



1

**Agenda**

---

1. Call to Order
2. Welcome and Introductions
3. Receive Public Comments
4. Attendance Report
5. Approval of Minutes from July 15, 2020 meeting
6. TWDB Update

Region K Page 2 AECOM

2

Agenda Item 7

# CONSULTANT STATUS REPORT

Region K
Page 3
**AECOM**

3

## 7. Consultant Status Report

```

    graph LR
      A[Data Collection and Analysis] --> B[Water Strategy Development]
      B --> C[Plan Documentation]
      D[Task 10: Public Involvement] --- A
      D --- B
      D --- C
      E[ ] --> C
  
```

<p><b>Task:</b>          2A – Non-population demand          2B – Population demand          3 – Water supply analysis          4A – Water needs</p>	<p><b>Task:</b>          4B – Potentially feasible strategies          4C – Technical memorandum          5A – Evaluation of strategies          5B – Conservation recommendations</p>	<p><b>Task:</b>          1 – Regional description          6 – Impacts of strategies          7 – Drought response          8 – Unique sites and policy recommendations          9 – Financing          11 – Implementation and comparison          12 – Prioritization of projects</p>
--	--	---

**AECOM**

4

## 7. Consultant Status Report

### 2021 Region K Plan Task Percent Complete



5

## 7. Consultant Status Report

### Effort since last meeting (July 15, 2020)



- ▼ Addressing IPP Comments.
- ▼ Preparing updated chapters.
  - Includes updates not related to IPP comments for Chapters 8, 9, and 10.
- ▼ Coordination meetings with TWDB and Austin.
- ▼ Identifying needed DB22 changes. Planning a meeting with TWDB staff.
- ▼ Updating Prioritization spreadsheet based on received feedback.

6

## 7. Consultant Status Report Upcoming Efforts

- ▼ Address and respond to all public and agency comments received
- ▼ Finalize project prioritization
- ▼ Bring all plan edits to the RWPG for review
  - August meeting and before September meeting
- ▼ Adopt 2021 Region K Plan
  - September meeting
- ▼ Submit Adopted 2021 RWP in October 2020 to TWDB

7

Agenda Item 8

## DISCUSSION OF COMMENTS AND DRAFT RESPONSES FOR THE INITIALLY PREPARED PLAN

8

## 8. IPP Comments and Responses

### ▼ Comments on Austin strategies

- Coordinating with Austin and TWDB to satisfactorily address comments on the following strategies:
  - Blackwater and Greywater Reuse (Comment #15)
  - Onsite Rainwater and Stormwater Harvesting and Community-Scale Stormwater Harvesting (Comment #16)
  - Capture Local Inflows to Lady Bird Lake (Comment #19)
  - Longhorn Dam Operations Improvements (Comment #20)
  - Lake Austin Operations (Comment #21)

## 8. IPP Comments and Responses

- ▼ The following comments have been addressed in the plan with track changes. The pages of the relevant chapters are included in your meeting material handouts.

### ▼ 2-3. Chapter 3

- Table 3.4. Please confirm whether the local surface water supplies listed are firm supplies under drought conditions and document this information in the final, adopted regional water plan.
  - *Response: Additional language has been added to confirm that local surface water supplies were developed for drought of record conditions.*
- Tables 3.12, 3.18, and 3.19. Please include all MAG values (even if zero) for the following aquifer/county/basins: Carrizo-Wilcox/Fayette/Lavaca, Queen City/Fayette/Lavaca, and Sparta/Fayette/Lavaca.
  - *Response: Tables 3.12, 3.18, and 3.19 were edited to include the zero volume MAG values for the Lavaca Basin within Fayette County, as reflected in the GMA-12 report for GAM Run 17-030.*

## 8. IPP Comments and Responses

### ▼ 30-31. Chapter 7

- Section 7.3. The final, adopted regional water plan must include the number of existing and potential interconnects including who is connected to whom. Please include this information in the final, adopted regional water plan.
  - *Response: Included a table showing emergency interconnects for 19 WUGs within Region K and a statement noting that although the submitted information included 38 existing and potential interconnects, some of the sellers or recipients were private or non-WUGs and are not included in the table.*
- Section 7.4. Please confirm whether the entities evaluated for emergency responses to local drought conditions or loss of municipal supply were assumed to have 180 days or less of remaining supply.
  - *Response: A statement was added confirming that emergency response was evaluated for 180 days or less of remaining supply.*

11

## 8. IPP Comments and Responses

### ▼ 32. Chapter 10

- Please address *how* the planning group complied with the Texas Public Information Act in the final, adopted regional water plan.
  - *Response: Language was added to Section 10.1, noting that in accordance with the Texas Public Information Act, meeting minutes and other RWPG-related documents were posted on the Region K website for viewing.*

### ▼ 33. Chapter 11

- Please provide a brief summary of how the 2016 Plan differs from the 2021 Plan with regards to recommended and alternative WMS projects in the final, adopted regional water plan.
  - *Response: New Sections 11.2.8 and 11.2.9 has provided a comparison of Recommended and Alternative Water Management Projects in the 2016 and 2021 Plans.*

12

Agenda Item 9

# PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF UPDATED CHAPTERS 8, 9, AND 10

Region K Page 13 AECOM

13

## 9. Chapter 8 Updates

- ▼ Language added on Page 8-13 from TSSWCB in their comment they provided to Region K during the public comment period.
- ▼ Added list of studies relevant to the ten stream segments recommended for further study.
  - Directed by USS Committee to include list.
  - Mentioned in IPP we would be adding the list to the final plan.
  - David Bradsby with TPWD provided the list to us.

Region K Page 14 AECOM

14

## 9. Chapter 9 Updates

- ▼ Limited Chapter material in IPP – most of chapter added since.
- ▼ Added Section 9.2 and Table 9.1 identifying water management strategies with capital costs.
  - Included strategy projects we will likely be adding based on TWDB comments with “TBD” included as the capital cost for now.
- ▼ Added Section 9.3 – Analysis of Possible Financing Options
  - Includes Appendix 9A with IFR Survey Results
  - Includes Appendix 9B containing a copy of the correspondence sent to project sponsors.
- ▼ Added Section 9.4 – Region K Policy Statements That Discuss Funding

## 9. Chapter 10 Updates

- ▼ Added details on public involvement activities since the IPP was submitted, including public hearing details.
- ▼ Added meetings to Table 10.1
- ▼ New Appendix 10C – Public Hearing Notice, Public Presentation, and Meeting Minutes
- ▼ New Appendix 10D – State Agency Comments on IPP with Region K Comment Responses
  - Not complete with respect to providing comment responses. Will update.
- ▼ New Appendix 10E – Public comments on IPP with Region K Comment Responses
  - Draft letter responses to public comments included for RWPG review



Agenda Item 10

## **DISCUSSION OF EDITS TO THE DRAFT PRIORITIZATION SPREADSHEET**

Region K

Page 17

**AECOM**

17

### **10. Draft Prioritization Spreadsheet – Updates**

- ▼ Uniform Standard 2C – What level of engineering and/or planning has been accomplished for this project?
  - Buda offered information on the status of three projects:
    - BS/EACD Middle Trinity ASR: Final Design and actual construction has been completed for the first well in this strategy.
      - Changed from 1 to 10
    - BS/EACD Saline Edwards Desal & ASR: A feasibility study by BSEACD has been completed on this Project
      - Changed from 1 to 3
    - Direct Potable Reuse: A feasibility study has been completed for this Project
      - Changed from 1 to 3

Region K

Page 18

**AECOM**

18

## Agenda

11. Update on TWDB Interregional Planning Council
12. Agenda items for next meeting
13. New / Other Business
14. Public Comments
15. Adjourn