	280	280	280	280	280
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	WILLIAMSON	BRAZOS	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDWARDS-BFZ AQUIFER	WILLIAMSON	COLORADO	FRESH	4	4	4	4	4	4
EDWARDS-TRINITY-PLATEAU AQUIFER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDWARDS-TRINITY-PLATEAU AQUIFER	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDWARDS-TRINITY-PLATEAU AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	913	913	913	913	913	913
EDWARDS-TRINITY-PLATEAU AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	5	5	5	5	5	5
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	1,137	1,137	1,137	1,137	1,137	1,137
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	6	6	6	6	6	6
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	3,833	3,822	3,833	3,822	3,833	3,822
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	3,390	3,371	3,390	3,371	3,390	3,371
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	581	581	581	581	581	581
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	1	1	1	1	1	1
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	1,859	1,859	1,859	1,859	1,859	1,859
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	MILLS	BRAZOS	FRESH	22	22	22	22	22	22
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	318	317	318	317	318	317
ELLENBURGER-SAN SABA AQUIFER	SAN SABA	COLORADO	FRESH	5,538	5,538	5,538	5,538	5,538	5,538
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	COLORADO	BRAZOS- COLORADO	FRESH	2,934	2,934	2,934	2,934	2,934	2,934
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	COLORADO	COLORADO	FRESH	1,173	1,173	777	777	777	777
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	COLORADO	LAVACA	FRESH	10,773	10,773	9,190	9,190	8,490	8,490
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	FAYETTE	BRAZOS	FRESH	2	2	2	2	2	2
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	40	40	40	40	40	40
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	1	1	20	41	41	41
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	MATAGORDA	BRAZOS- COLORADO	FRESH	148	148	148	148	148	148
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	MATAGORDA	COLORADO	FRESH/ BRACKISH	864	864	864	864	864	864
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	MATAGORDA	COLORADO- LAVACA	FRESH	356	356	356	356	356	356
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	WHARTON	BRAZOS- COLORADO	FRESH	8,374	8,400	8,420	8,445	8,472	8,498
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	WHARTON	COLORADO	FRESH	760	734	714	689	662	636
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	WHARTON	COLORADO- LAVACA	FRESH	1,265	1,265	1,265	1,265	1,265	1,265

\*Salinity field indicates whether the source availability is considered 'fresh' (less than 1,000 mg/L), 'brackish' (1,000 to 10,000 mg/L), 'saline' (10,001 mg/L to 34,999 mg/L), or 'seawater' (35,000 mg/L or greater). Sources can also be labeled as 'fresh/brackish' or 'brackish/saline', if a combination of the salinity types is appropriate.

**Region K Source Water Balance (Availability - WUG Supply)**

GROUNDWATER SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE WATER BALANCE (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY*	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM	WHARTON	LAVACA	FRESH	348	348	348	348	348	348
HICKORY AQUIFER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
HICKORY AQUIFER	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
HICKORY AQUIFER	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	1,240	1,236	1,240	1,236	1,240	1,236
HICKORY AQUIFER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	1,937	1,931	1,937	1,931	1,937	1,931
HICKORY AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	233	233	233	233	233	233
HICKORY AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
HICKORY AQUIFER	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
HICKORY AQUIFER	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	1,292	1,292	1,292	1,292	1,292	1,292
HICKORY AQUIFER	MILLS	BRAZOS	FRESH	7	7	7	7	7	7
HICKORY AQUIFER	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	29	29	29	29	29	29
HICKORY AQUIFER	SAN SABA	COLORADO	FRESH	110	110	110	110	110	110
HICKORY AQUIFER	TRAVIS	BRAZOS	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
HICKORY AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	199	199	199	199	199	199
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	1,387	1,383	1,387	1,383	1,387	1,383
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	1,203	1,199	1,203	1,199	1,203	1,199
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	MILLS	BRAZOS	FRESH	1	1	1	1	1	1
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	24	24	24	24	24	24
MARBLE FALLS AQUIFER	SAN SABA	COLORADO	FRESH	9,474	9,474	9,474	9,474	9,474	9,474
OTHER AQUIFER	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER AQUIFER	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER AQUIFER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	363	363	363	363	363	363
OTHER AQUIFER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER AQUIFER	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	9	9	9	9	9	9
OTHER AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	3,091	3,091	3,091	3,091	3,091	3,091
OTHER AQUIFER	TRAVIS	GUADALUPE	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	BASTROP	BRAZOS	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	15	0	0	0	0	0
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	BASTROP	GUADALUPE	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	2,278	2,278	2,278	2,278	2,278	2,278
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	FAYETTE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	430	430	430	430	430	430
QUEEN CITY AQUIFER	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPARTA AQUIFER	BASTROP	BRAZOS	FRESH	89	87	85	84	82	82
SPARTA AQUIFER	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	247	246	245	244	243	243
SPARTA AQUIFER	BASTROP	GUADALUPE	FRESH	10	10	10	10	10	10
SPARTA AQUIFER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	961	951	928	914	921	921
SPARTA AQUIFER	FAYETTE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	653	657	658	663	664	664
SPARTA AQUIFER	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
TRINITY AQUIFER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	365	365	365	365	365	365
TRINITY AQUIFER	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	110	110	110	110	110	110
TRINITY AQUIFER	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	641	634	641	634	641	634
TRINITY AQUIFER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	3	0	3	0	3	0
TRINITY AQUIFER	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	178	178	178	178	178	178
TRINITY AQUIFER	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	1,893	1,890	1,889	1,889	1,889	1,889
TRINITY AQUIFER	HAYS	GUADALUPE	FRESH	9	9	9	9	9	9

\*Salinity field indicates whether the source availability is considered 'fresh' (less than 1,000 mg/L), 'brackish' (1,000 to 10,000 mg/L), 'saline' (10,001 mg/L to 34,999 mg/L), or 'seawater' (35,000 mg/L or greater). Sources can also be labeled as 'fresh/brackish' or 'brackish/saline', if a combination of the salinity types is appropriate.

**Region K Source Water Balance (Availability - WUG Supply)**

GROUNDWATER SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE WATER BALANCE (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY*	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
TRINITY AQUIFER	MILLS	BRAZOS	FRESH	324	321	324	321	324	321
TRINITY AQUIFER	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	132	128	132	128	132	128
TRINITY AQUIFER	TRAVIS	BRAZOS	FRESH	1	1	1	1	1	1
TRINITY AQUIFER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	5,413	5,381	5,384	5,353	5,339	5,324
TRINITY AQUIFER	TRAVIS	GUADALUPE	FRESH	2	2	2	2	2	2
TRINITY AQUIFER	WILLIAMSON	BRAZOS	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
TRINITY AQUIFER	WILLIAMSON	COLORADO	FRESH	32	32	32	32	32	32
YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	4,862	4,862	4,862	4,862	4,861	4,861
YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER	FAYETTE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	481	481	481	481	481	481
YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	53	122	183	183	183	183
<b>GROUNDWATER TOTAL SOURCE WATER BALANCE</b>				<b>98,068</b>	<b>98,573</b>	<b>96,549</b>	<b>96,727</b>	<b>95,878</b>	<b>95,856</b>

REUSE SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE WATER BALANCE (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY*	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
DIRECT REUSE	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIRECT REUSE	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
DIRECT REUSE	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIRECT REUSE	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	14,041	27,998	40,189	50,139	55,389	55,389
<b>REUSE TOTAL SOURCE WATER BALANCE</b>				<b>16,281</b>	<b>30,238</b>	<b>42,429</b>	<b>52,379</b>	<b>57,629</b>	<b>57,629</b>

SURFACE WATER SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE WATER BALANCE (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY*	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
BLANCO LAKE/RESERVOIR	RESERVOIR	GUADALUPE	FRESH	133	133	133	133	133	133
BRAZOS LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BASTROP	BRAZOS	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
BRAZOS LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BURNET	BRAZOS	FRESH	186	186	186	186	186	186
BRAZOS LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	MILLS	BRAZOS	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
BRAZOS LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	WILLIAMSON	BRAZOS	FRESH	1	1	1	1	1	1
BRAZOS-COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	COLORADO	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	164	164	164	164	164	164
BRAZOS-COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	MATAGORDA	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	335	335	335	335	335	335
BRAZOS-COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	WHARTON	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	222	222	222	222	222	222
BRAZOS-COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	WHARTON	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
BRAZOS-COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	MATAGORDA	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
BRAZOS-COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	WHARTON	BRAZOS-COLORADO	FRESH	4,332	4,332	4,332	4,332	4,332	4,332
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	660	660	660	660	660	660
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	334	334	334	334	334	334
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	479	479	479	479	479	479
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	COLORADO	COLORADO	FRESH	62	62	62	62	62	62
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	515	515	515	515	515	515
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	28	28	28	28	28	28
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	337	337	337	337	337	337

\*Salinity field indicates whether the source availability is considered 'fresh' (less than 1,000 mg/L), 'brackish' (1,000 to 10,000 mg/L), 'saline' (10,001 mg/L to 34,999 mg/L), or 'seawater' (35,000 mg/L or greater). Sources can also be labeled as 'fresh/brackish' or 'brackish/saline', if a combination of the salinity types is appropriate.



### Region K Source Water Balance (Availability - WUG Supply)

SURFACE WATER SOURCE TYPE				SOURCE WATER BALANCE (ACRE-FEET PER YEAR)					
SOURCE NAME	COUNTY	BASIN	SALINITY*	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	MATAGORDA	COLORADO	FRESH	131	131	131	131	131	131
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	263	263	263	263	263	263
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	SAN SABA	COLORADO	FRESH	291	291	291	291	291	291
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	217	217	217	217	217	217
COLORADO LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	WHARTON	COLORADO	FRESH	162	162	162	162	162	162
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	50	51	51	49	49	49
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	57	57	57	57	57	57
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	COLORADO	COLORADO	FRESH	16,883	16,883	16,883	16,883	16,883	16,883
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	MATAGORDA	COLORADO	FRESH	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
COLORADO OTHER LOCAL SUPPLY	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	4,049	3,443	2,789	2,177	1,505	734
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	BASTROP	COLORADO	FRESH	786	786	786	786	786	786
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	BLANCO	COLORADO	FRESH	67	67	67	67	67	67
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	BURNET	COLORADO	FRESH	2,678	2,678	2,678	2,678	2,678	2,678
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	COLORADO	COLORADO	FRESH	25,679	25,679	25,679	25,679	25,679	25,679
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	FAYETTE	COLORADO	FRESH	534	534	534	534	534	534
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	GILLESPIE	COLORADO	FRESH	880	880	880	880	880	880
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	HAYS	COLORADO	FRESH	41	41	41	41	41	41
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	LLANO	COLORADO	FRESH	440	440	440	440	440	440
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	MATAGORDA	COLORADO	FRESH	14,646	14,646	14,646	14,646	14,646	14,646
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	MILLS	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	SAN SABA	COLORADO	FRESH	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	TRAVIS	COLORADO	FRESH	756	756	756	756	756	756
COLORADO RUN-OF-RIVER	WHARTON	COLORADO	FRESH	1,875	1,875	1,875	1,875	1,875	1,875
COLORADO-LAVACA LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	MATAGORDA	COLORADO-LAVACA	FRESH	493	493	493	493	493	493
COLORADO-LAVACA LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	WHARTON	COLORADO-LAVACA	FRESH	6	6	6	6	6	6
COLORADO-LAVACA RUN-OF-RIVER	MATAGORDA	COLORADO-LAVACA	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
GOLDTHWAITE LAKE/RESERVOIR	RESERVOIR	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
GUADALUPE LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BASTROP	GUADALUPE	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
GUADALUPE LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	28	28	28	28	28	28
GUADALUPE LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	FAYETTE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
GUADALUPE LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	GILLESPIE	GUADALUPE	FRESH	19	19	19	19	19	19
GUADALUPE LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	TRAVIS	GUADALUPE	FRESH	6	6	6	6	6	6
GUADALUPE RUN-OF-RIVER	BLANCO	GUADALUPE	FRESH	9	9	9	9	9	9
HIGHLAND LAKES LAKE/RESERVOIR SYSTEM	RESERVOIR	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAKE LONG/RESERVOIR	RESERVOIR	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAVACA LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	COLORADO	LAVACA	FRESH	266	266	266	266	266	266
LAVACA LIVESTOCK LOCAL SUPPLY	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	108	108	108	108	108	108
LAVACA RUN-OF-RIVER	COLORADO	LAVACA	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAVACA RUN-OF-RIVER	FAYETTE	LAVACA	FRESH	20	20	20	20	20	20
LLANO LAKE/RESERVOIR	RESERVOIR	COLORADO	FRESH	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SURFACE WATER TOTAL SOURCE WATER BALANCE</b>				<b>89,728</b>	<b>89,123</b>	<b>88,469</b>	<b>87,855</b>	<b>87,183</b>	<b>86,412</b>

\*Salinity field indicates whether the source availability is considered 'fresh' (less than 1,000 mg/L), 'brackish' (1,000 to 10,000 mg/L), 'saline' (10,001 mg/L to 34,999 mg/L), or 'seawater' (35,000 mg/L or greater). Sources can also be labeled as 'fresh/brackish' or 'brackish/saline', if a combination of the salinity types is appropriate.

**Region K Source Water Balance (Availability - WUG Supply)**

<b>REGION K TOTAL SOURCE WATER BALANCE</b>	<b>204,077</b>	<b>217,934</b>	<b>227,447</b>	<b>236,961</b>	<b>240,690</b>	<b>239,897</b>
--	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------

\*Salinity field indicates whether the source availability is considered 'fresh' (less than 1,000 mg/L), 'brackish' (1,000 to 10,000 mg/L), 'saline' (10,001 mg/L to 34,999 mg/L), or 'seawater' (35,000 mg/L or greater). Sources can also be labeled as 'fresh/brackish' or 'brackish/saline', if a combination of the salinity types is appropriate.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Data Comparison to 2016 Regional Water Plan (RWP)\***

	2020 PLANNING DECADE			2070 PLANNING DECADE		
	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)
<b>BASTROP COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,579	1,430	-9.4%	4,152	3,437	-17.2%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,873	1,418	-24.3%	5,634	3,437	-39.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	361	0	-100.0%	1,490	0	-100.0%
<b>BASTROP COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,287	4,361	238.9%	878	4,304	390.2%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	852	4,280	402.3%	443	4,280	866.1%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>BASTROP COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,522	1,177	-22.7%	1,522	1,177	-22.7%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,522	1,135	-25.4%	1,522	1,135	-25.4%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>BASTROP COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	146	215	47.3%	146	215	47.3%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	194	188	-3.1%	345	215	-37.7%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	55	0	-100.0%	199	0	-100.0%
<b>BASTROP COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,152	2,289	6.4%	2,153	2,196	2.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	2,884	2,884	0.0%	9,996	476	-95.2%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	732	595	-18.7%	7,843	0	-100.0%
<b>BASTROP COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	13,282	16,441	23.8%	17,283	18,780	8.7%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	13,859	14,047	1.4%	54,424	55,323	1.7%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	3,036	224	-92.6%	37,655	37,368	-0.8%
<b>BASTROP COUNTY   STEAM ELECTRIC POWER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	16,720	10,288	-38.5%	16,720	10,288	-38.5%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	14,000	10,288	-26.5%	16,720	10,288	-38.5%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>BLANCO COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,639	1,573	-4.0%	1,646	1,573	-4.4%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	964	1,008	4.6%	1,286	1,219	-5.2%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	55	0	-100.0%
<b>BLANCO COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	324	1,398	331.5%	324	1,398	331.5%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	256	1,327	418.4%	204	1,327	550.5%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>BLANCO COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	601	666	10.8%	601	666	10.8%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	564	331	-41.3%	564	331	-41.3%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>BLANCO COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	20	0	-100.0%	20	0	-100.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	20	0	-100.0%	20	0	-100.0%

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG’s region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Data Comparison to 2016 RWP report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split’s projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Before aggregating the difference between supplies and demands to the WUG county and category level, calculated surpluses are updated to zero so that only the WUGs with needs in the decade are included with the Needs totals.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Data Comparison to 2016 Regional Water Plan (RWP)\***

	2020 PLANNING DECADE			2070 PLANNING DECADE		
	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>BLANCO COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	5	5	0.0%	5	5	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	5	5	0.0%	5	5	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>BLANCO COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,630	1,556	-4.5%	1,679	1,556	-7.3%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	847	752	-11.2%	1,152	1,150	-0.2%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	48	0	-100.0%	175	232	32.6%
<b>BURNET COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	6,899	7,189	4.2%	6,899	7,189	4.2%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	3,506	3,414	-2.6%	4,736	4,838	2.2%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	460	162	-64.8%
<b>BURNET COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,127	1,831	-13.9%	2,127	1,831	-13.9%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,504	1,498	-0.4%	1,504	1,498	-0.4%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>BURNET COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,184	1,691	42.8%	1,184	1,691	42.8%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	835	1,691	102.5%	835	1,691	102.5%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>BURNET COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,012	512	-74.6%	2,012	512	-74.6%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,109	251	-77.4%	1,782	299	-83.2%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>BURNET COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	3,479	3,165	-9.0%	4,709	3,165	-32.8%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	4,490	4,490	0.0%	9,412	9,412	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	1,011	1,325	31.1%	4,703	6,247	32.8%
<b>BURNET COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	11,843	12,469	5.3%	12,023	12,451	3.6%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	7,317	7,056	-3.6%	15,865	14,547	-8.3%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	247	518	109.7%	5,294	3,861	-27.1%
<b>COLORADO COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,025	1,589	-21.5%	2,025	1,589	-21.5%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,475	1,453	-1.5%	1,631	1,607	-1.5%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	121	92	-24.0%	226	195	-13.7%
<b>COLORADO COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	106,892	118,794	11.1%	106,892	118,794	11.1%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	165,846	173,112	4.4%	144,708	151,048	4.4%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	58,954	54,318	-7.9%	37,816	32,254	-14.7%
<b>COLORADO COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,655	1,701	2.8%	1,655	1,701	2.8%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,590	1,276	-19.7%	1,590	1,276	-19.7%

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG's region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Data Comparison to 2016 RWP report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split's projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Before aggregating the difference between supplies and demands to the WUG county and category level, calculated surpluses are updated to zero so that only the WUGs with needs in the decade are included with the Needs totals.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Data Comparison to 2016 Regional Water Plan (RWP)\***

	2020 PLANNING DECADE			2070 PLANNING DECADE		
	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>COLORADO COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	844	1,132	34.1%	844	1,132	34.1%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	383	960	150.7%	528	1,132	114.4%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>COLORADO COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	5,656	5,656	0.0%	5,656	5,656	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	5,325	5,325	0.0%	5,597	5,597	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>COLORADO COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,368	2,877	21.5%	2,368	2,878	21.5%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	2,214	2,194	-0.9%	2,531	2,507	-0.9%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	31	100.0%	163	36	-77.9%
<b>COLORADO COUNTY   STEAM ELECTRIC POWER WUG TYPE</b>						
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	0	4,971	100.0%	0	4,971	100.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	4,971	100.0%	0	4,971	100.0%
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,002	878	-12.4%	1,002	878	-12.4%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,236	1,238	0.2%	1,615	1,606	-0.6%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	272	435	59.9%	639	789	23.5%
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,190	1,022	-14.1%	1,190	1,022	-14.1%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	623	828	32.9%	453	828	82.8%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	3,468	1,982	-42.8%	3,468	1,982	-42.8%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	2,397	1,726	-28.0%	2,397	1,726	-28.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	152	402	164.5%	152	402	164.5%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	358	396	10.6%	543	442	-18.6%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	206	0	-100.0%	391	40	-89.8%
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	540	1,799	233.1%	540	1,629	201.7%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	2,526	2,526	0.0%	350	350	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	1,986	760	-61.7%	39	0	-100.0%
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	4,069	4,752	16.8%	4,034	4,774	18.3%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	2,843	3,226	13.5%	3,840	4,383	14.1%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	267	118	-55.8%
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY   STEAM ELECTRIC POWER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	45,988	45,513	-1.0%	45,988	45,513	-1.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	35,702	49,211	37.8%	53,402	49,211	-7.8%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	3,698	100.0%	7,414	3,698	-50.1%

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG’s region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Data Comparison to 2016 RWP report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split’s projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Before aggregating the difference between supplies and demands to the WUG county and category level, calculated surpluses are updated to zero so that only the WUGs with needs in the decade are included with the Needs totals.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Data Comparison to 2016 Regional Water Plan (RWP)\***

	2020 PLANNING DECADE			2070 PLANNING DECADE		
	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,410	2,405	-0.2%	2,410	2,405	-0.2%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,823	1,735	-4.8%	2,291	2,184	-4.7%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,502	2,502	0.0%	2,502	2,502	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	2,058	2,383	15.8%	1,928	2,383	23.6%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,612	1,612	0.0%	1,612	1,612	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,062	1,212	14.1%	1,062	1,212	14.1%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	740	740	0.0%	740	740	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,049	77	-92.7%	1,366	93	-93.2%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	309	0	-100.0%	626	0	-100.0%
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	55	55	0.0%	55	55	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	4	4	0.0%	4	4	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	3,836	4,443	15.8%	3,836	4,443	15.8%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	3,146	3,351	6.5%	4,058	4,322	6.5%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	222	0	-100.0%
<b>HAYS COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	4,090	2,317	-43.3%	4,090	2,317	-43.3%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	3,107	1,351	-56.5%	7,472	3,118	-58.3%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	3,382	801	-76.3%
<b>HAYS COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	440	782	77.7%	440	782	77.7%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	107	525	390.7%	107	525	390.7%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>HAYS COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	222	222	0.0%	222	222	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	220	17	-92.3%	220	17	-92.3%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>HAYS COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	583	468	-19.7%	583	468	-19.7%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	347	277	-20.2%	583	324	-44.4%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>HAYS COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	314	314	0.0%	314	314	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	845	845	0.0%	1,893	1,893	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	531	531	0.0%	1,579	1,579	0.0%

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG’s region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Data Comparison to 2016 RWP report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split’s projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Before aggregating the difference between supplies and demands to the WUG county and category level, calculated surpluses are updated to zero so that only the WUGs with needs in the decade are included with the Needs totals.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Data Comparison to 2016 Regional Water Plan (RWP)\***

	2020 PLANNING DECADE			2070 PLANNING DECADE		
	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)
<b>HAYS COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	8,357	12,766	52.8%	11,902	16,250	36.5%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	7,441	10,097	35.7%	30,215	32,688	8.2%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	60	100.0%	18,333	16,588	-9.5%
<b>HAYS COUNTY   STEAM ELECTRIC POWER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	0	1,698	100.0%	0	1,698	100.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	0	1,187	100.0%	0	1,187	100.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>LLANO COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	4,256	2,998	-29.6%	4,256	2,998	-29.6%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	610	260	-57.4%	500	187	-62.6%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>LLANO COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,353	1,914	-18.7%	2,353	1,914	-18.7%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,936	998	-48.5%	1,781	998	-44.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>LLANO COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	751	751	0.0%	751	751	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	751	580	-22.8%	751	580	-22.8%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>LLANO COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	3	4	33.3%	3	4	33.3%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	3	3	0.0%	3	4	33.3%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>LLANO COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	3	3	0.0%	3	3	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	3	3	0.0%	3	3	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>LLANO COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	3,722	3,725	0.1%	3,698	3,724	0.7%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	3,696	4,309	16.6%	4,125	4,504	9.2%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	445	998	124.3%	629	1,050	66.9%
<b>LLANO COUNTY   STEAM ELECTRIC POWER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,500	1,748	-30.1%	2,500	1,748	-30.1%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	2,500	1,748	-30.1%	2,500	1,748	-30.1%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,164	1,292	-40.3%	2,164	1,292	-40.3%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,601	1,036	-35.3%	1,644	1,064	-35.3%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	42,539	68,366	60.7%	42,539	68,366	60.7%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	209,087	191,588	-8.4%	182,055	167,169	-8.2%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	166,548	123,222	-26.0%	139,516	98,803	-29.2%

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG's region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Data Comparison to 2016 RWP report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split's projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Before aggregating the difference between supplies and demands to the WUG county and category level, calculated surpluses are updated to zero so that only the WUGs with needs in the decade are included with the Needs totals.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Data Comparison to 2016 Regional Water Plan (RWP)\***

	2020 PLANNING DECADE			2070 PLANNING DECADE		
	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,503	1,217	-19.0%	1,503	1,217	-19.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,503	1,075	-28.5%	1,503	1,075	-28.5%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	20,351	8,531	-58.1%	20,351	8,531	-58.1%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	16,253	4,199	-74.2%	20,342	4,916	-75.8%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	100	100	0.0%	100	100	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	96	96	0.0%	22	22	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	5,789	5,821	0.6%	5,789	5,820	0.5%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	3,522	4,127	17.2%	3,750	4,378	16.7%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	9	100.0%	0	204	100.0%
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY   STEAM ELECTRIC POWER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	79,637	93,597	17.5%	79,517	93,573	17.7%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	105,000	80,536	-23.3%	105,000	80,536	-23.3%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	25,363	0	-100.0%	25,483	0	-100.0%
<b>MILLS COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	459	486	5.9%	459	486	5.9%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	385	343	-10.9%	420	375	-10.7%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	16	0	-100.0%	29	0	-100.0%
<b>MILLS COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	3,263	3,629	11.2%	3,263	3,629	11.2%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	3,074	4,743	54.3%	2,759	4,743	71.9%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	605	2,590	328.1%	460	2,590	463.0%
<b>MILLS COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	944	931	-1.4%	944	931	-1.4%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	944	863	-8.6%	944	863	-8.6%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>MILLS COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2	2	0.0%	2	2	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	2	2	0.0%	2	2	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>MILLS COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	4	4	0.0%	4	4	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	4	4	0.0%	4	4	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>MILLS COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	321	437	36.1%	321	437	36.1%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	369	422	14.4%	415	476	14.7%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	48	18	-62.5%	94	39	-58.5%

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG’s region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Data Comparison to 2016 RWP report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split’s projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Before aggregating the difference between supplies and demands to the WUG county and category level, calculated surpluses are updated to zero so that only the WUGs with needs in the decade are included with the Needs totals.



**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Data Comparison to 2016 Regional Water Plan (RWP)\***

	2020 PLANNING DECADE			2070 PLANNING DECADE		
	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	531	244	-54.0%	531	244	-54.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	316	218	-31.0%	322	222	-31.1%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	6,000	7,222	20.4%	6,000	7,222	20.4%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	5,539	7,199	30.0%	4,709	7,199	52.9%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,218	1,218	0.0%	1,218	1,218	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,191	779	-34.6%	1,191	779	-34.6%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	8	12	50.0%	8	12	50.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	8	10	25.0%	8	12	50.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,539	1,539	0.0%	1,539	1,539	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,088	1,088	0.0%	838	838	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1,349	1,745	29.4%	1,352	1,747	29.2%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,306	1,599	22.4%	1,374	1,686	22.7%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	88	11	-87.5%	152	11	-92.8%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	19,102	12,151	-36.4%	16,137	12,098	-25.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	8,395	1,187	-85.9%	2,928	1,161	-60.3%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	5,131	5,681	10.7%	5,131	5,681	10.7%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	4,322	4,816	11.4%	2,885	4,816	66.9%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	707	527	-25.5%	707	527	-25.5%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	704	527	-25.1%	704	527	-25.1%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	35,790	13,182	-63.2%	91,630	17,775	-80.6%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	35,790	13,164	-63.2%	91,630	14,853	-83.8%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	3,502	3,502	0.0%	6,817	6,817	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	3,502	3,502	0.0%	6,817	6,817	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG’s region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Data Comparison to 2016 RWP report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split’s projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Before aggregating the difference between supplies and demands to the WUG county and category level, calculated surpluses are updated to zero so that only the WUGs with needs in the decade are included with the Needs totals.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Data Comparison to 2016 Regional Water Plan (RWP)\***

	2020 PLANNING DECADE			2070 PLANNING DECADE		
	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	337,938	367,882	8.9%	266,668	346,755	30.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	219,484	234,052	6.6%	377,571	392,333	3.9%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	3,199	4,038	26.2%	112,908	49,065	-56.5%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY   STEAM ELECTRIC POWER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	21,126	14,393	-31.9%	4,970	14,393	189.6%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	18,500	10,253	-44.6%	26,500	10,253	-61.3%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	21,530	0	-100.0%
<b>WHARTON COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	3,309	2,283	-31.0%	3,309	2,283	-31.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,993	1,930	-3.2%	2,283	2,214	-3.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	155	100.0%
<b>WHARTON COUNTY   IRRIGATION WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	102,847	114,023	10.9%	102,847	114,023	10.9%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	212,229	189,110	-10.9%	185,179	165,008	-10.9%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	109,382	75,087	-31.4%	82,332	53,144	-35.5%
<b>WHARTON COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	844	953	12.9%	844	953	12.9%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	728	792	8.8%	728	792	8.8%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>WHARTON COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	732	171	-76.6%	732	171	-76.6%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	503	156	-69.0%	699	171	-75.5%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>WHARTON COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	74	74	0.0%	74	74	0.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	71	71	0.0%	17	17	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>WHARTON COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,817	3,248	15.3%	2,817	3,248	15.3%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	2,057	2,246	9.2%	2,395	2,615	9.2%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	87	100.0%
<b>WHARTON COUNTY   STEAM ELECTRIC POWER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,997	7,901	163.6%	2,997	7,901	163.6%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	2,751	7,901	187.2%	3,197	7,901	147.1%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	200	0	-100.0%
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY   COUNTY-OTHER WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	2,586	32	-98.8%	3,441	5	-99.9%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	2,586	67	-97.4%	3,441	77	-97.8%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	35	100.0%	0	72	100.0%
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY   LIVESTOCK WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	1	0	-100.0%	1	0	-100.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1	0	-100.0%	1	0	-100.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG's region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Data Comparison to 2016 RWP report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split's projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Before aggregating the difference between supplies and demands to the WUG county and category level, calculated surpluses are updated to zero so that only the WUGs with needs in the decade are included with the Needs totals.

**Region K Water User Group (WUG) Data Comparison to 2016 Regional Water Plan (RWP)\***

	2020 PLANNING DECADE			2070 PLANNING DECADE		
	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY   MANUFACTURING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	0	66	100.0%	0	98	100.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	0	25	100.0%	0	30	100.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY   MINING WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	5	0	-100.0%	5	0	-100.0%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	5	5	0.0%	3	3	0.0%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	5	100.0%	0	3	100.0%
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY   MUNICIPAL WUG TYPE</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	8,589	11,641	35.5%	21,031	24,782	17.8%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	8,589	11,641	35.5%	21,031	25,567	21.6%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	785	100.0%
<b>REGION K</b>						
EXISTING WUG SUPPLY TOTAL	998,867	1,051,923	5.3%	991,929	1,059,288	6.8%
PROJECTED DEMAND TOTAL	1,183,325	1,116,839	-5.6%	1,461,807	1,307,643	-10.5%
WATER SUPPLY NEEDS TOTAL	373,563	273,571	-26.8%	512,304	314,947	-38.5%

\*WUG supplies and projected demands are entered for each of a WUG’s region-county-basin divisions. The needs shown in the WUG Data Comparison to 2016 RWP report are calculated by first deducting the WUG split’s projected demand from its total existing water supply volume. If the WUG split has a greater existing supply volume than projected demand in any given decade, this amount is considered a surplus volume. Before aggregating the difference between supplies and demands to the WUG county and category level, calculated surpluses are updated to zero so that only the WUGs with needs in the decade are included with the Needs totals.

### Region K Source Data Comparison to 2016 Regional Water Plan (RWP)

	2020 PLANNING DECADE			2070 PLANNING DECADE		
	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)	2016 RWP	2021 RWP	DIFFERENCE (%)
<b>BASTROP COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	28,327	28,465	0.5%	36,443	35,825	-1.7%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	2,366	2,366	0.0%	2,366	2,366	0.0%
<b>BLANCO COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	6,658	7,447	11.9%	6,658	7,447	11.9%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	697	697	0.0%	697	697	0.0%
<b>BURNET COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	18,923	25,026	32.3%	18,923	24,968	31.9%
REUSE AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	1,270	2,200	73.2%	1,270	2,200	73.2%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	4,356	5,212	19.7%	4,356	5,212	19.7%
<b>COLORADO COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	48,953	75,882	55.0%	48,953	73,203	49.5%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	154,989	154,989	0.0%	154,989	154,989	0.0%
<b>FAYETTE COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	20,697	22,962	10.9%	20,751	22,932	10.5%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	2,951	2,986	1.2%	2,951	2,986	1.2%
<b>GILLESPIE COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	12,972	12,926	-0.4%	12,972	12,926	-0.4%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	2,100	2,100	0.0%	2,100	2,100	0.0%
<b>HAYS COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	7,966	8,057	1.1%	7,962	8,053	1.1%
REUSE AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	2,240	2,240	0.0%	2,240	2,240	0.0%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	261	261	0.0%	261	261	0.0%
<b>LLANO COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	4,704	4,704	0.0%	4,704	4,704	0.0%
REUSE AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	516	516	0.0%	516	516	0.0%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	1,191	1,191	0.0%	1,191	1,191	0.0%
<b>MATAGORDA COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	45,896	38,828	-15.4%	45,896	38,828	-15.4%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	108,324	174,348	61.0%	108,324	174,348	61.0%
<b>MILLS COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	2,936	3,038	3.5%	2,936	3,030	3.2%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	3,322	3,322	0.0%	3,322	3,322	0.0%
<b>RESERVOIR COUNTY</b>						
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	419,825	352,033	-16.1%	390,138	348,407	-10.7%
<b>SAN SABA COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	23,435	23,435	0.0%	23,435	23,435	0.0%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	9,991	9,991	0.0%	9,991	9,991	0.0%
<b>TRAVIS COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	21,931	30,080	37.2%	21,857	29,991	37.2%
REUSE AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	19,500	19,500	0.0%	60,848	60,848	0.0%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	215,745	219,559	1.8%	215,812	219,559	1.7%
<b>WHARTON COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	78,740	103,212	31.1%	78,740	103,212	31.1%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	15,787	17,522	11.0%	15,787	17,522	11.0%
<b>WILLIAMSON COUNTY</b>						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	228	77	-66.2%	228	77	-66.2%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	1	1	0.0%	1	1	0.0%

### Region K Source Data Comparison to 2016 Regional Water Plan (RWP)

REGION K						
GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	322,366	384,139	19.2%	330,458	388,631	17.6%
REUSE AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	23,526	24,456	4.0%	64,874	65,804	1.4%
SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY TOTAL (acre-feet per year)	941,906	946,578	0.5%	912,286	942,952	3.4%

# **ATTACHMENT B**

**Attachment B - List of Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies Identified to Date**

Every WUG Entity with an Identified Need		WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE											ADDITIONAL WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY RULE								
Water User Group Name	Maximum Need 2020-2070 (af/yr)	Conservation	Drought Management	Reuse	Management of Existing Supplies	Development of large-scale marine seawater or brackish groundwater	Conjunctive Use	Acquisition of available existing supplies	Development of new supplies	Development of regional water supply or regional management of water supply facilities	Voluntary transfer of water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)	Emergency transfer of water under Section 11.139	System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage to new uses, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality	New SW supply	New GW supply	Brush control; precipitation enhancement	Interbasin transfers of surface water	Aquifer storage and recovery	Cancellation of water rights	Rainwater harvesting	other
Aqua WSC		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Austin		PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	PF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	PF
Barton Creek West WSC		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Barton Creek WSC		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Bastrop		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Bastrop County WCID 2		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Bay City		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Bertram		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Blanco		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Briarcliff		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Buda		PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Burnet		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Caney Creek MUD of Matagorda County		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Cimarron Park Water		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Columbus		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Corix Utilities Texas Inc		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Cottonwood Creek MUD 1		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Cottonwood Shores		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Creedmoor-Maha WSC		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Cypress Ranch WCID 1		nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Dripping Springs WSC		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Elgin		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Flatonia		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Fredericksburg		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Garfield WSC		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Goldthwaite		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Granite Shoals		nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Hays		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Hays County WCID 1		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Hays County WCID 2		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Hornsby Bend Utility		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Horseshoe Bay		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Hurst Creek MUD		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Johnson City		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Jonestown WSC		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Kelly Lane WCID 1		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Kingsland WSC		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Lago Vista		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Lakeway MUD		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
LCRA		PF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	PF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Leander		nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Llano		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF

**Attachment B - List of Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies Identified to Date**

Every WUG Entity with an Identified Need		WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE											ADDITIONAL WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY RULE								
Water User Group Name	Maximum Need 2020-2070 (af/yr)	Conservation	Drought Management	Reuse	Management of Existing Supplies	Development of large-scale marine seawater or brackish groundwater	Conjunctive Use	Acquisition of available existing supplies	Development of new supplies	Development of regional water supply or regional management of water supply facilities	Voluntary transfer of water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)	Emergency transfer of water under Section 11.139	System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage to new uses, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality	New SW supply	New GW supply	Brush control; precipitation enhancement	Interbasin transfers of surface water	Aquifer storage and recovery	Cancellation of water rights	Rainwater harvesting	other
Loop 360 WSC		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Manor		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Manville WSC		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Marble Falls		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Matagorda Waste Disposal & WSC		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Meadowlakes MUD		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
North Austin MUD 1		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
North San Saba WSC		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Northtown MUD		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Oak Shores Water System		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Pflugerville		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Richland SUD		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Rollingwood		nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
San Saba		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Schulenburg		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Senna Hills MUD		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Shady Hollow MUD		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Smithville		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Sunrise Beach Village		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Sunset Valley		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF
Sweetwater Community		nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Travis County MUD 10		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Travis County MUD 14		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Travis County MUD 2		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Travis County MUD 4		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Travis County WCID 10		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Travis County WCID 17		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Travis County WCID 18		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Travis County WCID 19		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Travis County WCID 20		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Travis County WCID Point Venture		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Wells Branch MUD		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
West End WSC		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
West Travis County Public Utility Agency		PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Wharton		PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Wharton County WCID 2		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Williamson County WSID 3		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
Windermere Utility		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
County-Other, Bastrop		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
County-Other, Blanco		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
County-Other, Burnet		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
County-Other, Colorado		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF
County-Other, Fayette		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF



**Attachment B - List of Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies Identified to Date**

Every WUG Entity with an Identified Need		WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE											ADDITIONAL WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY RULE								
Water User Group Name	Maximum Need 2020-2070 (af/yr)	Conservation	Drought Management	Reuse	Management of Existing Supplies	Development of large-scale marine seawater or brackish groundwater	Conjunctive Use	Acquisition of available existing supplies	Development of new supplies	Development of regional water supply or regional management of water supply facilities	Voluntary transfer of water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)	Emergency transfer of water under Section 11.139	System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage to new uses, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality	New SW supply	New GW supply	Brush control; precipitation enhancement	Interbasin transfers of surface water	Aquifer storage and recovery	Cancellation of water rights	Rainwater harvesting	other
County-Other, Gillespie		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
County-Other, Hays		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	
County-Other, Llano		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
County-Other, Matagorda		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
County-Other, Mills		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
County-Other, San Saba		nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
County-Other, Travis		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
County-Other, Wharton		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
County-Other, Williamson		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Irrigation, Colorado		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	
Irrigation, Matagorda		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	
Irrigation, Mills		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Irrigation, Wharton		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	
Manufacturing, Bastrop		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Manufacturing, Fayette		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Manufacturing, Gillespie		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Mining, Bastrop		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Mining, Burnet		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Mining, Fayette		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Mining, Hays		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Mining, Williamson		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Steam-Electric, Colorado		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Steam-Electric, Fayette		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Steam-Electric, Matagorda		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Steam-Electric, Travis		nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF				PF	nPF	PF			nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Steam-Electric, Wharton		nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	

nPF = considered but determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

(all WMS evaluations shall be presented in the regional water plan including for WMSs considered potentially feasible but not recommended)

WUGs WITH NEED (REGION K NOT PRIMARY)		Conservation	Drought Management	Reuse	Management of Existing Supplies	Development of large-scale marine seawater or brackish groundwater	Conjunctive Use	Acquisition of available existing supplies	Development of new supplies	Development of regional water supply or regional management of water supply facilities	Voluntary transfer of water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)	Emergency transfer of water under Section 11.139	System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage to new uses, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality	New SW supply	New GW supply	Brush control; precipitation enhancement	Interbasin transfers of surface water	Aquifer storage and recovery	Cancellation of water rights	Rainwater harvesting	other	
Brooksmith SUD		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Canyon Lake Water Service		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Cedar Park		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Georgetown		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Goforth SUD		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Polonia WSC		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Round Rock		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	
Zephyr WSC		PF	PF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	nPF	